

From: [joseph s \[jr.\]](#)
To: [Planning Commission](#)
Cc: [Kim Banning](#); [Kayla Holbrook](#)
Subject: EMF
Date: Tuesday, June 07, 2016 6:18:05 PM

Hello:

These articles cannot be connected to cell tower legislation, since " the federal government does not allow rejection of a cell phone tower based on health risks" (\$); also, as Dr. Andrew Weil points out, there is no definitive answer. (*) Nevertheless, i was wondering if some of this stuff could inform things like a code mandating a minimum distance of certain technologies from certain residential or public places etc. Then again, i have a digital alarm clock that sits about 18 inches form my head when i sleep, and that's apparently a health problem... (^)
greetings from "citizen" joe

^ <http://emwatch.com/>

\$ http://www.eastcountymagazine.org/cell_phone_towers_238
(this one references how a church wants to lease land for a tower)

* <http://www.drweil.com/drw/u/QAA400407/Cell-Phone-Tower-Threat.html>

<http://www.marioninstitute.org/blog/2013/10/cell-phones-wi-fi%E2%80%95are-children-fetuses-and-fertility-risk?gclid=CKaMssbulS0CFQM0aQodg-AIPA>

<http://www.foxnews.com/tech/2011/08/01/despite-lack-evidence-alarmist-continue-to-question-cell-phone-safety.html>