CITY OF GAHANNA

BOARD OF ZONING AND BUILDING APPEALS

MONDAY EVENING SESSION

THURSDAY, MAY 4, 2017, 6:00 P.M.

- - -

BEFORE:

Donald W. Jensen, President Debra Mecozzi, Vice President Hakim Ben Adjoua Lorne Eisen Timothy W. Pack

Kim Banning, Clerk of Council

- - -

FRALEY, COOPER & ASSOCIATES.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 228-0018 - (800) 852-616

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	City of Gahanna	
3	By Mr. Shane Ewald City Attorney	
4	200 South Hamilton Road Gahanna, Ohio 43230	
5	On behalf of the City.	
6	Plank Law Firm, LPA By Mr. Donald T. Plank	
7	Mr. David Watkins Mr. Christopher R. Kessler	
8	411 East Town Street, Second Floor	
9	Columbus, Ohio 43215-4748	
10	On behalf of the Applicant.	
11	Frost, Brown, Todd, LLC By Mr. Frank J. Reed, Jr.	
12	10 West Broad Street, Suite 2300 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3484	
13	On behalf of the Planning Commission.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

			Page 3
1	INDEX		
2			
3	WITNESS	PAGE	
4	Lieutenant Sheila Murphy	2.7	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Reed Cross-Examination by Mr. NAME2	37 48	
6			
7	AGENDA		
8	ITEM	PAGE	
9	A Call to Order, Pledge of Allegiance, Roll Call	4	
10	Al Added Discussion Topic	4	
11		10	
12	B Swearing of Witnesses		
13	C Public Hearing, BZA-0001-2017	11	
14	D Deliberation	95	
15	E Adjournment	107	
16			
17	EXHIBITS		
18	Planning Commission Exhibit Binder	13	
19	Proffered Exhibits	94	
20	Letter from J. Mathews-Mitchell, 5/3/17 Access Energy Neighborhood Petition		
21			
22			
23			
24			

PROCEEDING 1 2 3 CALL TO ORDER, PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE, ROLL CALL 4 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Call the meeting to 5 order of the City of Gahanna, Board of Zoning and 6 Building Appeals. 7 At this time, Mr. Pack, would you lead the Pledge of Allegiance. 8 (Pledge of Allegiance.) 10 PRESIDENT JENSEN: We can take roll call. 11 MS. BANNING: Adjoua. 12 MEMBER ADJOUA: Here. 13 MS. BANNING: Jensen. 14 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Here. 15 MS. BANNING: Mecozzi. 16 VICE PRESIDENT MECOZZI: Here. 17 MS. BANNING: Pack. 18 MEMBER PACK: Here. 19 MS. BANNING: Eisen. 20 MEMBER EISEN: Here. 2.1 2.2 ADDED DISCUSSION TOPIC

couple items we need to discuss if we want to add

23

24

PRESIDENT JENSEN: At this time we have a

```
them as discussion topics. All of you have the

documents. One is from correspondence from Jean

Mathews-Mitchell which is outside the two-day window

to be submitted to the Board; however, we can still

introduce it as evidence under Section 6.13.
```

Have you all looked at it?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

MR. EWALD: Mr. Chair, does appellant and appellee counsel have a copy of this and received it and reviewed it?

MS. BANNING: Mr. Chair, I did send an e-mail of paper copies of both exhibits that were sent both yesterday and today.

Do you gentlemen need paper copies?

MR. WATKINS: We did get -- we got some exhibits yesterday and some today. Is that what you

MS. BANNING: Yes.

are talking about?

MR. WATKINS: We object to them being introduced because they're late.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Attorney Ewald, what is your position as city attorney? What would be your position on receiving these exhibits as evidence in this matter?

MR. EWALD: The Board -- given the fact

```
1
    that they are late, obviously, appellee's counsel's
2
    objection is noted for the record, the Board has
3
    complete discretion in accepting late documents even
4
    past the three-day window if they chose to do so.
5
    They need to determine whether the documents are
6
    relevant to the case in hand. Then in addition to
7
    that, they need to look and see whether the probative
8
    value outweighs the prejudicial impact in the case.
    That would be by motion and vote of the Board.
```

I would take them one by one.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Thank you.

MS. BANNING: Mr. Chair, make sure your microphone is a little bit closer. People are having trouble hearing. Thank you.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Do I have a motion to approve this first item, a letter from Jean

Mathews-Mitchell be accepted?

PARTICIPANT: Still can't hear.

MEMBER PACK: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a motion to enter into the record a letter by Jean Mitchell, dated Wednesday, May 3, 4:11 p.m., sent to the clerk of council. That's my motion, to enter it into the record. Make sure we have the correct document.

1 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Do we have a second?

2 MEMBER EISEN: Second.

for what, about three weeks?

4

5

6

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Any discussion?

MEMBER ADJOUA: I think, Mr. Chair, the point taken by the city attorney is, in fact, does it have potential probative value. I think we need to consider that carefully since it is a late date and outside the window. This hearing has been set now

10 PRESIDENT JENSEN: More than that.

MEMBER ADJOUA: Obviously, there was an opportunity to present this within the time constraints. So that would be my comment, to see if it has more probative than prejudicial value at this late date.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Anyone else?

MEMBER PACK: I believe I concur as well.

(Microphone check taken.)

PRESIDENT JENSEN: The first letter,
which is a letter from Jean Mathews-Mitchell, all in
favor of accepting this correspondence into the
record as possible evidence.

MR. EWALD: I would ask for a second.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: We have a second.

Page 8 1 Roll call. 2 MS. BANNING: Pack. 3 MEMBER PACK: No. 4 MS. BANNING: Eisen. 5 MEMBER EISEN: No. 6 MS. BANNING: Adjoua. 7 MEMBER ADJOUA: No. 8 MS. BANNING: Jensen. 9 PRESIDENT JENSEN: 10 MS. BANNING: Mecozzi. 11 VICE PRESIDENT MECOZZI: 12 PRESIDENT JENSEN: The second item we 13 received was a petition received today again, which 14 is outside the three-day window to be submitted to 15 the Board. Again, it can be accepted as relevant 16 evidence as per BZA Rule 6.13. 17 MEMBER ADJOUA: I make a motion we do not 18 accept this petition. PRESIDENT JENSEN: Is there a second? 19 20 MEMBER EISEN: Second. 2.1 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Any discussion? 2.2 MEMBER ADJOUA: I think the same reason I 23 stated earlier. I think it has been set for three

weeks or so, and it is very, very entirely late to

24

```
1 | come in at this point.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

```
PRESIDENT JENSEN: I think that on one hand while it holds a lot of merit that the community is reaching out, speaking out strongly, it just backs up what we already have seen in the minutes we have been provided already, so it just reconfirms everything. So I would -- I see some value, but for the Board's decision-making, I'm not sure if it is.
```

PARTICIPANT: We still can't hear you.

PARTICIPANT: Your voice is too low.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Can you hear me now?

PARTICIPANT: When you yell.

MEMBER PACK: A couple of us are having mic problems up here.

(Microphone check taken.)

PRESIDENT JENSEN: So you want to take a vote if we want to accept this community petition?

Take a roll call, please.

MS. BANNING: Adjoua.

MEMBER ADJOUA: No.

MS. BANNING: Eisen.

MEMBER EISEN: No.

MS. BANNING: Jensen.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: No.

Page 10 1 MS. BANNING: Mecozzi. 2 VICE PRESIDENT MECOZZI: No. 3 MS. BANNING: Pack. 4 MEMBER PACK: No. 5 6 SWEARING OF WITNESSES 7 PRESIDENT JENSEN: The next item on the agenda is swearing in the witnesses that will be 8 participating in this evening's appeal. (Witnesses sworn.) 10 11 MR. EWALD: Mr. Chair, one final note. 12 The documents that were submitted tonight are public 13 documents. They may not be in the record, but they 14 are available to anyone. 15 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Where would they be 16 available, in the department? 17 MR. EWALD: Through a public records 18 request or they can come into council office and ask 19 for a copy. 20 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Thank you. 2.1 2.2 23

24

2.1

2.2

- - -

PUBLIC HEARING

PRESIDENT JENSEN: At this time we will begin the public hearing. BZA 0001-2017, to consider an appeal from the Planning Commission's denial of the application, CU-0009-2016, to consider an amended Conditional Use Application to allow a residential drug and alcohol rehabilitation facility in a Community Commercial (CC) zoning district, for property located at 121 James Road and 175 West Johnstown Road; Parcel ID Nos. 025-000855, 025-000798, 025-000890; Access Ohio, LLC, Donald T. Plank, applicant.

To set some of the rules for the process for the public meeting, this hearing will be open with the appellant's being allowed 15 minutes. The appellee 15 minutes, the staff will be allowed five minutes for comments, and we will have to determine the number of minutes for public comment.

According to the rules, according to the City of Gahanna Rules of Procedure, Board of Zoning and Appeals, five minutes is allowed for public comment. Additional time may be allowed at the discretion of the chair.

Comments and questions of the Board shall be allowed during the course of the meeting, the hearing, and the Board can consider any relevant evidence discussed not contained in the record.

2.1

2.2

At this time would the appellant begin your presentation.

MR. PLANK: Members of the Board, my name is Donald Plank. I'm the attorney for the appellant. The appellant is an et al. It's Access Energy, owner of the property, and Access Ohio, who will be the operator of the property. They are in health services in central Ohio and southern Ohio.

At the outset I'd like to make a couple of objections. I was introduced this evening to Mr. Reed, who is the attorney for the Planning Commission. It's very unusual that the Planning Commission or the deciding body would be represented at a subsequent appeal. I don't understand in any situation during an appeal in which the deciding Board who is supposed to be an independent body is actually appearing before the appeal level and arguing. With that, I'll make that objection.

The second objection is the time period.

I will try to comply with the 15 minutes, but if I

- need more than that, by 2506 if the appeal has a defect in the hearing, if I can't get through my hearing -- I'll do what I can to get by in 15 minutes, but it will be difficult to.
- 5 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Well, the thing is I'm 6 sure you saw the rules.
- 7 MR. PLANK: I saw the rules.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- MR. WATKINS: I would add to the objection, the rules on their face provide us with a lack of due process. The Board is allowed 15 minutes and then the staff is allowed five minutes. That's 20 minutes for one side and 15 minutes for the other side, and it just brings the fact what Mr. Plank is just talking, and that is, it's very unusual to have this kind of situation where the Board itself is a party.
- 17 MR. PLANK: With those two objections, 18 I'll start my presentation. I believe we are all 19 working out of this set of documents, and typically 20 I've got exhibits that I'm showing. I'm going to 2.1 refer by page to the documents. And I don't know 2.2 that you want to go through these at this time or it 23 will take significantly more than 15 minutes. I will refer to the pages and, if necessary, cite sections, 24

as you choose.

2.

2.1

2.2

This appeal is from a decision of the Planning Commission denying Access Ohio's application for a Conditional Use permit. The property, and I don't know how familiar you are with it, but it's actually three parcels. It's 4.25 acres, and that's found on page 131 of the exhibits. It has access from both Johnstown Road and from James Road.

The property, the entire property, is zoned CC, Community Commercial. I refer to the official zoning map at page 182 in your documents. The building, the property is improved with two buildings. The 175 Johnstown Road building is an office, and the 121 James Road is a former nursing home. The living quarters that we're proposing would be in the James Road building. It was built in 1979.

I have a series of exhibits. I will give you the page numbers and then the document. On page 138 is the building permit application from 1979. On page 137 is the zoning certificate that was issued for the nursing home in 1979. On page 151 is the actual building permit that was issued, and on page 150 the fire department gave its notice that it could be occupied.

The Certificate of Approval & Occupancy was issued. That's on page 152. And there was actually evidence the City participated in the funding of the building back in 1979, and that evidence is at page 188 and page 192.

2.1

2.2

Our search of the City records -- and I need to state also on the record the transcript or the recording of the hearing before the Planning Commission was not available. It just didn't work, so we're working without the verbal. So I'll tell you what my testimony was, but I won't be able to point to any particular section of that.

But the testimony at the previous hearing was based on our search of the City records and a records request from the City and no zoning violations for the building were ever submitted.

The point being that the building was built and used as a 90-bed nursing home. It was built for living quarters. The surrounding area -- quickly, the zoning map of the property is at page 182. The surrounding area is zoned predominantly commercial.

The Community Commercial District permits restaurants, convenience stores, carry-outs, liquor

1 stores, nursing homes, convalescent homes, rest homes, as examples. I mean, they obviously allow 3 their uses. The testimony was that there were a number of bars in the area. There's a United Dairy 5 Farmers across the street and various commercial in 6 the area.

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

The south property line of this 4.25 areas and everything to the north of 62, practically all of that is zoned CC, commercial, so that and the surrounding area is CC, commercial.

The next most prevalent zone that is typical or is similar to, there's an AR, multifamily family, and there's an SF-3, single-family. There is a home to our east, a home immediately to our south.

We had met with the neighbors, City staff, Planning Commission members a number of times through their workshops. It resulted in the conditions that you will see at page 5. Initially those conditions started out on my behalf of just addressing land-use issues. If you see No. 5 of the conditions, it deals with a complaint that the neighbors had of the prior nursing home, and that was smoking in the back; likewise, No. 6 deals with outside activity. Those were all then moved to the

front under the conditions that you have in front of you.

2.

2.1

2.2

We also dealt with screening by putting a fence up, and we also dealt with the likelihood we would change the access into the site, take the access off of James Road away and come in through Johnstown Road. We did that, again, at the request of at least one of the neighbors.

The testimony at that hearing was that no traffic study was required for this change of use.

Understand, it may be what we call a traffic or an access study. That would just be dealing with the change in access and using the parking that's on Johnstown Road as parking for the entire site. So that's the background.

The law and argument, it's important to understand what the conditional use that we are requesting is. The conditional use is to permit living quarters as an integral part of a permitted-use building, living quarters as part of a permitted-use building. That's what 1153(b)(2) -- that's at page 178. That request was clearly stated in both our applications, page 98 and page 114.

categorized as a residential drug and alcohol
rehabilitation facility, but the conditional use
request is for living quarters as part of a
permitted-use building. The permitted use is for the
drug and alcohol treatment center and outpatient
clinic. Staff report pages 108 and 123 acknowledges
the permitted use in the CC zoning district.

2.1

2.2

The outpatient portion is a permitted use in the CC zoning district. The proposed use is living quarters in a former 90-bed nursing home, designed, permitted, constructed, and operated as living quarters for the elderly and the infirm.

Our specific use is living quarters for up to 70 days in an existing building with interior renovation not involving structural changes. On page 199 we requested an adjudication order from the building department to determine what we needed to bring this up to code. Page 201 is our architect's response to that adjudication order.

The issue of whether or not we had a one-hour fire rating, three-quarter-hour fire rating on the doors. In fact, the building has that. The only thing we have to do to bring it up to code is the alarm system. The individual beds had to be

attached to the alarm system, and that had to do more with the passage of time than the previous use. The previous use if it went in today would have to do the same thing.

2.1

2.2

The testimony was there is no expansion or enlargement in the proposed plan. The only change from the nursing home use will be the handicapped status of the people living there. We're going from infirmed and elderly to individuals with the handicap of drug and alcohol rehabilitation.

1169.04 states the four conditions in which the Board or the Planning Commission was to review in determining whether or not the use was permitted. I don't know if I have what page that is, but it's in your book.

MEMBER EISEN: It's on page 1, right on your appeal.

MR. PLANK: Thank you. "The Planning Commission shall approve an application for conditional use if the following four conditions are met."

And the first is that "the proposed use is a conditional use of the zoning district and the applicable development standards established in this

Zoning Ordinance are met."

2.1

2.2

The City has acknowledged at page 108 that this was indeed a conditional use of that zoning district. And that gets us into my argument. My argument is Nos. 2, 3 and 4 don't talk about proposed use. They talk about proposed development. My argument was and remains that the code clearly makes a distinction between proposed use and proposed development.

The proposed development, the development occurred in 1979. Any conditions dealing with the proposed development occurred in 1979. As to items 2, 3, and 4, those conditions are not appealable -- I'm sorry. They're not applicable -- they're clearly appealable -- not applicable to developed property. It makes no sense.

Now, the City in their staff report,

page 108 -- if I might read this because this is how

we get to the problem with the appeal. "The question

has been raised" -- and this is from the staff

report, page 108 -- "if the application is considered

'development' or not" -- my argument before the

Planning Commission. "It has been stated by the

applicant that the request is not 'development' and

therefore the conditions which refer to 'development'
are not applicable. To answer this question we must
review the Code. The Code does not define the term
'development.' In cases where words are not defined
in the Code, then the definition found in The Latest
Illustrated Book of Development Definitions shall be
used." And that quote cites no code section.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

I'd like to present to the Board

Section 1123.01(b), which is where that quote

supposedly came from, and I'd like to share that with

the Board if I might, and it is the code.

So the citation in the staff report is really referring to (a) of that section, and (a) reads, "Words not particularly defined herein shall be defined and found in The Latest Illustrated Book of Development Definitions, 2004, by Harvey S. Moskowitz," and it goes on.

(B) of that section, "Except where specifically defined herein, all words used in the Zoning Ordinance shall carry their customary meanings."

We have gone back and forth in our office as to what the heck that means. The City obviously chose (a). We chose (b). And I think there's a

```
1
     conflict in the language. And I can tell you based
 2.
     on 35 years of doing zoning work, the words
 3
     "development" and "use" are not synonymous. Common
 4
     ordinary use of that word is not that they are --
 5
     that they mean the same. In fact, if you take a look
 6
     at the code itself, 1169.04 talks about proposed use
     and then three times it talks about proposed
 8
     development. If they wanted to say proposed use,
     that's a simple word to put into the code text, and
10
     it was not. If you take a look at 1169.04(a)(4), one
11
     of the conditions, it also distinguishes between land
12
     use and land development.
13
                 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Mr. Plank, excuse me.
14
     How much more time do you need?
15
                 MR. PLANK: Ten minutes maybe.
16
                 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Can you make it less?
17
                 MR. PLANK: I can proffer my information.
18
                 MEMBER PACK: I'd like to make a motion
19
     that Mr. Plank get another ten minutes to make his
20
     full presentation.
2.1
                 MEMBER EISEN: I second.
                 PRESIDENT JENSEN: All in favor?
2.2
23
                 (Vote taken; motion passes.)
24
                 MR. PLANK:
                             Then quickly, 1169.04(a)
```

again uses the word "land use" and "physical development." It uses those two words. They're not used synonymously.

2.1

2.2

And, finally, the testimony before the Planning Commission by the City was that no development plan was required for our change of use. We will need a zoning certificate for change of use, as you would in any jurisdiction, but no development plan is required as a part of this change of use.

I would also, as to Section (a) of that,

I have an affidavit from my secretary I'd like to

submit for the record in her efforts to obtain this

book. If I can read from the affidavit, it says

basically that the book is available at the Miami

University and Ohio State law libraries and the

University of Cincinnati, but it is missing right

now.

The City of Gahanna does have a copy. We came in to take a look at it and make copies, and we could not make copies because of the copyright law. Fine, I understand that, but zoning codes, zoning codes that affect how I can and if I can use my property have to be available. They have to be in a situation that I can read it and know what I can do

with my property. I can't do that with (a).

2.

2.1

2.2

And so the result of the City's definition and by using "use" and "development" the same resulted in the proposed development, basically, that the application allowed for the application of outdated planning areas, dated 15 and 17 years ago.

They were contradictory. Some said I could have it.

Some said I couldn't. In fact, one of the plans that the City cited as not being able to justify our use actually showed our building and said that building is to remain.

That's not my argument. My argument, we don't even get to that argument. I would for the record just state also we also believe that we are a permitted use. We asked for a conditional use. We applied conditions to it, and I've made this argument in letters pages 6 through 11, and that is that definition 1123.31, which is at page 176 of your document, defines nursing home, convalescent home, rest home as permitted uses in the CC district.

When you look at that definition, it also includes homes for substance abuse individuals. By including that definition with the definitions that are permitted in the zoning district, my argument is

that is, in fact, permitted use. The problem is that a substance abuse home for substance abuse users is not included anywhere else in the code, but it is included in those definitions that are permitted in the CC zoning district. If it is permitted use, the conditions we agreed to will not apply.

2.1

2.2

Finally, and in summary, I respectfully submit to the Board that the strict application of the code entitles my client to the requested conditional use.

You know, we take a look at these codes, and we have these hearings, and I have been in many emotional hearings. I used to represent people in halfway houses, and I've been through this before, but the code is not what we want it to be. It's not what we think it should be. It's what it says, and what this code says -- and all laws should be such. These are not popularity contests. We got the code. It has to be applied, especially when we are dealing with zoning codes.

Understand that zoning codes affect significant property rights, and when we talk interpreting codes that conflict or that I can't find code sections, codes have to be -- zoning codes have

- to be interpreted in a manner that allows for the

 free use of property, and the Supreme Court has said

 on a number of occasions if there is a conflict in

 the code, it has to be interpreted in favor of the

 free use of land.
- 6 With that, I conclude my argument.
- 7 MR. WATKINS: And I'd just like to make 8 one objection. Mr. Plank mentioned that the 9 transcript is not available and that a recording of 10 the hearing is not available. We make a formal 11 objection to that, and it is our position that 12 without a transcript, I think you have to take 13 Mr. Plank's characterization of what happened and 14 what the testimony was at the hearing as fact.
- MEMBER ADJOUA: I have a question,

 Mr. Plank. Mr. Plank, how long was this used as a

 nursing home?
- MR. PLANK: From 1979, I believe, to about two years ago.
- 20 MEMBER ADJOUA: Okay. And you said your 21 living quarters would have 70 beds.
- MR. PLANK: Yes.
- MEMBER ADJOUA: What about what's the projected number of patients or people for the

- 1 | outpatient area?
- 2 MR. PLANK: You know, we agreed in the
- 3 | conditions that the outpatient would be used in the
- 4 office building, and that would be done by
- 5 appointment only.
- 6 MEMBER ADJOUA: Any anticipated number or
- 7 | number of people projected?
- MR. PLANK: We do not. But it would be
- 9 limited to the office building on Johnstown Road and
- 10 | not the nursing home, not at the living quarters
- 11 facility.
- 12 MEMBER ADJOUA: So would that be a
- 13 24-hour outpatient facility?
- MR. PLANK: No, it would not. We have
- 15 doctors' hours. It's manned by doctors and
- 16 therapists. And that, by the way, is a permitted
- 17 use. That portion of the use of the property is
- 18 | permitted.
- 19 MEMBER ADJOUA: Thank you.
- MR. REED: Mr. Chairman, my name is Frank
- 21 Reed. I'm a lawyer at the law firm of Frost, Brown,
- 22 Todd, and I've been asked to be special counsel to
- 23 | the Planning Commission.
- 24 And I think that the reason that the City

of Gahanna -- of course, every city is a little bit different. When a person has an application before a zoning matter, it first has to go to the Planning Commission. And the Planning Commission heard a lot of evidence. There were several days of hearings.

2.1

2.2

Your clerk of council put together a very nice book, which I think is available to anybody that wants to see it, including people in the audience, that shows the application. It shows each of the meeting agendas. It shows very complete minutes of what occurred at each meeting.

And at the final two hearings, there was a lot of residents that appeared. I think the clerk did a nice job of trying to summarize some of the points made by each of the residents, as well as by the applicant.

I have very high regard for Don Plank.

Don is a wonderful zoning lawyer. His firm is

well-known in the central Ohio community, and I have

great respect for him.

I want to thank each of you because I know you don't get paid for this job, being on the Board of Zoning Appeals. I know you do it out of public service. I know that each of you care about

the City of Gahanna very greatly. So I know you have each taken prior to today an opportunity to review this very long book, and some of you have read the minutes very completely and the application.

2.1

2.2

And I recognize that not everyone on this Board is a lawyer, and so you're asked to decide legal arguments. So what I'd like to do is to point out to you a couple things that are in your charter and in your City Code and sort of outline what I understand the procedure to be.

So the first part is your City Charter.

Your City Charter says that the Planning Commission
may establish its rules of operation. Meetings shall
be open to the public, and a record of its
resolutions, findings, and determinations shall be
maintained.

The charter also says at 12.03, "The Board shall decide its cases to afford justice and avoid unreasonable hardship to citizens."

There's another provision of the Gahanna City Code, which is, of course, different from the charter. It starts with 1105.06, and it talks about planning and zoning and platting, and it talks about if you were to ask for a plat or a subdivision, one

of the things you have to look for is whether the application will adversely affect the health and safety of persons living or working within the area, whether the application is materially detrimental to the public welfare, whether the application is contradictory to existing city development standards, zoning and ordinances.

2.

2.1

2.2

administrative orders, that's to this body, the Board of Zoning & Building Appeals, and we know if one side or the other didn't like the result of that, there could be an appeal to court. So, in other words, it doesn't go to your elected city council. It goes directly to court.

1169.01 of your code says about conditional use, and it gives you some instructive language about intent. It says, the intent is to set forth the development standards and criteria for locating and developing a conditional use in accordance with the nature of the surrounding area.

Finally, Mr. Plank and I absolutely agree that the relevant section for this Board to look at today is 1169.04, and that's the Actions of the Planning Commission. The commission shall approve an

application for a conditional use, and that's what this application is, if all of the four conditions are met.

2.1

2.2

One, the proposed use is a conditional use of the zoning district. Now, Mr. Plank has a creative argument for you to consider. He says, Well, there's a determination that his is already a conditional use. I would say that that definition is subsumed in what the meaning of the definition is.

So what I say is you would have to look at all four prongs to really understand what this means.

The second prong is, is the proposed development in accord with the appropriate plans for the area.

No. 3 is the proposed development will not have undesirable effects on the surrounding area.

And fourth and finally, the proposed development will be in keeping with the existing land use character and physical development potential of the area.

I will submit to you, members of the Board, that this proposed development, because it's a residential drug and alcohol facility, does not meet what is provided under the code. And if this

application were to say to either the Planning

Commission or to this Board or to the City of

Gahanna, Look, we want to have an outpatient

facility, I don't think we'd have this hearing today.

2.1

2.2

I know that there were some discussions about, you know, Hey, if we were to make certain conditions about fencing or lighting or security or things like that, well, that puts you into a different category of your code. That means you approve with modifications, and I would submit to you, ladies and gentlemen of the Board, we're not there. Where we are is under 1169.04(a), and that is, these four conditions we've submitted.

Mr. Chairman, I know that you want to hear from several residents today. I know that the book that has already been provided to each of you is already part of the record. There's only one part of that I'd like to highlight.

I'd like to ask the clerk of courts to assist me because I see you have a projector. Maybe we can use that exhibit. What I'm looking for is a report, it's in the book, from the Gahanna Police Department.

Kim, while you're doing that, I'll get my

1 copy.

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

MS. BANNING: What page is that on?

3 MR. REED: I have to look it up. One

4 second here. It starts at tab 7 of the book,

5 starting with pages 203 to 206, and there's some

6 pictures behind it.

7 MS. BANNING: Start with the memorandum 8 or e-mail?

MR. REED: 203.

Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, the
Planning Commission would like to call its only
witness, Lieutenant Sheila Murphy from the Gahanna
Police Department.

MR. WATKINS: We will object to the calling of the witness. It has not been disclosed, and we had no way of preparing.

MR. REED: Mr. Chairman, thank you for the opportunity to be heard on that. The rules are clear that if there is an exhibit to be introduced, it has to be shared with the other side and with this Commission three days in advance.

I submit to you that these documents are part of the appeal binder. They start at page 203 and they go to page 227. There is not,

Mr. Chairman, a rule that says that you have to in advance notify what witnesses you're going to call.

2.1

2.2

I believe that Lieutenant Murphy has been with the police department for 23 years. I know she testified in front of the Planning Commission. I think her testimony today would be instructive to the Board today to understand what the Planning Commission had to look at.

MR. WATKINS: I'd like to make a second objection, again renew our objection to the fact the transcript is not available and the recording is not available. While she did testify before the Planning Commission, we didn't know she was going to testify here. We have no idea if what she is going to say here is going to conflict with what she said then. So, again, the fact that there is no transcript and the fact that this witness has not been given to us before, we renew our objection.

MR. REED: Mr. Chairman, I will tell you that the rules that govern the Planning Commission are different than the rules that govern the Board of Zoning Appeals. The rules that govern the Board of Zoning Appeals provide for a court reporter if somebody had asked for it, and the court reporter is

1 here.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

2 In many respects this is your opportunity 3 to hear firsthand from various witnesses, much like 4 you will hear from various witnesses, the general 5 public, who neither counsel for either side knew who 6 was going to be here or what they would say. I believe that this testimony is relevant. I believe 8 that there's no obligation to make a tape-recording of any meeting of the Planning Commission. The only 10 requirement under Ohio law under 122 is to make 11 accurate minutes, and that has been done.

So I would ask that the Board allow
Lieutenant Murphy to testify today.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Anyone on the Board have an objection?

MR. WATKINS: I'd like to also state the record speaks for itself. There's no need for her testimony.

MEMBER PACK: I would like 30 seconds to review our rules and procedure, Mr. Chairman, if that's okay.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Yes.

Mr. Pack, are you prepared?

MEMBER PACK: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to

- make a motion we allow Lieutenant Murphy's testimony
 to be entered into the record.
- 3 MEMBER ADJOUA: And I second that motion.
- 4 PRESIDENT JENSEN: I agree. Any
- 5 discussion?

6

7

8

10

11

12

- MEMBER ADJOUA: I think the objection is null. I believe there are business records in this book that we received in the notebook. She's just really confirming they are business records.
- PRESIDENT JENSEN: She was part of the testimony previously at the Planning Commission. We had seen what she said before but she can reconfirm.
- Go ahead.
- MEMBER PACK: I would as part of the
 discussion, I want this hearing to be even-handed.
 We gave the appellant extra time. This Board -under our rules of procedure we can admit evidence as
- 18 well as witnesses that we feel are relevant, in which
- 19 case Lieutenant Murphy has some testimony inside our
- 20 appellant booklet for preparation, so I feel it's
- 21 relevant at this time.
- 22 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Roll call.
- MEMBER ADJOUA: Yes.
- MS. BANNING: Pack.

Page 37 1 MEMBER PACK: Yes. 2 MS. BANNING: Jensen. 3 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Yes. 4 MS. BANNING: Mecozzi. 5 VICE PRESIDENT MECOZZI: Yes. 6 MS. BANNING: Eisen. 7 MEMBER EISEN: Yes. MR. REED: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 9 Lieutenant Murphy, can you take the 10 witness stand for us, please. 11 12 LIEUTENANT SHEILA MURPHY 13 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 14 examined and testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 By Mr. Reed: 17 You don't have the benefit of a 18 microphone. I would count on you to speak loudly, 19 all right? 20 Α. That won't be a problem. 2.1 Lieutenant Murphy, state your full name 2.2 for the record. 23 Lieutenant Sheila Murphy. Α. And what is your position? 24 Q.

- 1 A. I'm the administrative lieutenant for the 2 Gahanna Police Department.
- Q. And how long have you been a sworn officer for the Gahanna Police Department?
 - A. I'm in my 23rd year.
- Q. Very well. Where are you originally
- 7 | from, Lieutenant Murphy?
- 8 A. From the Gahanna area.
- 9 Q. I see. So you went to high school here?
- 10 A. Yes.

- Q. Grew up here?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you. And what did you do after
- 14 high school?
- A. I joined the military.
- Q. All right. And what branch of the
- 17 military?
- 18 A. I was in the U.S. Army.
- 19 Q. All right. How many years did you serve?
- A. Three.
- Q. What did you do in the United States
- 22 Army?
- A. I was a military police officer.
- Q. Wonderful. Thank you for your service.

- 1 What did you do after serving in the United States
- 2 Army?

9

20

- 3 A. I went to Kroger until I got my job here.
- Q. Okay. And where did you go to take your test for the police department?
- 6 A. The tests were given at the high school.
- Q. Okay. And what academy, if any, did you go through?
 - A. The Ohio State Highway Patrol.
- Q. All right. Did you graduate successfully from the State Highway Patrol Academy?
- 12 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. All right. And what was your first job as a sworn police officer?
- 15 A. I was a patrol officer.
- 16 Q. Okay. In what jurisdiction?
- 17 A. City of Gahanna.
- 18 Q. Thank you. And at some point did you
 19 have an opportunity, Lieutenant Murphy, to conduct an

investigation with regard to the application for

- 21 Access Ohio on its conditional use permit?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. Tell us a little bit about
- 24 that investigation.

- A. I was tasked by my boss to go to Dayton

 at the request of Access Ohio to look at the facility

 area and the surrounding area.
 - Q. All right. And explain to the members of the Board why Dayton?
 - A. Apparently that's where the Access Ohio facility is, the only one.
 - Q. Okay. So the applicant has an existing facility; is that right?
- 10 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

2.1

2.2

23

- 11 Q. That's in the city of Dayton?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you, Lieutenant. And did you, in fact, go to that facility and visit it?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And what day did you go?
- 17 A. It was in January. I believe the 27th.
- Q. Okay. So if I can ask Kim to help on the large screen so the audience and opposing counsel can see this. Page 205, please.
 - Lieutenant Murphy, we probably have an extra copy in the room if you need it. I ask you to direct your attention to the top of the screen. I believe each of the members of the Board have their

- 1 own book. This is page 205 of the appeal binder.
- 2 What is that document, please?
- A. That is a summary of my visit to the Dayton Access Ohio facility.
 - Q. And who wrote this report?
- 6 A. I did.

5

7

8

9

- Q. Okay. And who did you write it to?
 - A. Deputy Chief Spence.
- Q. Okay. And can you tell us without reading this report what exactly does the report say?
- A. It's a summary of what we observed around the area of the facility and a summary of speaking to one of the sergeants from the Dayton Police

 Department.
- Q. Okay. Did you go with anybody else from the Gahanna Police Department?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And who did you go with?
- 19 A. Detective Blair Thomas.
- Q. Thank you. And what did you observe once you got to the facility in Dayton owned and operated by Access Ohio?
- A. It appeared to be an old hospital. It had a large parking lot. Probably over, I'd say,

- 1 | 100 yards from the facility was surrounded by
- 2 residences. There was a track about 50 yards from
- 3 the back of the facility, a school, and a police
- 4 substation.

7

8

9

16

17

18

- 5 Q. Okay. Let me ask you about the track.
- 6 You said that was part of what, a high school then?
 - A. Yeah. There's a school over there.
 - Q. Okay. And you said that there was some residential?
- 10 A. Yes, there were houses.
- Q. Okay. Lieutenant Murphy, how far away were the houses from Access Ohio's facilities?
- A. They were at least 100 yards, maybe more,
 more than about a football field, maybe a football
 field and a half.
 - Q. All right. Lieutenant Murphy, you have been a police officer here in Gahanna for 23 years.

 Are you familiar with the site that is the subject of this application?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Where is that Lieutenant Murphy?
- A. On the corner of James Road and West
 Johnstown.
- Q. Okay. Are there residences in that area?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. And how close are the residences,
 Lieutenant Murphy, to this proposed facility?
- A. I would say maybe 20 yards, maybe a little bit more.
 - Q. Okay. So much closer than the one in Dayton?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. What did you do when you got to the Dayton area?
 - A. We drove onto the facility grounds, went around the back of the building trying to observe cameras, how close the houses were, the condition -- we even looked at the condition of the lot and took several pictures to try to catch the range of how far the houses were from the facility.
- Q. And are those digital pictures a part of your report?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And are those included in the record?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. I will ask Kim to show those in a minute,
 but I have a couple other questions before we do
 that. What did you do next after you visited Access

1 Ohio?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

- A. We went to the Dayton substation, which is located right off the back of the property.
 - Q. And who, if anyone, did you speak to?
 - A. To Sergeant Beavers.
- Q. And what did you learn after speaking with the police officer in Dayton?
- A. We asked him about calls for service, did they have any problems, just the general activity around the location.
- Q. Did you ask about any crimes at that facility that they were called to run upon?
- PRESIDENT JENSEN: Mr. Reed, that's 15
 minutes. How much more time do we need for the
 testimony?
- MR. REED: Thank you. I believe we can complete this testimony in three minutes and I have probably two minutes of remarks.
 - PRESIDENT JENSEN: Is that okay with everybody else?
- 21 Proceed.
- MR. REED: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 23 Thank you, members.
- Q. (By Mr. Reed) Were there any crimes that

- you asked the Dayton police officers about as it relates to where they were called to that facility to deal with, either calls or disturbances, things like that?
 - A. Yes. We asked them what their call volume was there.
 - Q. And what did you learn?
 - A. The majority of calls was when they had to take prisoners to hospitals, doctors' appointments, court appointments, and anything else that they need to be escorted to.
 - Q. Was there anything else?
 - A. He said they had mental health issues where they had to use pinks slips, thefts, and some crimes where someone pulled a shard of glass on one of the employees there.
 - Q. So a patient?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- Q. I see. And looking at your report, you put down warrant arrests. What do you mean by that?
- A. I assume that when someone comes into their facility, they have to run some sort of check on who they are, and if there's a warrant on them, they have to arrest them.

- Q. And Sergeant Beavers was the person you talked to. At the bottom of your report you talked about domestic violence issues.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And people bringing in contraband and ODs.
- 7 A. Overdoses.
- 8 Q. Thank you. And that's what you also
- 9 learned?

- 10 A. Yes, sir?
- MR. REED: All right. Ms. Banning, I ask
 you to show the pictures briefly.
- Q. (By Mr. Reed) Are these fair and accurate depictions of what you saw at the facility as it relates to nearby residences in Dayton?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. I will ask you to flip
 through all of those as we ask the last couple of
 questions.
- Lieutenant Murphy, you have been a patrol officer; is that right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. What other positions have you held in the Gahanna Police Department?

- A. I was a bike patrol officer and most recently in the detective bureau.
 - Q. And what is your rank today?
 - A. Lieutenant.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- Q. All right. During the time you were on patrol or during the time you were a detective, did you ever have occasion to go to a Parkside residential facility?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What is Parkside?
- A. It's an inpatient and outpatient alcohol and drug rehabilitation center.
 - Q. I see. And where is located, please?
 - A. On Olde Ridenour Road, on the corner of Chapelfield and Olde Ridenour.
 - Q. All right. Can you tell the members of the Board what were some of the calls you personally were involved in as it relates to Parkside, this alcohol/drug treatment facility?
- A. I've been personally sent on fights, thefts, patients stealing from patients, patients stealing from staff, suicides, ODs, domestic violences, fire alarms, and patients that just walk away that we have to find.

- Q. Uh-huh. Has there been any use of illegal drugs or alcohol at the facility?
- A. Yes. We get calls from the staff when they find narcotics on patients, and we actually had -- most recently this year we had a staff person who was selling drugs to one of the patients, who was then selling it to other patients.

MR. REED: Thank you, Lieutenant Murphy.

I have no further questions, and I would ask if counsel would like to ask any questions of this witness.

MR. PLANK: I need the Board to tell me I can.

14 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Go ahead.

_ _ _

16 CROSS-EXAMINATION

17 By Mr. Plank:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

15

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. A couple of questions. Now, when you went down to Dayton, did you inquire as to what was being done at the hospital facility that Access Ohio was running?
- A. The only person I spoke to was Sergeant
 Beavers.
- Q. But no one at the facility.

- A. No. We did not have contact with anyone at the facility.
 - Q. You are not aware that most of that facility is a psychiatric ward?
 - A. I was only going to check out the area.
 - Q. You have no idea when Access Ohio started operating their residential treatment facility at that location?
 - A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

23

24

MR. PLANK: And I would submit to you that was discussed at great length, we don't have a transcript, a good majority of those calls are from the psychiatric ward. They have been only operating their residential facility since 2015. They have an arrangement with the police department down there that police drop off people that are having psychotic episodes. They then move them back and forth.

I have a member from Access Ohio here to refute what was said, but I'd basically ask --

20 PRESIDENT JENSEN: How long has Access
21 Ohio been in business?

MR. REED: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to ask this witness be excused today and thank her for her time.

- 1 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Thank you.
- 2 At this point the question was, how long
- 3 has Access Ohio been in business.
- 4 MS. PARSLEY: Access Ohio has been in
- 5 | business since 2006. We did not purchase Wayne
- 6 Avenue until 2010. We did not start operations until
- 7 | September 26, 2015, and that was with a psychiatric
- 8 | ward. The residential program did not start until
- 9 | September 14, 2015.
- 10 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Your length of
- 11 experience in this inpatient/outpatient business is
- 12 less than two years.
- MS. PARSLEY: Correct, at that location.
- 14 PRESIDENT JENSEN: How about just
- 15 overall?
- MS. PARSLEY: I mean, as far as
- 17 Dr. Johnson, our owner, he has 35 years of
- 18 experience.
- 19 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Access Ohio being in
- 20 | business dealing with patients.
- MS. PARSLEY: He started in business in
- 22 2006.
- 23 PRESIDENT JENSEN: With inpatient and
- 24 | outpatient?

- 1 MS. PARSLEY: Correct.
- 2 MEMBER ADJOUA: Is there a residential
- 3 | facility also?
- 4 MS. PARSLEY: We started the residential
- 5 | facility at that location on September 14, 2015.
- 6 MEMBER ADJOUA: How many beds does it
- 7 have?
- MS. PARSLEY: It has 54 beds.
- 9 PRESIDENT JENSEN: At this time do we
- 10 have City staff that has any comments or has it been
- 11 | covered by Mr. Reed?
- MR. EWALD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 13 There will not be anyone from staff to testify. We
- 14 stand on the record as submitted.
- 15 PRESIDENT JENSEN: At this time at the
- 16 back of meeting room there were some forms that we
- 17 asked folks to sign up and fill out if they wanted to
- 18 speak this evening. We have seven.
- In the interest of people and fairness to
- 20 | everybody, we will -- I don't know any of these folks
- 21 here, so I have no idea or what agendas, opponent or
- 22 proponent, so we will start with Gregory Russell,
- 23 | 102 James Road. You will be allowed five minutes.
- MR. REED: I just want to make a

```
clarification. If you let each witness speak five minutes, that could be a long time. It's up to the Commission, however you want to do it.
```

2.

2.1

2.2

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Our rules state five minutes. If we as a Board want to adjust that, I have no idea how long each person is speaking.

Mr. Pack, do you have suggestions?

MEMBER PACK: So let me get this correct.

We are going to be listening to opponents.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: It's my understanding there are mixed public comments.

MEMBER PACK: Public comments.

MR. REED: Mr. Jensen, on behalf the Commission I think your rule for the Board of Zoning Appeals says the entire public is allowed five minutes. I think each of you would say that is probably not sufficient given the number of people. So I have a suggestion. My suggestion is that you ask anyone who asked to testify today be limited to two minutes. I think that will still give people a chance to speak and give you their opinion, but also I think it recognizes there's a lot of people who already testified, maybe duplicate times in front of the Planning Commission. That would be my

- 1 recommendation, Mr. Chairman.
- 2 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Anybody on the Board
- 3 | have an opinion?
- 4 Does the appellant have an opinion?
- 5 MR. PLANK: I have a lot of opinions.
- 6 PRESIDENT JENSEN: On that issue.
- 7 MR. PLANK: I do not. I think you're
- 8 going to see mostly opponents. I sat through it
- 9 before.
- 10 MEMBER PACK: Mr. Chairman, my
- 11 recommendation is to -- if people want to speak, we
- 12 should let them speak, let them be heard. Maybe
- 13 limit them to about 60 seconds or so or 90 seconds
- 14 and go from there.
- 15 PRESIDENT JENSEN: I will give two
- 16 minutes max. That way it is equal and fair. I
- 17 | assume some people will be less than two minutes and
- 18 | some people will have to be stopped.
- 19 Mr. Russell.
- 20 MR. RUSSELL: I live directly across the
- 21 street from this facility, and my argument is nothing
- 22 new. I just don't really want a drug and rehab
- 23 | facility across the street from my house simply
- 24 | because I know it will make my property value go

down, and I worry for the safety of my grandchildren as well.

3 Thank you.

2.1

2.2

PRESIDENT JENSEN: The Board would like to call Carma Godby, 102 James Road.

MS. GODBY: Hi. My name is Carma Godby. I live at 102 James Road, and I am both a resident and professional realtor in the neighborhood. I've been a realtor for 24 years.

I wanted to bring some information here. There was an actual study done in Virginia on the treatment centers and how they affect home prices, and I will leave this for you. This was done in Farmington, Virginia, and the information was compiled from the MLS data, and it was found within one-eighth mile radius of any residential treatment center, the property values were affected with an 8 percent reduction. And if there are heroin or morphine addiction in there, those cases, then the home values are reduced by up to 17 percent. That is what the research has found.

I can tell you when we're talking about use, I am all for nursing homes and elderly facilities. We actually had a family member that

```
lived in the previous nursing home. And if given the choice to live there with that being a drug and alcohol rehab center, we would not have bought there.
```

Thank you.

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Thank you.

So I can tell you it does affect our values.

MR. WATKINS: I would object to the introduction of this evidence. It is last minute and also hearsay.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: We are not accepting it.

The Board now calls Jean Mitchell.

MS. MATHEWS-MITCHELL: I'm Jean Mitchell, and I have a master's degree in nursing, almost a doctorate in nursing, in executive nursing. I was born and raised in Gahanna and am very familiar with the nursing home facility that was there. Actually, I went to school with the daughter of the person who built the nursing home and worked in there as well, so I'm very familiar with that area.

I just wanted to point out a couple things new from the testimony of Mr. Plank that are just questions that I just really have pondered.

So the nursing home residents require

medical care. They did in 1979. They were never

just left in there as residents. There was always a

doctor. There was always a nurse around the clock in

there.

2.1

2.2

And in AOD, alcohol and drugs, which I will refer to as AOD, AOD residents, this facility that they want to open, it's a nonmedical residential facility, so there are not going to be medical personnel.

They're different types of residents from nursing homes, so the living quarters that they're saying are not really the same as nursing-home patients. Nursing-home patients were there to receive medical care, so I was just thinking that would be a difference in conditional use for what it is.

Also there are different rules that regulate nursing homes as well as these AOD facilities, so nursing homes in 1979 were governed by HCFA, which is now CMS, you know, for the state. So they go by state regulations, but, you know, they just can't do whatever they want to do. They have to do that according to those regulations. AOD is licensed by OMHAS.

- 1 PRESIDENT JENSEN: That's two minutes.
- 2 MS. MATHEWS-MITCHELL: That's just a
- 3 little.
- 4 MR. WATKINS: We are going to object to
- 5 | this testimony. I believe this person is not a
- 6 resident and has no interest, and she is attempting
- 7 to testify as an expert. She's not an expert as far
- 8 as this Board is concerned.
- 9 MS. MATHEWS-MITCHELL: I've worked in the
- 10 | field for over 40 years.
- MR. WATKINS: Do you live in Gahanna?
- MS. MATHEWS-MITCHELL: I had. I just
- 13 | moved recently out of Gahanna.
- 14 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Thank you. That's
- 15 | fine. Please sit down.
- The Board now calls to speak Christine
- 17 | Unverzagt.
- 18 MS. UNVERZAGT: I'm Christine Unverzagt.
- 19 I live at 84 Orchard Drive. I am the author of the
- 20 letter you received this morning. The letter that I
- 21 received on this meeting on April 3 did not have a
- 22 deadline as far as when anything needed to be
- 23 | submitted, just as a point of interest.
- In my letter I stated that we understand

the need exists for those who have addictions. We also know that physical addictions carries with them emotional and mental complexities. Our Gahanna officials are just now taking some notice and research into how badly our own addiction issues are here in Gahanna.

2.1

2.2

The people who signed this, we have 140 signatures, and we all had agreement this was just a token of the number of people in Gahanna, but we all were in agreement that we don't need a place, this facility, in our quiet area that could become a place of interest to addicts that are not seeking help.

The referenced properties, to speak to your information earlier, the referenced properties are 1,500 feet from the Gahanna public park trails, a swimming pool, a softball field, a Veterans of Foreign Wars Post, and multiple baseball, soccer fields. The referenced properties are a five- to seven-minute walk to Creekside.

They are also, which is one of my issues, they are no more than 796 feet from a bar that has been there, The Pub, which in itself advertises -The Pub is described online as a friendly neighborhood bar in Gahanna that offers pizza, pool,

karaoke, live music, a great outdoor bar and patio.

2.

2.1

2.2

Placing any drug and alcohol treatment center within this area is a temptation leading people who are struggling with addiction to fail, whether inpatient or outpatient.

What disturbs me the most is that Access
Ohio is a for-profit agency. I don't doubt that the
people who are working there as counselors and
doctors care about the patients. Please don't doubt
that I don't care about the patients because I do
care about drug and alcohol addiction but it does not
belong in this area.

Why Access would not have done their homework prior to the purchase, I don't know.

Obviously, they are for profit because alcoholism and drug addition is at an all-time high, and addict relapses are at an all-time high, so it's a revolving door around and around of being able to make a profit.

There is no land -- I don't see any exercise, places for exercise inside or out that I'm aware of that they're going to build, which is needed when someone is facing an addiction.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: I'm sorry.

1 MS. UNVERZAGT: Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: The Board calls Cheryl

3 | Halsey, 102 Orchard Drive.

2.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

MS. HALSEY: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Cheryl, and I thank you for on point with the zoning and how it impacts the surrounding area.

I want to pick up where Christine had left off because her idea, let's go and listen to what the neighborhood has to say, and, hopefully, by that petition that the weight of their signatures would carry, their feelings.

But it got me thinking that let's listen to both sides. Let's see why you don't want it there or see why you do want it there. And I got into some very long conversations with a few residents on their rehab experience and how come the first two didn't work and what was it about that third one that hit it over the top for you.

And I got a lot of feedback. I got a lot of interesting points, and I took them and I also came up with an idea on in the future how can we get our residents to not let it get this far. You know, it shouldn't always have to end in rehab.

So I have some ideas and a program -which you have my number, call me -- through the
City, and I think there's just got to be a way
somewhere in the middle that we can work so it
doesn't end up like there.

2.1

2.2

And I concur with everybody that it just doesn't belong in our city. Although I feel for the people, it doesn't belong in our city. I spoke to the general manager over at UDF, and some of the people from the woods are just coming in, walking to the freezer and start drinking beer, and they end up having to call the police. And a lot of these people are underage. They don't want them to have a bad record. So, I mean, it's coming from the far -- I mean, far away, that's six blocks from this new place. That's it.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Thanks, Cheryl.

The Board now calls Patty Parsley, 99
North Brice Road.

MS. PARSLEY: I'm Patty Parsley, Access Ohio. Again, we feel very strongly about the need for drug and alcohol rehab.

In terms of people walking over to UDF, we have plans in place. It's a secured facility.

- They are monitored. It is proven treatment. I honestly don't know what else there is to say.
- 2 Honebery don't know what erbe there is t
- Thank you.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- PRESIDENT JENSEN: The last speaker request is Charlotte Leopard.
- MS. LEOPARD: Good evening. My name is
 Charlotte Leopard. I moved to 309 James Road 26
 years ago back when Bon-Ing Care was Gahanna Care
 Nursing Home.
 - I've been trying to educate myself in the last few months about drug treatment centers, and I've attempted to keep an open mind. I discovered, as everyone else has said, that facilities are important, and it is growing.
 - And Access Ohio's website states that it caters to the poor and underserved, very noble. That said, I have unfortunately become a NIMNA, which we're called, the people that say "not in my neighborhood."
- As stated, property values go down. The location in Dayton is totally different than what they're proposing right down the street.
- 23 And I've not been able to ascertain from 24 past meetings if the outpatient facility approved

1 will treat opiate addictions. When you research that 2. information, those centers, you discover quite a few eye-opening facts. Too many patients, not enough 3 4 time slots available, that leads to the people 5 waiting outside facilities, including drug dealers, 6 who supply methadone at a reduced cost, sort of a no 7 line, no wait. Those clients with appointments 8 waiting for the center to open wait outside. So that 9 means waiting at UDF. And we are talking again, 10 remember, the underserved and poor, so when they can 11 get a ride, they get a ride.

Proponents for theses facilities say crime does not increase as much as an increase for the liquor store. It that really a fair comparison? Are we supposed to feel better about that analogy?

Almost done.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

It was my understanding that to pacify neighbors and quell their fears, the driveway leading to James Road was to be blocked off, and now that changed in waiting two years in deciding if it warrants closure. The excuse that Access Ohio presents is that the change was needed for emergency vehicles. They had no problem with that when Dr. Goldman's office was separate and they only had

1 one way in or out for the nursing home.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

Mr. Plank did address that question at the last meeting, but I felt his reply was an attempt to pacify our objections and left me believing that they have no intention of blocking that driveway for at least two years.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Ms. Leopard, your two minutes is up.

MS. LEOPARD: Okay, thank you.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Thank you.

The only reason I do that is fairness.

MS. LEOPARD: Oh, I understand. I

understand. I was just going to say I took my Girl Scout troop down to the nursing home, but I wouldn't take them to Access Ohio.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: At this time I'd like to see if the Board has any questions. I have a few questions for the appellant or appellee.

Mr. Eisen, do you have any questions?

MEMBER EISEN: Yes, I have a couple questions.

Mr. Plank, you mentioned earlier that you gave us a second on the requirements or approvals needed for the City based on the development plan and

- zoning approval. Can you go over the again? I want to know -- and I'm talking about for both facilities -- what has the City ruled and is that documentation in our notebook as far as where the City stands on both of those?
- 6 MR. PLANK: On both buildings?

2.1

2.2

- MEMBER EISEN: On both the development plan needed or not needed and zoning approval needed or not needed.
- MR. PLANK: I can't speak for the City.

 I can tell you what the code says and what I testified at the hearing. The code requires -- a change of use of any building anywhere requires a zoning certificate. I have to prove that my use is permitted under the zoning code. That's whether they call it a zoning certificate, site compliance plan.

 Different jurisdictions call it different things. I have to prove to the jurisdiction that I, in fact, meet the zoning code before I change the use of a building.
- The next thing, though most jurisdictions require a development plan, consistent with every jurisdiction, the City of Gahanna does not require a development plan for an existing building if I am not

going to do a redevelopment of the site or if I'm not expanding or enlarging the building. I do not need a development plan for that.

2.1

2.2

MEMBER EISEN: Nobody has gone and met with the City officially?

MR. PLANK: That was testified to at the last hearing, that we do not need a development plan for this site.

MEMBER EISEN: Okay. The 17 conditions mentioned, there would be a full time, I'm assuming 24/7, security officer on the premises; is that true?

MR. PLANK: Let me address the conditions briefly. We met with the neighbors in an effort to be neighborly. We intend to continue with those conditions. Don't get me wrong. I'm not trying to get out of the conditions. We believe — the only conditions I proposed initially were truly the land use, noise fencing, screening, traffic. The other issues are operational issues typically not found in zoning. Because what we are dealing with is operational issues, it regulates behavior, and the zoning codes do not regulate behavior. They regulate land.

That said, yes, it would be 24 hours on

1 staff.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

MEMBER EISEN: What staff in general? I know someone brought that up. So there will be nursing staff, doctor staff? What hours of the day will that be in that facility?

MR. PLANK: The nursing and doctor staff are there from 8:00 to 8:00, and after that they have trained staff personnel to deal with emergencies.

And, again, we are willing to live by those conditions, the conditions that were made, but understand they were also operational, not land-use issues.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Anything else?

Mr. Adjoua.

MEMBER ADJOUA: I have nothing at this time.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: I have a couple, three questions.

From your perspective you indicated that you have gone through a number of these zoning disputes, discussions in the past. The Planning Commission meetings that you had, there were at least three meetings that you attended, plus a couple workshops.

MR. PLANK: On this particular matter, yes. I believe there were two public meetings and two workshops.

2.

2.1

2.2

PRESIDENT JENSEN: So from your experience is that typical? Is that more or less what you would go through? And, second of all, was that process and procedure you went through fair? Did you get fair treatment in the hearing at the Planning Commission?

MR. PLANK: We are appealing their decision so we would not suggest they were fair.

Let me compare that to my practice. I have standard land use when we are doing apartments, hospitals, and residential, and we go through a process in each jurisdiction, and those are pretty straightforward. I'm showing development standards, building plans, et cetera.

But I've also got the client Community
Housing Network, Creative Housing. I've done
housing, usually in the '90s, where we were doing
group homes for the mentally ill and the disabled,
and I can tell you those meetings were typical in
what we're seeing today, and we don't see those
anymore. Fortunately, that's not the case anymore.

But in those cases my client would reach out to the neighbors in an effort more that I would if I was doing a building next to them because they're not only reaching out to talk with them about the building and the landscaping and the planning, which I typically do, but they're also reaching out for operational issues. We enter into neighbor agreements, basically what we got when we have the conditions in this case. I believe that everyone put the effort to try to make this work, but there's a lot of opposition to this.

2.1

2.2

PRESIDENT JENSEN: So, in general, in a facility like this, you're going to have many, many more meetings than compared to an apartment complex or something like where you'd have one or two meetings.

MR. PLANK: Meetings like this. I typically don't have a mayor writing letters in opposition, having council members show up in opposition. That is unique to this case.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: On page 5 then where we have the 17 conditions you brought up, I'm not going to go through all those, but is this normal, unusual, average?

```
MR. PLANK: When you say -- you mean on these type of matters?
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

PRESIDENT JENSEN: In this particular instance here as compared to other AODs -- I'm going to pick up the lingo here -- are there other appeals and applications you have gone through that is this extensive?

MR. PLANK: In the '90s during our initial group homes, we would reach out and have neighborhood agreements that would have 10, 15 items in them. That would not be uncommon.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: But in the past five to ten years?

MR. PLANK: No, I have not done anything like this in the last five or ten years. This may be the new group home.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Last question, and I don't know how this fits in, but you mentioned the term "behavior," and you also talked about nursing home versus outpatient facility. Isn't there a big difference in behaviors that are in those type of nursing home facilities versus --

MR. PLANK: There's a difference in behavior between two different residential houses.

- 1 | We can't deal with that in the zoning context.
- 2 | That's why you have civil laws that the police
- 3 | enforce, but not in the land-use context. In the
- 4 | land-use context, I'm dealing with property rights.
- 5 | I'm dealing with, I have a piece of property and by
- 6 common law I can do whatever I want with the
- 7 property.
- But we don't live by common law. We live
- 9 by zoning ordinances. The Supreme Court, all courts,
- 10 have recognized that, nevertheless, I have a property
- 11 | right, and it has to be protected, and I have to have
- 12 codes that I can read. I have to have codes that I
- 13 can find, and they have to be interpreted in favor of
- 14 | the property owner, and that's not what we have in
- 15 this case.
- 16 PRESIDENT JENSEN: When this property was
- 17 | acquired, was there any due diligence to find out if
- 18 | it was going to be covered in the code?
- MR. PLANK: You know, I was not involved
- 20 | in the acquisition. I can tell you that it would not
- 21 be uncommon for someone to look at a nursing home
- 22 | with living quarters and think, I'm going to put a
- 23 | residential treatment facility in there for
- 24 overnight. That would not be an uncommon assumption.

```
1
                 If it were a shopping center and I were
 2
     moving it into a facility as we are talking about,
 3
     that would be -- I would expect there to be more due
 4
     diligence, but we're dealing with -- I'm not trying
 5
     to defend my client. I would always suggest they get
 6
     an attorney and then talk to them before they
 7
     purchase. I don't know if they did that or didn't do
 8
     that. I'm just saying it's not an unreasonable
     assumption that I can continue to use a nursing home
10
     as a residential facility.
11
                 PRESIDENT JENSEN: So the property was
12
     acquired without checking to see --
13
                 MR. PLANK: I can't tell you that. I
14
     don't know.
15
                 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Would that make a
     difference to our --
16
17
                 MR. PLANK: No, it would not.
                                                It would
18
     not.
19
                 PRESIDENT JENSEN: I have no other
20
     questions at this time.
2.1
                 MEMBER PACK: Thanks for being here,
2.2
     Mr. Plank. I have a few questions.
```

First off, who owns the structure?

MR. PLANK: Access Ohio Energy, LLC.

23

1 MEMBER PACK: Access Ohio Energy, LLC.

2 Okay. So with respect to client-patient, if they

3 | will, who do they sign an agreement with, the

4 patient.

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

5 MR. PLANK: Access Ohio.

MEMBER PACK: Two separate entities?

7 MR. PLANK: Two separate entities, and 8 that's not uncommon in real estate. You have an

9 entity that leases it to another entity, and they

10 | would be the provider.

MEMBER PACK: Got you. The list of conditions that everybody keeps referencing, who came up with that? Was that a joint agreement between you and the Planning Commission?

MR. PLANK: It evolved. Initially, as I said, I proposed the land-use issues because that's what I deal with. We also then had meetings with the neighbors, and they raised some issues that we then looked at. And I actually sent them a letter.

The next thing I know we were meeting with the City in a workshop, and my letter had been incorporated into a set of conditions that the City prepared for us saying what we could and could not agree to.

Now, I can tell you the way that -- the things that we agreed to are not compromises in how we operate. I always say to my client if you are going to do it anyhow, agree to it. So that's exactly how that evolved.

Now, the land-use issues involved -especially the fence and moving the parking area
involves money. That would involve capital, so that
was something I proposed, and I added to it -- that
was over and above what they had planned.

MEMBER PACK: So Access Energy purchases the building, and then your application to the Planning Commission was subsequent to that, afterwards?

MR. PLANK: Correct.

MEMBER PACK: Okay. So walk me through. It's 10:00 o'clock at night. Who is present in the facility --

MR. PLANK: You know --

20 MEMBER PACK: -- from the staff

21 standpoint?

2.2

MR. PLANK: I can defer to -- this was all part of the past record. I could defer to Patty Parsley to respond to that. But, again, we are

```
1 | talking behavior. I have no problem responding to
```

- 2 | that, but our -- in my strict interpretation of the
- 3 | code, we would not be having this discussion. But at
- 4 | the same time, I have no problem with that,
- 5 responding to that.
- 6 MEMBER PACK: You are talking about use,
- 7 | aren't you, operational --
- MR. PLANK: I am talking about use, but
- 9 I'm talking about conditional use that it has already
- 10 been acknowledged it's permitted, and, therefore,
- 11 once that's done, if you read the four standards, my
- 12 position is we satisfied the four standards.
- MS. PARSLEY: 10:00 o'clock at night, we
- 14 | are going to have resident assistants on staff,
- 15 possibly, depending on who we have at our facility,
- 16 | it would be a licensed therapist, LISCs. We always
- 17 have a four-to-one ratio.
- 18 MEMBER PACK: Approximately how many
- 19 staff members would be there at 10:00 o'clock?
- MS. PARSLEY: We always have a
- 21 | four-to-one ratio, so one staff member for every four
- 22 people.
- 23 MEMBER PACK: So at 10:00 o'clock a
- 24 patient decides to leave. What happens?

MS. PARSLEY: When our patients are admitted into our program, there's the whole intake process that occurs, and part of that intake, because we are not a jail -- right? -- we cannot hold people against their will. But part of that intake entails signing and understanding if at any time they decide to leave, they must wait until between the hours of 8:00 and 8:00. They cannot leave in the middle of the night. If they insist, we can't hold them, but our staff takes them either to where they're living or to a homeless shelter. We don't just open the door and let them out.

2.1

2.2

MEMBER PACK: Okay. So you mentioned the hours of 8:00 to 8:00. It's five o'clock. Somebody says, I want to leave. So they can leave --

MS. PARSLEY: They can never just walk out the door. One of our staff members will take them either to their home or a homeless shelter. We just don't say, Okay, there you go. You can go. They understand that when they're admitted to our program. Because they don't have a car, they don't drive their car to our facility, they're brought to our facility either by a family member or we go and pick them up from a hospital. They are not allowed

- to have a vehicle at our facility, right? So they're taken out the same way they're brought in, either by us or a family member.
- 4 MEMBER PACK: Okay. Is it possible for 5 someone to just walk out the door and go off --
- 6 MS. PARSLEY: Not without us knowing.
- 7 MEMBER PACK: Because of the alarm
- 8 systems on the doors?
- 9 MS. PARSLEY: Correct.
- 10 MEMBER PACK: What if they just keep on
- 11 going?
- MS. PARSLEY: If they go out the door,
 our staff members will accompany them.
- MEMBER PACK: What if they don't want to come back?
- MS. PARSLEY: The staff member will take
 them where they want to go. We've never had an issue
 with someone just walking out the door not
 accompanied.
- MEMBER PACK: Okay. You mentioned the word "patient." Describe the patients that will be here that will be using this facility.
- MS. PARSLEY: The people that will be using our facility will be people that have

- 1 | addictions to either drugs or alcohol. Age group
- 2 | will be over 18. I mean, typically it's been 18 and
- 3 | 65, but we have had a couple of 70-year-olds in the
- 4 program.
- 5 MEMBER PACK: So you are saying they do
- 6 have an addiction.
- 7 MS. PARSLEY: Yes.
- 8 MEMBER PACK: So it would be a current
- 9 addiction?
- MS. PARSLEY: Yes. But they've agreed to
- 11 a recovery. They've detoxed somewhere else. So they
- 12 have to go through a five- to seven-day detox
- 13 | program, either at a hospital or somewhere that is
- 14 licensed for detoxification. They are referred to us
- 15 from those programs. That could be a hospital. That
- 16 | could be a number of facilities that provide
- 17 detoxification. So they've gone through their
- 18 | initial withdrawal, right? And then they come to us
- 19 for the therapeutic side of rehabilitation.
- 20 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Okay. What percentage
- 21 of the people that you have go into relapse?
- MS. PARSLEY: I don't have the numbers on
- 23 that. I'm not a clinician.
- 24 PRESIDENT JENSEN: So you were in

- 1 | business you said how many years?
- MS. PARSLEY: Since 2015 at the Dayton
- 3 location.
- 4 PRESIDENT JENSEN: You don't have any
- 5 | numbers. Off the top of your head how many patients
- 6 have you been servicing in that period of time?
- 7 MS. PARSLEY: I can tell you that me
- 8 personally, I'm only aware of two that have come back
- 9 to us. I can't speak to what happens to them when
- 10 | they leave. When they graduate the program and when
- 11 | they leave, I can't speak to that if they don't come
- 12 | back to me. Do you get me?
- 13 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Yes.
- MS. PARSLEY: I can't give you that.
- 15 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Again, the total
- 16 | number of patients that you have been servicing. I
- 17 | mean, you started in 2015. Are the same people
- 18 | there? How long typically are they in treatment?
- MS. PARSLEY: It depends on their level
- 20 of addiction, and it depends on what they're addicted
- 21 to, and it depends on what the treatment plan is when
- 22 they come in. I mean, they work very intensively
- 23 | with a therapist. It can be anywhere from 30 days to
- 24 six months. It just depends.

1 MEMBER PACK: Mr. Chairman, I have

- 2 | similar questions, if I may.
- 3 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Please.
- 4 MEMBER PACK: Ms. Parsley, will the
- 5 | Gahanna facility be operating like all your
- 6 residential facilities?
- 7 MS. PARSLEY: Correct, we operate
- 8 | everything the same.
- 9 MEMBER PACK: Okay. So the treatment and
- 10 procedures will all be the same as well as admission
- 11 all be the same.
- MS. PARSLEY: Correct. Correct.
- 13 MEMBER PACK: Okay. What struck me was
- 14 that I went to the website. You had mentioned that
- 15 people, patients, prospective clients have to go
- 16 | through a detox. But I was intrigued by something I
- 17 | found on the website, which is a pamphlet by Access
- 18 Ohio, and I can pass this to the other Board members,
- 19 or I can just read from it, or you can have a copy of
- 20 | it to see. And it intrigued me because it says, "It
- 21 is not necessary to stop using prior to coming to
- 22 | treatment." Is that correct?
- MS. PARSLEY: We have a MAT program,
- 24 which is an outpatient program, not being operated in

- Gahanna. It is operated at 6400 East Broad Street.

 That's the program that pamphlet is referring to, not

 for the residential treatment program.
- 4 MR. REED: So they would go there first? 5 MS. PARSLEY: Not necessarily. You have 6 people -- when you're reaching out to people and 7 offering help to people in addiction, a residential 8 program is for people who've detoxed and they've 9 admitted they need assistance and they can't live out in your world and not use, right? So they come in 10 11 for intensive therapy.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Then you have people who maybe they haven't reached that point yet. They have jobs they're afraid they're going to lose. You have mothers who are going to lose their children if they go into an inpatient program. You have to offer something in which to help these people, right? And that's an outpatient medication-assisted treatment program, and that's where we use Suboxone and Vivitrol.
- MEMBER PACK: Okay. I guess what I'm a little confused about is the pamphlet says it's not necessary to stop using prior to coming to treatment. The next thing it says is if individuals want to

detox, if they want to detox and/or reduce their amount of use before starting treatment, they can, which means that sounds like to me it's optional. Is that a fair statement?

2.

2.1

2.2

MS. PARSLEY: It's a fair statement in terms of the marketing you have to do to get these people to seek help. If I put in that pamphlet you have to stop using before you come see us, no one is going to get treatment. And they are going to be out, and they are going to be stealing from you, and they're going to be using drugs, and they will be neglecting their children. They are going to be doing all those things that we are trying to prevent.

So no, they don't have to stop using, but once they come in and once they do the intake process, and once they meet with their therapist and once they meet with their physician, the physician is going to put them on Suboxone or Vivitrol. If they put them on Suboxone, if they use, it's not going to affect them because Suboxone is a blocker. I don't know all the ins and outs. I'm not a clinician. If the doctor puts them on Vivitrol, they are going to get an injection. If they use, they will get sick.

Gahanna facility?

1

- MS. PARSLEY: No, no. It's a different
- 3 | treatment program. A MAT program is an outpatient
- 4 | program that we will not operate out of Gahanna.
- 5 | That gets operated out at 6400 East Broad Street in
- 6 Columbus. It's totally different.
- 7 Again, for inpatient, you have to detox
- 8 | before you come, and then you participate in
- 9 cognitive behavioral therapy, an intensive
- 10 | therapeutic treatment.
- 11 MEMBER PACK: Okay. So people that have
- 12 | the addiction, they will go to a separate facility,
- 13 | what you're saying, to be detoxed --
- MS. PARSLEY: People that come into our
- 15 residential treatment are referred to us by hospitals
- 16 and detoxification programs from people that are
- 17 requesting inpatient residential treatment.
- 18 MEMBER PACK: Okay. Okay. All right.
- Mr. Plank, can I ask you a question, sir?
- 20 What is the transcript, since you keep referencing
- 21 it?
- MR. PLANK: The Planning Commission
- 23 | hearing, we intended to have it taped, and the tape
- 24 didn't take. So there was no what I call a verbal

transcript. There's no word-for-word transcript of
the hearing, which would have been a different
discussion -- not different, but you would have had
that in front of you and would have seen what people
actually said in the testimony, and many of the
questions you are now asking would have been in that
transcript.

MEMBER PACK: Okay. You know, Mr. Plank, while you're there, I'm kind of intrigued by your letter of December 5, 2016, to the City Attorney, and a paragraph in it struck me. It says -- if I can go ahead and read it, give you a minute to pull it up if you want.

MR. PLANK: Go ahead.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

MEMBER PACK: It says, "This correspondence is intended to put the City of Gahanna on notice that all the residents of the proposed above-referenced facility will be individuals recovering from drug and/or alcohol addiction. As such, all are considered by the Fair Housing Act to be handicapped and thus, protection of the Act relative to choice of housing."

So I guess I'd like a little further expounding on that, if you will, from your

perspective of the Fair Housing Act, as well as reasonable accommodation.

2.1

MR. PLANK: The Fair Housing Act, as we know it, was passed to allow for -- to prohibit discrimination in housing, initially for race, religion, and sexual orientation was added recently. When I say "recently," my recent past, 10, 15 years, and also included handicapped.

In recent court cases it's been determined those recovering from alcohol and drug addiction are handicapped under the Fair Housing Act, and they are entitled to protection of that act.

People cannot discriminate in housing for the handicapped, nor can municipalities do anything in their code or in their interpretation of their code to deny the handicapped the rights under the Fair Housing Act.

It's the obligation of the attorney that represents any situation that that could arise to notify the jurisdiction in which they're exercising and put them on notice and also to ask for reasonable accommodation.

My request for reasonable accommodation was that I believe we are a permitted use, and it

```
would not be unreasonable to interpret the code in

such a way that my use would be determined to be

permitted under the Fair Housing Act, and that is the

nature of the letter. That's not for this Board to

decide, but, understand, that was the purpose of that

letter.
```

7 MEMBER PACK: Okay. It intrigued me 8 because I felt it was a fairly bold letter.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

MR. PLANK: The Fair Housing Act is a very bold statute.

MEMBER PACK: Yeah, it is. I've spent the last several days reading it, as well as reasonable accommodation and trying to be familiar with it.

So you believe then the denial -- let's say hypothetically we deny the application today, or your appeal, that we would be in violation of the Fair Housing Act?

MR. PLANK: "We" being this Board?

MEMBER PACK: Yes, the City or this

Board.

MR. PLANK: The Board is an independent Board, not unlike the Planning Commission. I don't think they are liable under the Fair Housing Act.

The accommodation needs to be done by the municipality, not the Board. We made a request for a conditional use. If granted, it provides the housing that we're seeking; and, therefore, there is probably no claim under the Fair Housing Act.

2.1

2.2

MEMBER PACK: I don't have any further questions at this time. Thank you, sir.

MEMBER EISEN: I definitely spent some time looking at all 300 pages. I felt fairly comfortable with it based on my day job. I couldn't help coming back to page 1 every time that I was going through the book and, in particular, item 1 on page 1.

That is some pretty strong language there. So help me understand again, and maybe for the benefit of my fellow Board members and those in the audience, as far as conditional use is concerned, is what we are talking about here today conditional use? Are you still in disagreement with how the Planning Commission made their decision on what they thought you were asking for, conditional use versus conditional use where you were just asking that the living quarters be allowed as a permitted use of the building? That was a long question. I know you know

1 | where I'm going.

2.1

2.2

MR. PLANK: Our application was clear.

The code is clear that we are applying for the permit for living quarters as an integral part of a permitted use building.

I can't fault the City for calling what they see that it is. I've called it that, too, as we were working with the neighbors and trying to allay their fears with the conditions. But that's not what the code says. The code doesn't say it's a conditional use for a rehab facility. The code says it's a conditional use for living quarters. That really, I think, limits your review of what we're doing. You've got an existing nursing home designed and built for living quarters, and we are proposing to put living quarters, different personnel, different residents, but living quarters in that location.

And so in my presentation it became pretty simple. And, anyhow, I don't write the code. I deal with the code the City wrote. The City, founders, fathers, have determined that a drug and alcohol outpatient facility in the CC zoning district is a permitted use. The code permits living quarters

as an integral part of the permitted-use building.

2.

2.1

2.2

The City attorney has acknowledged, and I agree with him, that it is, in fact, a conditional use under the code, but it's living quarters. I think when we start calling it drug and rehab, it probably is what it is, but that's not the condition we requested and it taints the discussion because now we start talking about what everyone believes substance abusers are and do. That's not what zoning is about.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Well, a couple different things from my point. Number one, my understanding of our duties as a Board of Zoning Appeals is to review that the Planning Commission gave a fair hearing to the applicant for their usage. And the second thing is when they made their decision, was it a fair decision based upon the codes that we have, the City codes that we have before us.

It looks to me like the Planning

Commission had multiple hearings and meetings and
workshops, and that there was a major effort made to
the hearing request.

And decisions, as I read them, when they rejected was -- and I'm disappointed that someone

from the Planning Commission wasn't here that would be able to speak to us, but the main reason for rejection was that it has not been a long-term planning goal for the area. This basically is an extension of Old Gahanna and repair a recreational spine of the city. That's one.

2.

2.1

2.2

And the second reason under the code is the development will have undesirable effects on the surrounding area, which is why the public is here.

MR. REED: Mr. Chairman, I have a suggestion. I know that the Commission wants to get to deliberation. I would suggest that the Board today allow Mr. Plank, on behalf of the appellant, to make a short closing argument and allow the Planning Commission to make a short closing argument. Then I would ask that the Board deliberate and state some of the same reasons, perhaps, that you're saying now and issue their decision.

MEMBER PACK: Mr. Chairman, I don't find any fault with that. I think that is fine, but I just suggest after the closing arguments that we take a five-minute recess or ten-minute recess and then come back.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Mr. Plank, do you want

to give us a five-minute summary? Mr. Chairman, I

would say that the Board's review of the Planning

Commission's decision is more than just whether it

was fair or not. It doesn't follow the law. I

didn't write the code, but the code needs to be

interpreted as it's written, and as it's written we

are putting living quarters in a former nursing home

that was built and designed for living quarters.

2.1

2.2

As the code is written, the proposed use, is it a conditional use? Absolutely, it's been agreed to. The other three conditions talk about proposed development. This is not a proposed development. This building is built. I don't need a development plan. My position is 2, 3 and 4 does not apply.

The absurd result of including those proposed use and proposed development as the same, as simultaneous, is you then start taking a look, does the building fit in? The building is there. This building is the character of the neighborhood.

That's why Sections 2, 3, and 4 of that section do not apply, and the Planning Commission was obligated to issue the conditional use that was applied for.

We have submitted conditions. We agreed

to those conditions on top of that, and our contention is they should have approved that with those conditions that we agreed to.

Thank you.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Mr. Reed.

MR. REED: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you, members of the Commission for listening to

the arguments on both sides today.

I would tell you first there's been some questions about a transcript. Ohio law is very clear. You're not required to have a tape-recording of each and every meeting of the City Council.

You're not required to have a tape-recording of the Planning Commission. You're not required to have a

tape-recording of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

In fact, the City of Gahanna does have a tape recorder and it was activated and working on one of the meetings that they had. There were two additional workshops. There were very complete minutes. So I would say there is no procedural defect by the fact that the tape recorder wasn't working that day.

And we're prepared -- and Kim could get up and testify and say that they thought it was

working. There was no malicious intent. They had someone come in and look at the tape recorder as to why it wasn't working and since fixed the problem.

2.1

2.2

The other thing is that 1169.04,

Mr. Plank and his client's argument to you today is

the only thing you have to look at today is that the

proposed use is a conditional use, and that you need

to ignore Items 2, 3, and 4. I would suggest that

puts this ordinance on its head. I would say that

the intent of this ordinance is meant to look at what

is the existing use of that neighborhood.

I would say Parkside is different.

Parkside is right across from a golf course. I would say Access Ohio in Dayton is different. It's more than 100 yards away from any residential facility.

And we've said before, you know, you can't just use your property for anything you want to. You have to comply with the reasonable regulations of a municipality if you want to work inside the municipality. That's why we have a zoning code.

The zoning code here says that "The proposed development" -- I would say that means what you are going to use this building for -- "is in

accord with the appropriate plans for the area."

2.

2.1

2.2

Three, "The proposed development" -- and that's what you are going to use this development for -- "will not have undesirable effects on the surrounding area."

You've heard from Lieutenant Murphy.

You've seen lots of evidence in the record that there is a swimming pool. There's a nature trail. There's lots of residences. They are not consistent with a residential facility. It would be different if this were outpatient. Yes, I've seen codes for drug and alcohol facilities for outpatient that are different than residential.

This applicant wants to do residential.

It's, unfortunately, not zoned for that, and so they need a conditional use permit, and it's up to the Planning Commission and ultimately this Board whether or not it be granted.

I will tell you there were three exhibits that were offered today. They were not entered into evidence. I would ask that they be proffered so that in the event there is an appeal, it's clear that the Planning Commission wanted those into evidence.

The last thing I will tell you is that I

- 1 urge you to go back and look at this 300-page record.
- 2 | I believe there was a lot of time and effort spent by
- 3 | the Planning Commission. I certainly know and
- 4 recognize there was a lot of time and effort spent on
- 5 behalf of the applicant. They're very fine lawyers.
- 6 But this was a five-zero decision. It wasn't close.
- 7 They ultimately decided this was not in the same
- 8 character as the neighborhood and that it would do
- 9 harm to the neighborhood if a residential drug and
- 10 | alcohol facility were allowed at this location.
- 11 Thank you very much.
- 12 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Okay. It is now
- 13 8:00 o'clock. Let's reconvene in ten minutes.
- 14 (Recess taken.)
- 15
- 16 DELIBERATION
- 17 PRESIDENT JENSEN: At this time the Board
- 18 | will proceed with our deliberations. The Board will
- 19 deliberate and discuss the appeal.
- 20 Mr. Pack, do you have anything?
- 21 MEMBER PACK: Well, first off, I want to
- 22 thank the appellant for their presentation, well
- 23 prepared, and for all the work effort that you put
- 24 | into it, the City staff members that are here, as

well as residents, because this is all part of the process, and everyone has a part and contribution into that process.

2.1

2.2

You know, getting back to the conditional use, I think it's very clear that, Mr. Plank, you agreed to the conditional use. That's very clear in a couple of your letters and even here in your testimony today.

But I think what you are asking me and asking the rest of the Board to do is to ignore the full comprehensive parts, four parts, of conditional use. Unfortunately, you know, you can't do that. And you talk about zoning and uses, but when you agreed to the conditional use, the application was for conditional use. We cannot ignore three parts and only consider one part.

As far as part of your appeal, I believe, was the differentiation between the use as well as a development, and you cited essentially that this would not be a development. But I also believe in the filings there were a million dollars of conversion or improvements, changing the parking lot, even that I feel compelled to call it a development, based also on staff comments.

But I also want to read something for you to understand where we're coming from. You referenced one part of the code regarding definitions, but I'm going to reference something in 1121.02. I ask your indulgence for a moment while I do this. And this is what I look at for interpretation, not only parts of the code but even definitions.

2.1

2.2

It says, "In interpreting and applying the provisions of the zoning ordinance, such provisions shall be held to meet the minimum requirements for promotion of public health, safety, convenience, comfort, prosperity, and the general welfare. Wherever the regulations of this zoning ordinance require a greater width or size of yards or open spaces, a lower height of building or less number of stories, a greater percentage of lot to be unoccupied, a lower density of population, a more restricted use of land or impose other higher standards than are required in any other ordinance or regulation, private deed or restriction or private covenant, the provisions of the zoning ordinance shall govern."

So to me, I'm going back that I'm not

only obligated to trying to envision this as a development, I'm obligated to consider it as a development based on the conversion of use, as well as, you know, other changes to the structure.

2.1

2.2

The other thing I was intrigued about was the Fair Housing Act, which I spent about two days reading about reasonable accommodation. It's very clear that under the Fair Housing Act, and what I was trying to come down -- and I still really, to my satisfaction, did not get an answer, that a person that is unfortunately addicted to an illegal controlled substance would not be a current user at the time. And because of that, to me, they don't qualify or they don't fall under the Fair Housing Act.

I could be wrong. I never got an answer to what I was searching for. Seven days -- even from what I read, seven days may not even qualify as someone not being a concurrent user.

So after hearing this tonight, I felt that the argument of the Fair Housing Act and the putting the City on notice was a little bit of a weak argument in that regard.

The other part of the Fair Housing Act

was that "An accommodation is reasonable if it does not cause undue hardship, fiscal, or administrative burdens on the municipality or does not undermine the basic purpose a zoning ordinance seeks to achieve."

2.

2.1

2.2

I think what you're asking us to consider is a conditional use but not apply three-quarters of that conditional use; and, therefore, I kind of interpret that as basically if we did that, we would be undermining our own zoning ordinance and, therefore, I don't think that would be fair to do.

So with saying all that, I will be voting no on the appeal tonight.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Ms. Mecozzi.

VICE PRESIDENT MECOZZI: Like Mr. Pack, I want to thank those of you that participated. In going back looking at the PC minutes, looks like this started back in September of '16, so over the last eight months. Whether you submitted something in writing, attended a Planning Commission meeting, you met with applicant, others of you that are here tonight, whether you spoke or not, I certainly appreciate your participation.

In considering the application, we did have a lot of material, and that's to the credit of

all of you in this room. In looking at those
284 pages of not only testimony, but references to
the code, in addition to tonight, it certainly
provided, in my mind, everything that I needed to
make the decision that we are going to make tonight.

2.1

2.2

Chair Jensen mentioned earlier the role of the BZA, it is certainly something that I considered before a review of the material provided to me, and I believe, as he mentioned, ensuring due process has occurred at all stages prior to the application coming to this Board, that that has been met.

In reviewing all of the evidence, certainly in doing that, considering the City charter, especially the rules for this Board, as well as the codified ordinance allows us to dispose of a case in any one of five ways -- four ways.

In addition to our rules of procedure, looking at the applicable codes, I do believe that all sections of 1169 do apply in this case, similar to what Mr. Pack said. I do not believe that you can pick and choose which of the four criteria that you're evaluating a conditional use application against.

1 So I believe, in reading the Planning 2 Commission meetings, particularly the meeting of 3 February 22, that the proponents and the opponents 4 were given opportunity through extensions of time, 5 similar to tonight, to provide information, and the 6 PC openly deliberated all that they heard and 7 discussed what they heard and noted on the record in the minutes that they were taking into consideration 8 9 in granting -- considering whether or not to grant or 10 deny each of those four criteria.

And so I -- tonight after considering all that, I will not be in support of approving the appeal and returning the application.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

PRESIDENT JENSEN: Mr. Eisen.

MEMBER EISEN: Again, thanks to everybody who has been involved. My other two members of the Board have been very helpful. I won't repeat all that they said.

But, again, I've spent a lot of time looking through all this material, quite a bit of time, and as a design professional, I even spent a lot of focus on the building code and the understanding of the dollars that were being spent and some of the things that had to change to those

- buildings to make it a suitable facility for this kind of use.
- I know we can mince words on development

 and proposed development, but it is -- it's two
- 5 buildings. It's a facility. It's a change of use.
- 6 It's a change of use group classification, and,
- 7 | again, I would agree that all four points of 1169.40
- 8 | are pertinent in this case, and that's how my
- 9 decision will be made when we vote here shortly.
- 10 Thank you.
- 11 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Mr. Adjoua.
- MEMBER ADJOUA: I want to take a very
- 13 | short time. I want to thank both counsel because
- 14 it's always great to hear great lawyers, also being a
- 15 | lawyer, so I know if I get in trouble, I know who to
- 16 call if I need help. On both sides, gentleman, very
- 17 | well done.
- I also want to thank the citizens for
- 19 coming out and showing interest. We say people don't
- 20 care and are apathetic, but you proved that you are
- 21 | very involved. We saw that.
- I've done these zoning battles also
- 23 | before, so I understand how that goes.
- But this, I came here with very, very

mixed feelings tonight because I have been to five overdose funerals in the last two years of young people, one in Arlington, one in Bexley last month, one in Pickerington, one in Newark, and one in Columbus. Four of those suburban kids, three very beautiful girls, young women in their late teens and 20s.

2.

2.1

2.2

So I want to applaud Access Ohio because we do have a drug and alcohol epidemic in our society. I want you to keep going, keep fighting because people do need help and they do need assistance, both the poor as well as -- the kids in Bexley and Arlington weren't poor but still are dead.

But looking now, I almost recused myself from this because of the emotional impact that those funerals have had on me. But looking at and listening to everybody and looking at the record and considering what our zoning ordinance is, I think that the decision of the Planning Commission should be supported, so I will be voting against the appeal tonight.

PRESIDENT JENSEN: First off, I thank the rest of the Board members. Obviously, we invested a lot of time in studying the appeal that the

- Commission provided, and I thank you, the City
 representatives, for the information and support. I
 respect everybody that has been involved as far as on
 both sides, from the records on trying to work this
 process through.
 - It's a challenging situation, because I agree with the sentiments of Mr. Adjoua, that there is a real crisis as far as drug and rehab work that needs done. I'm just not sure this type of situation is appropriate.
 - I looked in our city charter at the section called Jurisdiction of the Board of Zoning and Building Appeals.
 - "Section 12.03, Jurisdiction.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

- "The Board of Zoning and Building Appeals shall have the power to hear and decide appeals as follows:
 - "(a) For exceptions to ordinances, resolutions, and regulations of the City pertaining to zoning, building, and landscaping in the City.
- "(b) From the decisions, measures and orders of City officials, boards, commissions and agencies pertaining to zoning, building, and landscaping in the City.

"(c) The Board shall decide its cases to afford justice and avoid unreasonable hardship to citizens," which I think is a key line there as well.

2.1

2.2

At this time, Mr. Ewald, you had a couple points you wanted to make.

MR. EWALD: Thank you. There are two points I wanted to make. First, is the standard for review. The standard for review tonight is de novo. The appellant in the case has the burden of proof beyond the preponderance of the evidence, and the Board shall consider all relevant evidence brought before it, either in the binder or in this evening's testimony.

In discussion the Board shall consider all evidence even if it was not disclosed. If it was proffered, it goes into the record but is not actually considered for review. And I think there were a couple items that were proffered tonight that are outside the record.

The second thing is prior to the vote tonight, there are four possible outcomes that can occur. A motion has to be made that is affirmative, so it has to be a motion in favor of granting the appeal. You can vote yes, which would grant the

```
1
     appeal. If you vote no, it would deny the appeal.
 2
                 You can also -- so that's two parts,
 3
     either affirm it or deny it. You can also affirm
 4
     with modification, and you can also remand it for
     action to the Planning Commission. Those are your
 5
 6
     four options.
 7
                 Again, the motion needs to be made in the
     affirmative.
 8
 9
                 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Thank you, counselor.
10
                 MEMBER PACK: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to
11
     make a motion to grant this evening's appeal -- let
12
     me repeat that.
13
                 Mr. Chairman, I would like to make a
     motion to grant appeal BZA-0001-2017.
14
15
                 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Do I have a second?
16
                 VICE PRESIDENT MECOZZI: Second.
17
                 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Any further
18
     discussion?
19
                 MEMBER EISEN: No.
20
                 PRESIDENT JENSEN: Roll call.
```

MEMBER PACK: No.

MS. BANNING: Mecozzi.

VICE PRESIDENT MECOZZI: No.

MS. BANNING: Pack.

2.1

,		Page 107
1	MS. BANNING: Adjoua.	
2	MEMBER ADJOUA: No.	
3	MS. BANNING: Jensen.	
4	PRESIDENT JENSEN: No.	
5	MS. BANNING: Eisen.	
6	MEMBER EISEN: No.	
7		
8	ADJOURNMENT	
9	MEMBER ADJOUA: Mr. President, I make a	
10	motion to adjourn.	
11	PRESIDENT JENSEN: Second.	
12	MEMBER EISEN: Second.	
13	PRESIDENT JENSEN: Adjourned.	
14	(The hearing adjourned at 8:26 p.m.)	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, May 4, 2017, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Rosemary Foster Anderson, Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires April 5, 2019.

11 (rfa-83604)

- - -

2.3