

- Rezoning from ER (formerly ER-2) to L-R-4 (formerly L-MFRD)
 - ER-2 and L-MFRD are essentially the same zoning districts as ER and L-R-4, they just changed with the Code update
 - Application filed under previous Code, but rezoning is to new multifamily district
 - Overlay Text would limit site to residential only
 - 14 townhome units on ~2.5 acres (5.42 du/acre)
 - Land dedication or fees-in-lieu
 - Land or fees based on the size of the project
 - Parks and Recreation Board recommends fees-in-lieu
 - Fees-in-lieu approved for previous multifamily projects (e.g. Morse Rd)
 - No variances required at this time

Polo Club Villas 5.7 du/acre

The Villas of Gahanna
6.8 du/acre

The Vista at Rocky Fork
14.2 du/acre

The Woods at Shagbark
5.0 du/acre



The Village at Sterling Pines 5.8 du/acre

Cameron Ridge 16.3 du/acre

Gahanna Grove 9.5 du/acre

Courtyard at
Beecher Crossing
4.9 du/acre

Conceptual Site Plan



<u>Legend</u>

- Front and east setbacks
 - Buffer zone w/6 ft high screen



Conceptual Elevation



- Limited Overlay Text included with rezoning
 - Only permits residential and prohibits childcare and nursing/personal care facilities
 - These are typically permitted or conditional uses in R-4
 - The site will be developed substantially similar to conceptual site plan

- Land Use Plan
 - Plan makes recommendations and is used as a guide
 - Adopted in 2019
 - Site designated as "Professional Office"
 - Only contemplates offices, medical offices, and hospitals/clinics
 - 50-60 ft building height
 - 30-50% building coverage
 - 10,000-20,000 SF/acre
 - More intense than proposed use
 - Need diverse housing options as the region grows

- Next steps for the project
 - If approval recommended to City Council
 - City Council will vote on Rezoning application
 - A Major Development Plan application required prior to site development
 - Conditional Use not required
 - Only requires PC approval
 - If denied by Planning Commission
 - Does not go onto City Council for a vote

Rezoning Criteria

- Consistency with goals of Land Use Plan
- Physical compatibility of property with allowed uses
- Availability of sites elsewhere already zoned for proposed use
- Compatibility of all potential uses allowed in proposed zoning
- Capacity of infrastructure
- Apparent demand for permitted uses

- Staff recommends <u>approval</u> of the Rezoning
 - All rezoning criteria has been met
 - The use is limited to residential only through the Overlay Text
 - 14 units (5.42 du/acre) will not have a major impact
 - Multifamily is appropriate for the area and aligns with adjacent land uses
 - Office uses as recommended in the LUP generate higher traffic and would have more negative impacts on the area
 - Multifamily is in high demand and staff is not aware of other sites zoned R-4 that are available for development
 - The current site layout does not require any variances

