

RJM

001 ★

<p>City of Gahanna Charter Review Commission Prioritization Matrix</p>

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	5
Public Impact	5
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	5
Strategic Plan Alignment	5
Legal / Risk Alignment	5
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	5
Final Score:	30 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	Strong Candidate
19-24	Worth Serious Consideration
13-18	Requires Further Deliberation
6-12	Not Recommended at this Time

Criteria Definitions	
Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>

RJM

**City of Gahanna Charter Review
Commission Prioritization Matrix**

004

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	5
Public Impact	1
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	1
Strategic Plan Alignment	5
Legal / Risk Alignment	1
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	5
Final Score:	18 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	Strong Candidate
19-24	Worth Serious Consideration
13-18	Requires Further Deliberation
6-12	Not Recommended at this Time

Criteria Definitions	
Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>

RJM

002

<p>City of Gahanna Charter Review Commission Prioritization Matrix</p>

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	3
Public Impact	1
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	4
Strategic Plan Alignment	1
Legal / Risk Alignment	1
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	3
Final Score:	13 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	Strong Candidate
19-24	Worth Serious Consideration
13-18	Requires Further Deliberation
6-12	Not Recommended at this Time

Criteria Definitions	
Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>

RJM

**City of Gahanna Charter Review
Commission Prioritization Matrix**

003/026

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	3
Public Impact	1
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	4
Strategic Plan Alignment	1
Legal / Risk Alignment	3
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	5
Final Score:	17 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	Strong Candidate
19-24	Worth Serious Consideration
13-18	Requires Further Deliberation
6-12	Not Recommended at this Time

Criteria Definitions	
Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>

RJM

City of Gahanna Charter Review Commission Prioritization Matrix

005/012

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	1
Public Impact	1
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	1
Strategic Plan Alignment	0
Legal / Risk Alignment	0
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	1
Final Score:	4 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	Strong Candidate
19-24	Worth Serious Consideration
13-18	Requires Further Deliberation
6-12	Not Recommended at this Time

Criteria Definitions	
Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>

RJM

009

City of Gahanna Charter Review Commission Prioritization Matrix

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	3
Public Impact	3
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	1
Strategic Plan Alignment	0
Legal / Risk Alignment	0
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	5
Final Score:	12 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	Strong Candidate
19-24	Worth Serious Consideration
13-18	Requires Further Deliberation
6-12	Not Recommended at this Time

Criteria Definitions	
Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>

RJM

011

City of Gahanna Charter Review Commission Prioritization Matrix

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	5
Public Impact	0
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	3
Strategic Plan Alignment	0
Legal / Risk Alignment	0
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	5
Final Score:	13 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	Strong Candidate
19-24	Worth Serious Consideration
13-18	Requires Further Deliberation
6-12	Not Recommended at this Time

Criteria Definitions	
Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>

RTM

City of Gahanna Charter Review Commission Prioritization Matrix

021

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	4
Public Impact	0
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	5
Strategic Plan Alignment	0
Legal / Risk Alignment	0
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	5
Final Score:	14 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	Strong Candidate
19-24	Worth Serious Consideration
13-18	Requires Further Deliberation
6-12	Not Recommended at this Time

Criteria Definitions	
Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>

RJM

025

City of Gahanna Charter Review Commission Prioritization Matrix

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	5
Public Impact	5
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	5
Strategic Plan Alignment	0
Legal / Risk Alignment	0
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	5
Final Score:	20 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	Strong Candidate
19-24	Worth Serious Consideration
13-18	Requires Further Deliberation
6-12	Not Recommended at this Time

Criteria Definitions	
Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>

RJM

008

City of Gahanna Charter Review Commission Prioritization Matrix

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	2
Public Impact	0
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	3
Strategic Plan Alignment	0
Legal / Risk Alignment	0
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	5
Final Score:	10 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	Strong Candidate
19-24	Worth Serious Consideration
13-18	Requires Further Deliberation
6-12	Not Recommended at this Time

Criteria Definitions	
Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>

RJM

010

City of Gahanna Charter Review Commission Prioritization Matrix

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	3
Public Impact	0
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	5
Strategic Plan Alignment	0
Legal / Risk Alignment	0
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	3
Final Score:	11 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	Strong Candidate
19-24	Worth Serious Consideration
13-18	Requires Further Deliberation
6-12	Not Recommended at this Time

Criteria Definitions	
Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>

RTM

006 A

City of Gahanna Charter Review Commission Prioritization Matrix

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	5
Public Impact	3
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	5
Strategic Plan Alignment	5
Legal / Risk Alignment	2
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	5
Final Score:	25 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	Strong Candidate
19-24	Worth Serious Consideration
13-18	Requires Further Deliberation
6-12	Not Recommended at this Time

Criteria Definitions	
Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>

017

City of Gahanna Charter Review Commission Prioritization Matrix

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	5
Public Impact	5
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	5
Strategic Plan Alignment	3
Legal / Risk Alignment	1
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	5
Final Score:	24 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	Strong Candidate
19-24	Worth Serious Consideration
13-18	Requires Further Deliberation
6-12	Not Recommended at this Time

Criteria Definitions	
Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>

RJM

018

City of Gahanna Charter Review Commission Prioritization Matrix

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	5
Public Impact	3
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	5
Strategic Plan Alignment	3
Legal / Risk Alignment	1
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	5
Final Score:	22 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	Strong Candidate
19-24	Worth Serious Consideration
13-18	Requires Further Deliberation
6-12	Not Recommended at this Time

Criteria Definitions	
Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>

RJM

City of Gahanna Charter Review Commission Prioritization Matrix

020

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	3
Public Impact	0
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	5
Strategic Plan Alignment	0
Legal / Risk Alignment	0
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	5
Final Score:	13 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	Strong Candidate
19-24	Worth Serious Consideration
13-18	Requires Further Deliberation
6-12	Not Recommended at this Time

Criteria Definitions

Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>

R5m

007 ★

City of Gahanna Charter Review Commission Prioritization Matrix

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	5
Public Impact	5
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	5
Strategic Plan Alignment	5
Legal / Risk Alignment	5
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	5
Final Score:	30 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	Strong Candidate
19-24	Worth Serious Consideration
13-18	Requires Further Deliberation
6-12	Not Recommended at this Time

Criteria Definitions

Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>

RJM

022/023 *

City of Gahanna Charter Review Commission Prioritization Matrix

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	5
Public Impact	5
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	5
Strategic Plan Alignment	5
Legal / Risk Alignment	0
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	5
Final Score:	25 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	Strong Candidate
19-24	Worth Serious Consideration
13-18	Requires Further Deliberation
6-12	Not Recommended at this Time

Criteria Definitions	
Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>

RJm

024

City of Gahanna Charter Review Commission Prioritization Matrix
--

This scoring tool is advisory and is intended to guide structured deliberation by the Commission. Advancement of any proposed amendment requires a motion and majority vote of the Commission.

Commissioners will be asked to score potential amendments individually using the provided criteria. Those individual scores will then be aggregated to provide a general indication of the Commission's collective assessment and to inform its deliberations. The aggregated score is intended to inform discussion and does not replace the Commission's deliberative judgment.

	Score (1-5)
Governance Relevance	5
Public Impact	5
Governance Clarity & Effectiveness	5
Strategic Plan Alignment	0
Legal / Risk Alignment	5
Readiness for Ballot Consideration	0
Final Score:	20 out of 30

Score Interpretation Guide	
25-30	<i>Strong Candidate</i>
19-24	<i>Worth Serious Consideration</i>
13-18	<i>Requires Further Deliberation</i>
6-12	<i>Not Recommended at this Time</i>

Criteria Definitions	
Governance Relevance	<p>Does the proposal address a core Charter-level governance issue?</p> <p>High Score (5): Directly relates to governance structure or authority established in the Charter. Low Score (1): Relates primarily to policy, administration, or matters better suited for ordinance or practice.</p>
Public Impact	<p>Does this meaningfully impact residents or accountability?</p> <p>High Score (5): Meaningfully affects residents' rights, transparency, or accountability. Low Score (1): Has little to no effect on residents or public-facing governance.</p>