



City of Gahanna

Meeting Minutes

Committee of the Whole

200 South Hamilton Road
Gahanna, Ohio 43230

Trenton I. Weaver, Chair
Merisa K. Bowers
Jamille Jones
Nancy R. McGregor
Kaylee Padova
Stephen A. Renner
Michael Schnetzer

Jeremy A. VanMeter, Clerk of Council

Monday, November 10, 2025

7:00 PM

City Hall, Council Chambers

A. CALL TO ORDER:

Gahanna City Council met for Committee of the Whole on Monday, November 10, 2025, in Council Chambers. Vice President of Council Trenton I. Weaver, Chair, called the meeting to order at 7:20 p.m. The agenda was published on November 7, 2025. All members were present for the meeting. There were no additions or corrections to the agenda.

Vice President Weaver noted the late start due to the City Council Special Meeting, which ended at 7:17 p.m., thanking those in attendance for their patience.

B. ITEMS FROM ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT:

Returning for further discussion:

[ORD-0042-2025](#)

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT WITH CONNECT REALTY LLC, BENSON CAPITAL, LLC, AND THE GAHANNA COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT CORPORATION FOR THE REDEVELOPMENT OF VACANT AND BLIGHTED PROPERTIES IN THE CREEKSIDE DISTRICT

Vice President Weaver noted the return of the development agreement with Connect Realty, Benson Capital, and the Gahanna Community Improvement Corporation (CIC) for further discussion. He confirmed that the Administration would not give a formal presentation and opened the floor to Councilmembers for questions, comments, or concerns. He reminded the body that Council scheduled the item for an up-or-down vote on Monday, November 17, 2025.

President Bowers stated that she had one outstanding question regarding the

use of the parcels identified in Section 12.4.9. She asked City Attorney Tamilarasan to summarize the current status of that paragraph.

City Attorney Tamilarasan explained that the most recent redline version, along with the clean copy, identified the Phase 2 parcels that could be used for staging if and when demolition occurred. She added that one parcel with potential historical value appeared in the document as usable only after the city received at least 180 days' prior written notice of an intent to utilize it. She stated that this notice period would allow the city to make arrangements to preserve or move any structures on that property if it chose to do so.

Vice President Weaver asked if any other members had comments. Hearing none, he reiterated that the item would appear on the Regular Council Meeting agenda the following week.

Recommendation: Second Reading/Adoption with Proposed Amendment (Substitute EXHIBIT A) Scheduled on Regular Agenda on 11/17/2025.

C. ITEMS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE:

[RES-0055-2025](#)

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING REVISED ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING STATION FEES PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 949 OF THE GAHANNA CODIFIED ORDINANCES

Senior Deputy Director of Administrative Services Corey Wybensing presented two items on behalf of the Department of Public Service. He first addressed the updated fees for the city's EV charging stations and gave an overview to inform Council and the public about the current system. He stated that the city operated six charging locations, which included Level 2 chargers at City Hall, Friendship Park, Hannah Park, and the municipal golf course, along with fast chargers at the Creekside Parking Garage and the municipal lot. Wybensing explained that the 2025 budget included funding to upgrade the chargers and bring them into better working order, and he confirmed that the upgrades were completed. He reminded Council that he appeared in May 2025, to adjust the rate structure from an hourly model to a consumption-based model, that charged per kilowatt. He stated that six months passed since that transition. He noted that the city's electric rates would increase when all city accounts transitioned to 100% renewable energy between December 1 and December 6, 2025. He also explained that new software now provided better analytics, allowing staff to review usage trends, kilowatt consumption, timing, and days of use. He added that the software allowed the department to collect data that supported the city's climate action strategy, including CO2 emission reductions.

Senior Deputy Director Wybensing requested a resolution to update the fee structure and asked that the updated rates take effect on December 8, 2025,

the Monday following the city's transition to 100% renewable energy. He stated that Level 2 rates would increase by two cents per kilowatt and fast-charger rates would increase by one cent per kilowatt. He noted that staff reviewed pricing in the 43230 zip code area to ensure that the city remained competitive. He stated that some providers offered charging for free to attract users, but the city pursued cost recovery to cover electric costs and offset software and hardware expenses. He also addressed the idle-fee provision that he discussed in May 2025. He stated that the cost to implement the idle-recovery software module was not cost-effective. He clarified that existing laws regarding idle time still applied, but the department would not activate the idle-fee feature.

Councilmember Schnetzer asked for clarification on the idle fee. Wybensinger explained that the department structured the fee to begin after ten minutes of inactivity once a vehicle completed charging, which allowed fees to accrue the longer the vehicle remained connected.

Councilmember McGregor asked to see figures on how much the chargers were used. Wybensinger responded that the new software was only online since early May 2025, for the Level 2 chargers, and the final fast charger at the Creekside garage went live about a month earlier. He stated that he did not have substantial data yet, but noted that staff were building a data portfolio to show usage. He added that the City Hall chargers and the municipal lot fast charger were the most frequently used, as fast chargers offered significantly quicker charging times than Level 2 units. He also noted that usage was minimal under the old software due to tracking limitations and frequent outages, which prompted the upgrades.

Vice President Weaver asked if there was any further discussion. Hearing none, he confirmed that the item would move forward as a resolution on the consent agenda for the following week. He concluded by thanking Director Wybensinger for his service, acknowledged other veterans in the room, and offered thanks in advance of Veterans Day.

Recommendation: Introduction/Adoption on Consent Agenda on 11/17/2025.

[ORD-0051-2025](#)

AN ORDINANCE TO ESTABLISH UTILITY RATES AND AMEND RELATED PROVISIONS OF PART NINE, TITLE THREE - PUBLIC UTILITIES OF THE GAHANNA CODIFIED ORDINANCES

Senior Deputy Director Wybensinger reported on the annual recommended adjustments for utility rates. He noted that he identified an error in the summary earlier in the day and consulted with Clerk VanMeter. The first sentence referenced Gahanna's recommended rate changes instead of the City of Columbus's; he corrected this and attached a revised summary to the agenda item. He stated that this was his third year presenting the requested

utility rate changes and explained that he intended to provide more information than usual because the rate proposals from Columbus were more complex this year. He used the presentation to educate and inform Council about these changes. He recapped that Gahanna is a master meter community, similar to Bexley and Reynoldsburg, with only a few such communities in central Ohio. He explained that ten meters exist between Gahanna and Columbus, and Columbus bills Gahanna based on consumption through those meters for water, which also affects sanitary charges. He added that some items, such as the Columbus Consent Order (CCO), are not consumption-based and would be discussed further. He reminded Council that the utility accounts, aside from refuse, are enterprise funds and operate like private businesses by collecting fees to cover expenditures. He explained that approximately 80% of operational charges for water and sanitary, which equaled about \$16 million of roughly \$20 million in collections, go to Columbus. Capital charges remain in Gahanna to maintain its distribution system and support capital projects. Wybensing noted that Gahanna collects both operational and capital charges for storm water. He further explained that the Columbus Consent Order, reflected on bills as the clean river fee, is a 100% pass-through to Columbus. Columbus sets the Equivalent Residential Unit (ERU) charge, and Gahanna must apply that amount to its bills. He provided a reminder about the city's utility systems and referenced page 17 of Section 5 of the approved Capital Improvement Plan (CIP), which lists numerous planned capital utility projects. He noted that Section 10 of the CIP contains additional detail.

Wybensing highlighted key points in the proposed rate changes. Columbus proposed an 8% increase for sanitary operations through its Sewer and Water Advisory Board (SWAB), which exceeded earlier expectations by several percentage points. He stated that Gahanna's proposal was a 6% increase, which met operational needs while remaining below Columbus's rate. He reported that sanitary capital charges required a 4% increase due to inflationary impacts on capital project costs. He added that this increase would not resolve long-term needs beyond current CIP projections and that future discussions would be necessary. Wybensing explained that Columbus proposed an 18% increase for water operations, exceeding the previously anticipated peak of 15%. According to the SWAB presentation, Columbus intended to reduce a potential future 35% spike by implementing this higher rate now. Gahanna's proposed water capital rate increase was 4%, again reflecting inflationary costs. For storm water, he recommended a 1% increase for 2026, covering both operations and capital. Wybensing described the Columbus Consent Order as another significant rate impact. Columbus notified Gahanna of a recommended \$4.39 per ERU pass-through, which would result in an anticipated \$1.7 million charge spread across four quarters. He reminded Council that Gahanna revised its ERU count the

previous year to match Columbus's methodology because the city's earlier ERU count was much lower. That correction increased ERUs for some properties but created a more accurate and equitable distribution. He stated that staff continued to reconcile Gahanna's ERU count with Columbus's to ensure accurate collections for what is a total pass-through cost. He noted that, without last year's adjustment, the new ERU charge would have exceeded \$6. Wybensinger stated that there would be no rate increases for refuse or garbage collection in 2026. However, he advised Council that increases were likely in 2027. He explained that the city expected to receive the first bids for the recycling portion of the 2027-2031 agreement later in the week. Those bids would initiate the process that leads to bidding for refuse collection after the recycling vendor is selected. He anticipated that the process would unfold in early 2026 and that the city would know by mid-2026 where refuse collection costs were headed for 2027. He stated that all information would return to Council and noted that the city was participating in a consortium for this process.

Next, Wybensinger explained the overall impact of the proposed adjustments for Gahanna. He stated that the city expected an overall utility increase of 6.14% when spreading the total across all utilities. He noted that the city historically tried to keep increases under 4 to 5%, but revenue and expenditure projections, built with aggressive expenditure assumptions and conservative revenue assumptions, supported the recommended increase. He stated that the minimum bill would total about \$2.41 increase per month and that an average household using 4,000 gallons of water per month would see a \$7.46 monthly increase. He emphasized that rising utility costs were a regional issue and not unique to Gahanna. He reminded Council that he said the previous year that water and sewer costs would not decrease. He added that while staff continued to explore options, costs continued to rise, especially because the city had no control over 80% of the charges which are driven by Columbus. Wybensinger also reviewed Columbus's proposed expansion of its low-income discount program. He stated that Columbus increased the low-income discount to 25% the previous year and adjusted eligibility from 200% to 150% of the federal poverty level (FPL). He reported that Columbus proposed increasing the discount to 30% in 2026, 35% in 2027, and capping it at 40% by 2028 for seniors.

President Bowers asked whether the eligibility remained at 150% of FPL. Director Wybensinger responded that Columbus proposed keeping eligibility at 150% FPL. He stated that staff would continue monitoring eligibility to ensure Columbus accepted Gahanna's determinations. He noted that many Gahanna households held minimum bills or used the low-income discount, and he viewed the discount program as a benefit to those residents.

Wybensinger outlined several key points for the future. He emphasized the importance of consumption, noting that most resident charges depended on it. He stated that reduced water use lowered both water and sanitary costs unless a household used deduction meters, which were typically found with larger users. He shared that he and Mayor Jadwin planned to work on a water conservation awareness strategy for 2026. He advised residents to check for leaks and stated that a leaking toilet could become very costly. He stated that Columbus's five-year outlook projected double-digit water rate increases. He reported that Columbus also planned to increase sanitary capacity fees beginning in April 2026. He explained that developments tapping into sanitary lines two to four inches or larger would see significant increases, up to 70% more than current fees. He stated that Gahanna needed to adjust its own fees to ensure the city recouped at least the amount Columbus charged. Wybensinger again noted that refuse collection would likely increase in 2027. He stated that Gahanna belonged to a cohort running one year behind previous groups and that preliminary numbers looked better than expected, but the city needed to wait for actual bid results. He noted that refuse fees had not increased since the original contract in 2020 and stated that an increase would eventually become necessary.

Councilmember Schnetzer thanked the director and asked about the best way to interpret the information. He asked whether 80% of what residents paid ultimately depended on what Columbus charged. Director Wybensinger confirmed that this applied to operational charges. Councilmember Schnetzer asked whether SWAB information was publicly available and noted that Columbus's operational charges likely included capital costs because water and sewer traveled through Columbus's infrastructure. He referenced upgrades at the Hap Cremean Water Plant and stated that capital needs likely affected rate increases. He asked whether Columbus provided insight into the main drivers of the significant increases so that Council could explain them to residents. Director Wybensinger replied that Columbus posted SWAB agendas online and that Gahanna typically sent someone to attend meetings. He stated that chemical costs, a new treatment plant, and maintenance and expansion of Columbus's infrastructure all contributed to rising costs. He added that Columbus included factors not fully detailed in its presentations and that Gahanna, as a master meter community, paid certain higher costs under its agreement with Columbus.

Mayor Jadwin added that she spoke with the mayors of Reynoldsburg and Bexley. She stated that the three cities were working to schedule a meeting with Columbus to understand what drove the increases. She noted that they recognized the rising costs were unsustainable for residents and that they wanted to discuss cost drivers, potential mitigation, and their individual agreements with Columbus.

Councilmember Renner commended Director Wybensing for presenting complex and unwelcome information clearly. He thanked him for pursuing water conservation awareness efforts, noting his strong advocacy for reducing consumption. He referenced ongoing state and national conversations about electricity consumption and stated that households needed to re-evaluate their water use. He asked whether the average 4,000 gallons per month applied to residential accounts. Director Wybensing confirmed that it did. Wybensing stated that customer service staff calculated the average based on residential accounts only, excluding commercial users. He offered his own household as an example and stated that a typical family of four generally used around 4,000 gallons, sometimes reaching 5,000 depending on when the meter reading occurred. Councilmember Renner said he looked forward to the water awareness campaign and asked who the largest water users in Gahanna were, aside from residents. Director Wybensing stated that Niagara Bottling served as the largest user and was the only entity that used the city's three-million-gallon carveout. Councilmember Renner stated that the city previously modified Niagara's rate structure and asked whether the city planned to review it again. Director Wybensing stated that the city completed an adjustment the previous year and planned an additional increase, included in the current redline, to recapture the amount Columbus billed plus a small amount for operations. He stated that high-end users received a discount but still paid more than before. Councilmember Renner thanked Wybensing for protecting residents as much as possible and noted that a 6% overall increase remained far lower than increases faced by many other entities.

Councilmember Jones asked whether Gahanna had any option other than purchasing water from Columbus. Director Wybensing stated that the city had no other option. Councilmember Jones thanked him and stated that she wanted to confirm that the city could not "shop around" for water rates.

President Bowers asked a follow-up question regarding what comprised the costs passed from Columbus and how those costs related to the city's own operational and capital charges.

Director Wybensing explained that utility bills displayed charges for water, water capital, sanitary operations, sanitary capital, and storm water. He explained that the city operated five separate utility funds, each tied to the charges shown on residents' bills. President Bowers stated that she was trying to understand why water and sewer operated as enterprise funds and whether other communities handled billing differently. Mayor Jadwin stated that enterprise funds were required to operate in that structure. Director

Wybensinger stated that he had only ever seen water run as an enterprise system and believed legislative requirements might exist, though he suggested Director Bury would be the appropriate person to confirm. He stated that water, as a consumption-based commodity, functioned like a business because those who used more paid more. President Bowers stated that she raised the question the previous year and wanted to continue a broader discussion about whether there were alternate ways to structure billing for a basic need. She then asked about the ERU changes adopted the previous year and whether the reduced square footage per ERU created a more equitable distribution of Columbus's charges. Director Wybensinger confirmed that the change ensured fairness. He stated that, without the adjustment, the average household would have paid more than \$6.30 per ERU for the Columbus Consent Order charge instead of \$4.39. He emphasized that the revised ERU size aligned Gahanna more closely with Columbus's ERU calculation, which determined the amount Columbus billed the city. President Bowers noted that she understood Columbus still independently calculated the number of ERUs in Gahanna. Director Wybensinger confirmed that the city of Gahanna continued working to match Columbus's count as closely as possible because the clean river fee functioned as a pass-through. President Bowers thanked him, noting that many on Council had undergone a steep learning curve regarding public utilities.

Councilmember McGregor asked whether the city could include a basic explanation of how the water bill worked in the newsletter for several months. She stated that residents frequently misunderstood rounding and meter reading differences. Mayor Jadwin stated that a water bill tutorial was already on her whiteboard for the new year. She stated that the city previously published marked-up bills and might record a short video explaining charges, especially given the current level of community interest. She noted that many residents did not understand that most charges passed directly to Columbus. She also clarified that the city rounded down, not up, on bills. Councilmember McGregor stated that residents often received bills that jumped unexpectedly due to meter intervals and asked for a simple explanation to help them understand.

Vice President Weaver asked how ERU charges worked for residents whose bills went to associations or third-party companies such as Guardian Water rather than directly from the city. Director Wybensinger stated that associations determined their own internal arrangements and could direct bills to third-party management companies. He stated that the city sent bills to whatever address the account holder placed on file, whether a home address, P.O. box, or email. He noted that third-party companies might impose their own fees. Vice President Weaver acknowledged the explanation

and asked whether any other discussion remained on the item. Hearing none, he stated that the ordinance would appear for a first reading on November 17, 2025, and on the consent agenda on December 1, 2025.

Director Wybensinger thanked Council and noted that no emergency clause or waiver was included. He explained that staff intentionally presented the item earlier to allow more time for Council's review. He stated that staff continued to monitor Columbus's meetings and aimed to bring forward rate proposals based on Columbus's likely trajectory. He stated that, if adjustments became necessary, he preferred returning with a cost reduction under an emergency measure rather than limiting Council's opportunity for discussion.

Recommendation: Introduction/First Reading on Regular Agenda on 11/17/2025; Second Reading/Adoption on Consent Agenda on 12/1/2025.

D. ITEMS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS & RECREATION:

[ORD-0052-2025](#) AN ORDINANCE WAIVING THE FORMAL BIDDING REQUIREMENTS PURSUANT TO GAHANNA CODE SECTION 133.02(c)(6), AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO CONTRACT WITH _____ FOR THE REPLACEMENT OF THE BIG WALNUT TRAIL BRIDGE DECKING; AND WAIVING SECOND READING

Director of Parks and Recreation Stephania Ferrell reported that two pedestrian bridges crossing Big Walnut Creek and McKenna Creek near Stonybrook Church required full decking replacement. She stated that the planks showed significant rot and mold, including areas of full-depth rot. She noted that staff made patch repairs where necessary but that full replacement was required to resolve the issue. She requested authorization to waive the bidding process due to the emergent safety need. She stated that staff solicited quotes from three vendors and expected to present a contract to Council the following Monday, November 17, 2025. She invited questions from Council.

Councilmember McGregor asked how old the bridges were. Director Ferrell stated that the city held a ribbon cutting in 2018, making the structures seven years old. Councilmember McGregor commented that the lifespan seemed short. Director Ferrell stated that the decking used a water-based preservative, which failed. She explained that the structures were built using the same type of timber throughout both bridges and that both now required replacement. Councilmember McGregor asked whether the replacement would use different materials. Director Ferrell stated that staff planned to use a different type of timber and a different preservative.

Councilmember Schnetzer stated that he recently used the bridge near

Stonybrook and noticed the warning signs and patchwork. He asked whether a composite or concrete material, similar to the material used on another pedestrian bridge near Nob Hill, could be used. Director Ferrell responded that the bridge sat on a steel superstructure designed specifically for timber planks. She stated that the bridge could not be fully redesigned but that staff planned to use a different lumber product.

President Bowers asked how long the project would take and how long the bridges would remain closed. Director Ferrell stated that she could not yet estimate the duration because the city had not secured a contract. She stated that she would provide details once the contractor and schedule were known.

Councilmember Padova stated that many parents used the bridge when parking in the lot near Stonybrook and asked whether the city would notify them once the construction schedule was set. Director Ferrell stated that staff would work with the Marketing and Communications team to notify the public of impacts and closures.

Vice President Weaver stated that the requested waiver would appear on the Regular Council Meeting agenda the following week. He thanked Director Ferrell.

Recommendation: Introduction/First Reading with Waiver of Second Reading and Adoption on Regular Agenda on 11/17/2025.

E. ITEMS FROM THE SENIOR DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS:

[ORD-0050-2025](#) AN ORDINANCE TO ACCEPT AN EASEMENT AND RIGHT-OF-WAY AGREEMENT FROM OHIO POWER COMPANY (SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO COLUMBUS AND SOUTHERN OHIO ELECTRIC COMPANY) FOR FIBER-OPTIC INSTALLATION SERVING 825 TECH CENTER DRIVE; WAIVING SECOND READING AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

Senior Director of Operations Kevin Schultz presented a proposed fiber optic telecommunications easement for 825 Tech Center Drive. He stated that the facility currently had one lateral line on the north side and required a second lateral to provide redundancy and backup. He explained that staff worked with surrounding property owners since the approval of the initial access easement and reached terms with American Electric Power (AEP) to establish a three-foot easement for the second fiber lateral extending to Morrison Road. He stated that the easement would run from the sallyport area of 825 Tech Center Drive along the southern property line of AEP. He reported that the cost per square foot matched the cost of the prior access easement approved in 2024 and that the total cost for the new easement was slightly more than \$2,500. He requested an ordinance authorizing Mayor

Jadwin to enter into the easement and right-of-way agreement with the Ohio Power Company. He also requested a waiver and emergency clause to allow the work to proceed and maintain project scheduling.

Vice President Weaver asked who recorded easements. Senior Director Schultz stated that the responsible party varied by agreement. He stated that the city recorded the previous access easement and would likely record this one. He noted that the city also planned to record the Lower McCorkle easement on behalf of Columbia Gas.

With no further questions or discussion on the item, Vice President Weaver stated that the ordinance would appear on the Regular Council Meeting agenda the following week with the requested waiver and emergency. He thanked Senior Director Schultz.

Recommendation: Introduction/First Reading with Waiver of Second Reading and Emergency Adoption on Regular Agenda on 11/17/2025.

F. ITEMS FROM THE CITY ATTORNEY:

[RES-0054-2025](#)

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ATTORNEY TO ENTER INTO THE DISCOVER CARD MERCHANT CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT.

City Attorney Tamilarasan reported that Discover Card Merchant Services allegedly mischaracterized certain personal credit cards as business credit cards, which caused the city, as the end user accepting payments, to incur additional surcharges. She explained that a large class action settlement was established and that the city qualified as a member of the settlement class because it accepted credit cards for various fees, costs, and permits. She asked Council for permission to participate in the settlement if desired. She stated that she did not know the potential monetary recovery but expected it to be minimal due to the size of the class. She noted that the city would still be entitled to participate.

President Bowers asked about the level of effort required from the City Attorney's office. City Attorney Tamilarasan stated that the city received approximately 20 to 25 notices across departments, but submitting a single form would cover all departments. She stated that the effort required would be minimal.

Vice President Weaver stated that the item would appear on the Consent Agenda the following week and thanked the City Attorney.

Recommendation: Introduction/Adoption on Consent Agenda on 11/17/2025.

G. ITEMS FROM COUNCIL OFFICE:

Council Feedback on January 2, 2026 Organizational Meeting Time

Vice President Weaver introduced a discussion item seeking general Council feedback on the meeting time for the January 2, 2026, organizational meeting, noting that the date fell on a Friday. He turned the discussion over to President Bowers.

President Bowers explained that the city's charter required Council to hold the organizational meeting on January 2 unless the date fell on a Sunday. She noted that since the meeting would occur on a Friday, Council did not need to meet at 7:00 p.m., and she believed there was no interest in doing so. She recommended meeting at either 8:00 a.m., noon, or 4:00 p.m. and stated that she assumed the Administration could accommodate any of those times. After noting that 8:00 a.m. might be difficult, she suggested that noon or 4:00 p.m. might be preferable.

Mayor Jadwin noted that New Year's Eve celebrations occurred on the 31st and that New Year's Day games took place on the 1st.

Councilmember Schnetzer asked whether leaving work early would pose a challenge for any members, noting that noon might be difficult for some. President Bowers stated that she would be working from home and could take her lunch break to attend a noon meeting. Vice President Weaver stated that he would be in his office for a busy season. Mayor Jadwin stated that Mayor's Court would take place in Council Chambers on January 2, which affected the scheduling options. City Attorney Tamilarasan stated that 4:00 p.m. would work but noon would be difficult because court would run from approximately 8:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. Vice President Weaver stated that he could attend a meeting at 4:00 or 5:00 p.m. President Bowers asked whether 4:00 p.m. worked for the members, and Council agreed. President Bowers then asked whether Council needed to consider any Ohio State University game scheduling conflicts, noting that Council had done so in the past. It was stated that the schedule was not yet known. Council tentatively agreed to hold the meeting at 4:00 p.m. unless it conflicted with a Buckeyes game.

H. ADJOURNMENT:

With no further business before the Committee of the Whole, the Chair adjourned the meeting at 8:13 p.m.

Jeremy A. VanMeter
Clerk of Council

*APPROVED by the Committee of the Whole, this
day of 2025.*

Trenton I. Weaver

DRAFT