


	1 - Nonpartisan Primary Reform	12 - BZBA Repeal / Transfer of Authority	13 - Charter Review Frequency and Scope	14 - Non-Discrimination Protections	10 - Administrative Department Enumeration	2 - Strategic Plan Requirement	11 - Planning Commission Attendance / Removal	3 - Residency and Conflict of Interest Alignment	8 - Special Meeting Notice Modernization	5 - Modernizing Council Processes and Procedures	6 - Resident Population Ward Apportionment	7 - Council Leadership Terms / Voting Procedure
Barnhardt	27	26	23	26	27	27	24	25	19	22	18	16
Belisle	23	24	19	24	22	11	13	15	21	17	21	11
Hill	27	28	17	28	28	19	27	19	27	24	18	20
Maxwell	30	26	27	16	17	19	16	13	6	6	12	10
Mularski	30	30	25	20	25	18	20	15	11	11	20	10
Reynolds	22	22	21	23	18	13	20	15	24	18	17	7
Wester	22	20	20	15	13	22	6	23	14	14	6	6
AVERAGE SCORE	25.86	25.14	21.71	21.71	21.43	18.43	18.00	17.86	17.43	16.00	16.00	11.43



CRC Ballot Measure Scoring Distribution (Highest to Lowest Average Score)



How to Read the Chart:

- Each box represents one draft ballot measure, ordered from highest average score (left) to lowest average score (right).
- Individual dots represent the actual score submitted by each commissioner.
- The triangle marker represents the average (mean) score for each ballot measure.
- The box reflects the middle 50% of scores (interquartile range). Smaller boxes indicate stronger commissioner consensus.
- The horizontal line inside each box represents the median score.
- Measures with both high average scores and tight clustering generally demonstrated the strongest overall consensus among commissioners.