			Page 1
1	BOARI	O OF ZONING AND BUII	LDING APPEALS
2		CITY OF GAHANNA	, OHIO
3			
4	SHEETZ GAHANNA	Α,	
5	Appe	ellant,	
6	v.		Case No.
7	PLANNING COMMI	SSION, CITY OF	BZA-0001-2022
8	GAHANNA,		
9	Appe	ellee.	
10			
11		HEARING	
12	DATE:	Thursday, January	26, 2023
13	TIME:	6:40 p.m.	
14	BEFORE:	Lorne Eisen, Chair	rman
15	LOCATION:	Gahanna Zoning App	peals Board
16		200 South Hamilton	n Road
17		Gahanna, OH 43230	
18	REPORTED BY:	Nic Joseph Saul, N	Notary Public
19	JOB NO.:	5690411	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

		Page 4
1	CONTENTS	
2		PAGE
3	Susan Ferris	21
4	Jeri Figliola	23
5	Edye Buchanan	25
6	John Sanders	28
7	Mary Beth Thomas	29
8	David Poeppelman	3 2
9	Robert Kelley Marchal	34
10	Nancy Starkloff	35
11	Judith Braun	37
12	Brenda Wils	38
13	Errin Hensley	60
14	David Bruckelmeyer	62
15		
16	WITNESS(ES): DX CX	
17	DREW LAURENT	
18	By Mr. Ingram 51	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

			Page 5
1		EXHIBITS	
2	NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
3	Appellant:		
4	Exhibit 1	Record on Appeal	40/88
5	Exhibit 2	Case No. BZA-1-2022 Appeal	of
6		Conditional Use (CU-9-2022) Gahanna
7		Ohio Board of Zoning and Bu	uilding
8		Appeals Presentation	40/88
9		(Exhibit 2 attached.)	
10			
11	NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
12	Appellee:		
13		(None marked.)	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 PROCEEDINGS

THE CHAIRMAN: Any additions or corrections to the agenda, any changes, please state them now by anyone in the room. Anything? Chairman? Okay. We have no additions or corrections to the agenda.

We now move forward with the approval of the last meeting minutes. Those last meeting minutes were from actually our organizational meeting almost a year -- or just over a year ago on January the 20th.

There were no -- there was not a hearing of any sort. It was elections and, again, the organizational meeting.

So there may have been a member -- a new member -- on the board now who was not even at that meeting. I've explained that to him ahead of time -- ahead of time of right now.

So do I have a motion to approve the meeting minutes from January 20th of 2022?

MR. JENSEN: I move to approve the minutes from the January 20, 2022, BZBA meeting.

THE CHAIRMAN: Second?

MS. STEFANOV: I second.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Role call,

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

2

3

5 6

7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

21 2.2

2.0

23

24

2.5

	Page 7
1	Mr. Clerk?
2	MR. VANMETER: Jensen?
3	MR. JENSEN: Yes.
4	MR. VANMETER: Stefanov?
5	MS. STEFANOV: Yes.
6	MR. VANMETER: Beckmann?
7	MR. BECKMANN: Yes.
8	MR. VANMETER: Bryson?
9	MR. BRYSON: Yes.
10	MR. VANMETER: Eisen?
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
12	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We are now
13	going to move into the formal hearing portion of the
14	evening. But before we do that, we are going to have
15	the City Attorney administer an oath and swear in
16	those who are wishing to speak this evening. So I
17	will ask him to take over. And let's do that before
18	we start.
19	MR. MULARSKI: I had my oath. There it
20	is. Sorry. At this time, we're going to be entering
21	the public hearings portion of the meeting. The
22	public hearing will operate as follows.
23	There will be a public I guess
24	before that I would like to make a point of order to
25	try to clarify something with these emails I think

came in today. Would you mind?

2.0

2.2

2.5

THE CHAIRMAN: Please.

MR. MULARSKI: Okay. Let me give you a little history first. What happened was in 2017 there were Rules of Procedure for the BZBA. In 2019 those rules were amended.

Now when those rules were amended, they added this public hearing portion. They added the public comment portion to this public hearing. That did not exist previously. That's why I wanted to bring this up now.

It doesn't say what public comment is.

It does say that public comment would be limited to three minutes. Today and a few days before this we received many emails concerning this situation.

I think this Board first needs to determine what happens with those emails. Are they public comment? If so, how do you verify the emails? How do you know where they came from? If they're not public comment, then they cannot be considered.

It's complicated by the fact that when they amended this they stated that the evidence will be presented as set forth in Section 6.13. Then they, the Board, deleted Section 6.13.

But they didn't change the numbering.

So now it says the evidence will be presented as set forth by a board member who believes they have a conflict of interest must withdraw. It has nothing to do with the evidence.

2.0

2.2

So I do not know if they meant to include -- keep Section 6.13 since that was referred to specifically or what that meant. So a determination needs to be made today as to how this hearing is going to be -- how it's going to proceed.

If you do decide to include the emails as a public comment I guess it would have to be read and each one would have to be limited to three minutes. And then you can consider it as you would any other public comment.

I do not know why they added public comment to this type of hearing. That's something in front of the Planning Commission where the people can make their comments for them to consider.

This is a hearing. This is a hearing where it's what we would call a trial de novo. You are not sitting here trying to determine what the Planning Commission did -- was it right or wrong? It's nothing to do with that.

You are here to determine the evidence presented before you how you would decide this case.

1 | Would you uphold this appeal or not?

2.0

2.2

2.5

There has been an invitation for several planning commission members. Now I know it seems a little incongruous because your determination will either uphold or overrule the Planning Commission. But you're not saying they were right or wrong. You're just saying your opinion this is what the facts have presented.

I know there was a request to have some planning commission members here so that perhaps you can find out what their thinking was, why they decided how they did decide. That is just opinion; okay?

You cannot substitute their opinion for yours. You can take it as, "Okay; well, that's something I might want to think about."

But you're not supposed to say, "Well, since they decided it that way is it right or wrong?"

It could just be something you could consider; okay?

So you have to determine what are going to be the facts that are presented. And the facts are what was presented by the appeal, by the minutes, and by what happened in the Planning Commission.

Anything that's an opinion is not a fact. You can only consider facts when you're considering this -- considering the evidence in front

1 of you.

So with that said, I think the very first thing we have to do is determine what is going to happen with the emails that were put in. You can either say it doesn't qualify as public comment.

This is the first hearing we've had since these rules have been changed that had emails. That's why it's being brought up for the first time.

But so you can either say, "Yes; they will be introduced as public comment" and then you can give them whatever weight you deem necessary, whether it's opinion, facts, or whatever.

Or you can say, "No; they won't be admitted." And then you have to determine whether or not that's fair.

If you're going to admit something that was just presented today is that fair to everybody? I would simply suggest that you ask the parties, "Here's how we've decided we're having this hearing. Are you prepared to go forward under these terms?"

If either side is not you may want to consider continuing it to give everyone a fair chance to present their case. You don't have to. It's totally up to you.

So before we go any further, I think

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

the first thing we should do is decide what are we going to do with the emails.

2.0

2.2

MR. BRYSON: May I begin?

THE CHAIRMAN: Please.

MR. BRYSON: Mr. Mularksi, am I correct in remembering that public comments, if they were delivered at this hearing, would be delivered by a person who identifies themselves and will be placed under oath?

MR. MULARSKI: Yes.

MR. BRYSON: Okay. My suggestion then would be that since these emails can't come to us under oath and since we don't really have an adequate way of determining the identity of the person that we not take them the same way that we would public comment.

I do think public comment makes sense, especially in a de novo kind of hearing. I think that previous boards may have been wise to add that, although I would find it inconvenient if I were counsel for either side. I think there is a certain amount of wisdom in that if we're making a new determination.

But I think there is a level of capacity for irregularity when we're not getting --

when we're getting some information under oath and some of it is not under oath and some by people we can identify and some by people we cannot.

2.0

I hate to turn down anybody's suggestions or comments to a City body as a citizen. But I think for fairness to everyone involved that seems to be the most appropriate way to handle the emails.

THE CHAIRMAN: I'm in agreement. And you know, I also have a concern that, I mean, personally, you know, there was only so much time in the day. And certainly, we also all have day jobs too.

And the timing of being able to read all those emails for myself before this hearing tonight did not occur. So I am a little bit leery of, you know, to be honest and fair to both parties to suggest that those should be accepted tonight.

We've got a lot of people in the audience. I don't know how many will be speaking tonight. But there will be time for people here in person to speak. And I think we should go in that direction.

I would also like to get the opinion of both the appellee and the appellant on this also. I

1 | believe you all were sent those emails today?

2.0

2.2

the Clerk of Council.

MR. INGRAM: Chris Ingram, 52 East Gay Street, Vorys Sater Seymour & Pease on behalf of the appellant. We did not send emails today on behalf of the appellant but we did receive some emails.

MR. BRYSON: Right.

MR. INGRAM: The appellant would object to the admission of any emails because we would not have the opportunity to cross-examine any of those folks. And we certainly reserve the right to cross-examine anyone who intends to offer any evidence in this proceeding.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you for that. And on behalf of the Planning Commission?

MR. ROTH: Yes. Matthew Roth,

Assistant City Attorney. I would agree. I think I did receive all of the emails that were submitted to

And they are what they are. They were received and can be noted that they were received.

But I think it would be best to hear from people that have a position on this, you know, live and in person tonight.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Any other commentary from any of the other board members?

MR. JENSEN: I've been on the Board for a number of years. And I don't believe that in the past that we really looked at incoming emails on various appeals.

2.0

2.2

And I think the people that make the effort to do show up and to participate are more likely to be, you know, appropriate people to be listened to so --

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Mr. Mularski, do we need to formally vote on this or what do we need to do with this issue so we can put it to rest?

MR. MULARSKI: I believe it was unclear for me. So I think to make it perfectly clear you guys should have a motion as to how you're going to treat the emails and vote on that formally. And then that way it's in the record as to how this will be --

THE CHAIRMAN: Excellent.

MR. MULARSKI: -- proceeding.

MR. JENSEN: Would this go forward then? Is this just for this meeting or would we be not using the emails going forward for all future appeals?

MR. MULARSKI: Well, at the end of this we're going to be addressing the Rules of Procedure for the BZBA. And at that time, I would say that'd be

a good time to address that. But it will definitely go forward for this hearing today.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. So I would like to suggest whoever wants to make the motion on the group, the Board, that we include in the motion that our decision is respective to this meeting tonight.

And that this -- you know, we will further discuss it at the end of the meeting when we are having a review of the Rules of Procedure. So I would ask for a motion to -- on the issue of the emails.

MR. BRYSON: Paul Bryson. And I move that we do not accept the emails as evidence or public comment for the reasons that we stated before.

And that this determination is for the purposes of this hearing. Any further hearings would be governed by the Rules of Procedure that will fix the uncertain order.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do I have a second?

MR. JENSEN: I second.

THE CHAIRMAN: We have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? With that, roll call, please.

MR. VANMETER: Bryson?

MR. BRYSON: Yes.

for a presentation, followed by the appellee will also have an equal 25 minutes.

2.0

2.2

2.5

Then we will have five minutes of rebuttal, five minutes of rebuttal, and then we will move on. And we will have questions along the way and will -- also be from the Board. And then we will move on through the agenda.

So with that, I will move on and ask

Mr. Mularski again to help us out there by swearing in
those who plan on speaking this evening.

MR. MULARSKI: Thank you. And if I may have one more procedural question? Mr. Blackford, there were three issues set before the Planning Commission. Only one was decided.

So if the appeals -- the appellant is to be -- is upheld today are those other two still pending in front of the Commission or does this Board need to remand it to the Commission to have them hear those other two?

MR. BLACKFORD: I believe there were a total of four applications that night. So one was acted on -- the conditional use. So there was no action taken on the final Gahanna plans, design, review, or variance at that time.

MR. MULARSKI: So those are still

	Page 19
1	pending. So they could be heard later in
2	MR. BLACKFORD: Correct.
3	MR. MULARKSI: They're not being
4	remanded for
5	MR. BLACKFORD: Yes.
6	MR. MULARSKI: that reason?
7	MR. BLACKFORD: Yep.
8	MR. MULARSKI: Okay. Thank you.
9	MR. BLACKFORD: That's correct.
10	MR. MULARSKI: All right. Now I'm
11	ready to swear people in. All right. Anyone who
12	wants to speak must complete a speaker slip and come
13	to the podium and state their name and address.
14	At this time will all persons wishing
15	to present testimony this evening please raise and
16	raise your right hand to be sworn in?
17	Do you solemnly swear that the
18	testimony you're about to provide will be the truth,
19	the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? If so,
20	state, "I do."
21	MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: I do.
22	MR. MULARSKI: Thank you.
23	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. For the
24	record, our appeal this evening is BZA-0001-2022 to
25	consider an appeal of a Planning Commission denial of

CU-000922 for property located on Johnstown and Morse
Roads, Parcel IDs 025-01124, 025-011243, and 02501126. Current zoning is in C, neighborhood
commercial. Sheetz Gahanna, Sarah Gold, applicant.

So at this time, we are going to have

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

the opportunity for members of the public to speak.

We do have three people who filled out a speaker form.

But Mr. Mularski, there looked there were a lot of hands raised out there.

If people did not fill out the form but they are still members of the public who want to speak we can still allow them to speak; is that correct?

MR. MULARSKI: I would have them come up and get a speaker form. And they can fill them out and turn them in as the other three are speaking would be my --

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

MR. MULARSKI: -- recommendation.

THE CHAIRMAN: So any of you others who want to speak -- I have got the first three. We will start with these three citizens in order of the numbering that the Clerk has put on here. And then while we're doing that we'll continue on with getting those names.

So with that, again, when your name is

called please come up to the podium, identify yourself by name, address, relationship to the project. You can deem what that means on your own. And we will start with Susan Ferris.

2.0

2.2

2.5

And if I mispronounce anybody's name I apologize in advance. We'll do our best. And you'll be able to pronounce your name correctly also.

MS. FERRIS: My name is Susan Ferris.

And my address is 4695 Collingville Way, Columbus

43230. I am concerned that the development proposed
by Sheetz, if approved, would have undesirable effects
on Collingwood residents and the surrounding area.

I have not seen any studies or assessments or heard about any studies or assessments from Sheetz saying that this gas station will not have undesirable effects. I suspect they are not able to prove as much.

I understand that Gahanna Zoning staff said Sheetz has satisfied the criteria for its proposed development. But I do not know how Zoning came to this opinion.

From the presentation I saw online there was no reference to any studies or assessments to support the belief that conditions were met by Sheetz.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

With its close proximity to the Collingwood Pointe driveway, the roundabout, the Goddard School and its students, and the surrounding residential properties -- which will be increased by one more large development on the south side of Morse Road in the near future -- I cannot understand how the Sheetz gas station can say there will be no undesirable effects on our entire area.

Sheetz has not addressed these undesirable effects or the underlining -- underlying concerns associated with their proposed project.

Likewise, the Sheetz gas station does not suit the intended use and purpose of the land. I read the Gahanna Land Use Plan.

That plan says that the proposed development is in a neighborhood commercial district. The plan specifically says gas stations and drivethroughs are not suited for neighborhood commercial districts. Instead, the plan encourages low-intensity commercial projects.

Likewise, the plan says development in North Gateway where the property is located is supposed to enhance walkability and mitigate traffic impacts. Sheetz gas station is not in keeping with any of this.

I am glad the Gahanna Planning

Commission saw fit to deny Sheetz's application. The appeal being considered here today should also be denied. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.

Our next speaker this evening will be Jeri --

MS. FIGLIOLA: Figliola.

THE CHAIRMAN: Figliola. Sorry. I

should have brought my reader glasses tonight.

MS. FIGLIOLA: That's all right.

11 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

MS. FIGLIOLA: I'm Jeri Figliola. And

I live at 4665 Wenham Park. I'm in Collingwood

14 Pointe. And here goes.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Those of you who represent Sheetz do not live in our community. What you are proposing will destroy our peaceful community. We do not need another gas station convenience store. We have nine within three miles down Morse Road.

I am going to tell you about our area as I feel I'm more capable. I walk the sidewalk and paved path on Morse Road. I collect trash. You might say I am a garbage lady. Generally, I pick up trash two to three times a week depending on the weather and my schedule.

I am going to tell you the bulk of my observation. Traffic is horrible. We do not need the problems of a gas station and more traffic and accidents and trash.

2.0

2.2

I pick up car parts, cigarette butts, drink bottles, cans, carryout food containers, and much more.

Sheetz plans to put 50 gas stations in the Columbus area by 2025. That they sell cigarette prices lower than most customers to bring them in.

Sorry -- most businesses to bring them in. Where do those cigarette butts land but in the street?

Most important, we do not need a gas station near our children. The Goddard School does not need gas tanks on -- or the traffic near our children. We don't need the noise, commotion in a community filled with seniors.

If the appeal passes, shame on you.

Not everything is about money. Just think. Noise,

traffic, accidents, already nine gas stations, basic

disruption of our community, and most of all the

children. Thanks for listening.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Our next speaker is last name Buchanan. I don't want to mispronounce your first name.

1	MS. BUCHANAN: It's Edye.
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Edye. Okay.
3	MS. BUCHANAN: That probably matters.
4	So I pretty much represent this entire half of the
5	room. So if you'll indulge me a little bit more than
6	three minutes if not there's other speakers
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Just for the record,
8	your name, address, and relationship to the project.
9	MS. BUCHANAN: Edye Buchanan, 4560
10	Collingwood Pointe Place, Columbus. We live across
11	the street from the proposed location.
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
13	MS. BUCHANAN: I am Secretary at
14	Collingwood Pointe at the Preserve Condominium
15	Association. We're not listed as a contiguous
16	property.
17	However, anyone familiar with the
18	neighborhood would know that the property is exactly
19	across the street. And we will be adversely affected
20	by this development.
21	Make no mistake this is our
22	neighborhood, not simply a geographical area between
23	two thoroughfares thoroughfares with pass-through
24	use by young couples pushing strollers, children from

Albany Glen and the LC riding bikes, people like my

25

neighbors Ray and Nancy that walk their dogs along
Morse Road, or simply people like myself trying to get
my steps in before the end of the day.

2.0

2.2

2.5

While we understand that this property should be developed, we stand in opposition to this development on the grounds that it will have an undesirable effect on the surrounding area and decrease our quality of life.

Traffic at the circle to the east of us will definitely increase if drivers need to negotiate to make righthand turns or access the road that's there on Morse Road.

I fear illegal lefthand turns into
Sheetz from both Morse and Johnstown Road as being
more the rule than the objection as that is the
experience happening with the Sheetz on North Hamilton
in New Albany.

Already the crosswalks at the traffic circles are a hazardous endeavor for joggers and bicyclers. Additional traffic isolates us from using the beautiful paved paths to the north and east of us.

Planning Commission staff presentation made during the November 2, 2022, meeting included photographs of some of the surrounding businesses.

This included the newest addition -- High Banks

Distillery.

2.0

2.2

Like the barn located across the street, it features rustic architecture, giving it a warm, quaint, hometown feel. Architecturally the proposed development will be in sharp contrast to these surrounding businesses.

The area businesses close at reasonable hours and manage to be successful without adversely impacting our neighborhood. What is being proposed is a high-traffic, 24/7 gas station with 20-foot-tall lights.

While we hope the lighting will deter crime, the fact is studies prove that violent crimes are more prevalent at night and at the type of business that's being proposed.

THE CHAIRMAN: I apologize. In order to keep it fair to everybody, including the appellants and appellee, I need you to come to a close. I'm sorry.

MS. BUCHANAN: Okay. I will summarize.

And as I said, one of my neighbors will finish this.

I document three different studies, including one from the FBI, that is -- that was 2020 crime statistics that say that convenient stores account for 3 percent of all reported violent crimes.

We're talking rape, murder, robbery, driving while impaired. Another study from Arizona State University that says that crime is particularly prevalent in 24-hour-a-day businesses such as this.

2.0

2.2

I will conclude with saying we don't want or need this business. And as I said, one of my friends will finish the rest of my statement. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.

Our next speaker is John Sanders.

MR. SANDERS: John Sanders, 4566

Collingwood Pointe Place. Live across the street.

The traffic -- well, let's put it this way. I was not prepared because I wrote a letter. Well, two letters really.

The closeness of the preschool to that many vehicles being parked right across the fence line to me presents a safety problem to youngsters that are out in the playground.

Mainly the opportunity for the disgruntled parent that doesn't have visiting, kidnappers, et cetera being so close -- that's one thing.

The other is the -- several times sometimes in the day the traffic eastbound backs up

past the entrance to the school and almost up to the StoryPoint entrance. The westbound traffic coming out of the roundabout act like they're leaving a starting gate.

2.0

2.2

2.5

The other thing -- I had one more there. But my last point is I've lost count of the number of shootings that have taken place at gas stations in the town, especially the one where an innocent bystander was shot at a Sheetz station. So thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Sanders.

Our next speaker this evening is Mary Beth Thomas.

MS. THOMAS: Hello. I am Mary Beth Thomas. I live at 4582 Collingwood Pointe Place in the Collingwood Pointe community -- just across the street from the proposed development.

I had sent my email. But luckily, I have printed it off. So I plan to -- I do live with my husband and daughter. But I know you can only take one testimony. But we happen to be a family of three.

Okay. I state here -- state my opinion that the appeal by Sheetz Gahanna should be denied. I meant to pull -- bring up my app. I have a Sheetz app.

I love Sheetz. I love their grilled

cheese and their frozen Cokes so -- but I want Sheetz to be one and a half miles or five miles the other way.

2.0

2.2

My family and I live just a few feet across the street from this proposed use of the land in Columbus. But we, along with the Gahanna residents along Johnstown Road, will be negatively impacted by the following -- increased traffic and safety, increased crime, light pollution.

Also, with the Goddard School adjacent to the proposed Sheetz that will be a negative environment that will be superfluous and depletory to that particular school.

I quote from the Gahanna Land Use Plan adopted September 16, 2019, Page 26. "The big-box retail chain establishments and large parking lots, which do little to distinguish Gahanna from other communities, should be limited at gateways."

The Morse Road and Hamilton Road area is only secondary gateway I understand from the maps. But this needs the same considerations as developments at Gahanna's primary gateways.

Also noted in the Land Use Plan is this statement that, quote, "City Planning shall seek to optimize the available land so that it reflects the

needs and the desires of the community."

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

And it's really not lost on anyone that there's very little usable land that's buildable. We had considered building property when we moved here in 2015. But it's like, "We're going to take what's available in the place where we are."

Therefore, I hold that Sheetz is a deterrent to that image in the north gateway focus area, which is a mostly residential area that contains some retail but not 24/7 businesses.

As stated by Vorys Sater -- excuse me for mispronunciation -- Seymour & Pease, the land has been unsuccessfully marketed for five plus years. But that does not mean, however, that the proposed Sheetz is the best fit for that land's use.

Again, I refer the Board to the two statements from its own Land Use Plan. I ask each member of the Board to ponder this question. And this is you're talking in your head.

If my home was the most -- if my home was in this mostly residential area would this Sheetz optimize the use of this site and reflect the needs and desires of not only my family, Gahanna family community, but my Columbus neighbors which would be close to the back road?

Ponder this question, please, as you consider this issue. The only vote that can be made tonight is to deny the appeal by Sheetz on this site. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mrs. Thomas.

THE REPORTER: At this time can we go off the record so I can start a new file, please? All right. We're going to go off the record at 7:14 p.m.

(Off the record.)

THE REPORTER: We are back on the record at 7:15 p.m.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Our next speaker is Mr. David Poeppelman.

MR. POEPPELMAN: Hello. My name is David Poeppelman at 4604 Collingville Way, 43230. I'm here with my wife Joan and my wonderful neighbors that have been concerned.

We moved up here a year and a half ago to be close to our two daughters and six grandchildren who live in Gahanna and Westerville.

The main reason we moved here is to see our grandchildren do their sporting events and extracurricular activities, babysitting our littlest grandchildren.

We were informed that Sheetz gas

station is wanting to build -- develop on Morse Road.

It is very, very difficult to turn right or left from our complex, especially after three o'clock p.m., on Morse Road. Adding a Sheetz gas station would make it more difficult leaving our complex because of the extra traffic that would occur.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- We were also informed that a 250 new apartment complex on Morse Road, west of Collingville Point, will add even more traffic and cause more of a back-up.
- All you have to do is watch the morning news and be informed of the crime at gas stations. We do not need violent people running in our condo complex to escape and hide from their crime.
- A Sheetz gas station will be undesirable in our neighborhood and will be a huge eyesore. It also will increase more trash in our area. And who wants that?
- We hope to have made the right choice by relocating to this area. Thank you for listening to our concerns.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr.
- 23 Poeppelman. Our next speaker today, Roberta Kelley
- 24 | Marchal. I'm sure I've totally --
- MR. MARCHAL: Robert.

	Page 34
1	THE CHAIRMAN: Robert? Sorry, Robert.
2	MR. MARCHAL: You probably couldn't
3	read my writing.
4	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
5	MR. MARCHAL: My name is Robert Kelley
6	Marchal. I live at 4625 Wenham Park in the condos.
7	It's a wonderful neighborhood.
8	I really didn't have anything prepared
9	tonight. I wasn't planning on speaking. But I'm just
10	here in support of my neighbors and to echo their
11	concerns.
12	Living right off of Morse International
13	Speedway can be quite challenging. Regularly people
14	are doing 60 plus miles an hour down that road.
15	Adding a gas station all you have to
16	do is sit and look at the get a lawn chair and
17	watch the traffic circle for an hour. It's crazy.
18	People have a really difficult time
19	with roundabouts. Adding a busy gas station right
20	next to it is not going to help things at all.
21	I don't have anything personal against
22	Sheetz or their people. But I just don't think it's
23	the right fit for our community. And I thank you for

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Our next

the time and consideration.

24

25

speaker is Nancy Starkloff.

2.0

2.2

MS. STARKLOFF: Hi, my name's Nancy Starkloff. My address is 4541 Collingwood Pointe Place, Gahanna, Ohio 43230. I'm continuing part of the presentation that wasn't able to be finished.

First of all, I just want to emphasize that this Sheetz -- big Sheetz gas station is going to be exactly next door to a childcare center.

There are plenty of studies to prove high crime rates at convenience stores and gas stations in general, but especially violent crimes at those operating 24/7 format. You read the dispatch or watch the news -- this is simply common knowledge. No speculation or opinion.

For the appellant to state that the development will be providing essential food, fuel, and beverage services to their users ignores three service stations at the corner at Morse and Hamilton, which is one mile away from the proposed location, and two more gas stations on Hamilton Road less than a mile in either direction.

Food and beverages -- there are too many options to count in a three-mile radius. As a matter of fact, it's only three miles from the proposed location to a Sheetz on North Hamilton Road

near East Dublin Granville Road. I hardly believe essential to be true.

2.0

2.2

2.5

Finally, Figure 5.10 of the Gahanna Land Use Plan, as shown on Page 80, identifies properties being zoned neighborhood commercial.

On Page 59 of the same document the neighborhood commercial district is intended to serve residents in close proximity with a low-intensity commercial product.

Neighborhood commercial developments should be small in scale, complement the adjacent development patterns nearby. These uses should serve the everyday needs of the nearby residents and employees.

It specifically states drive-throughs, big-box stores, gas stations, and other auto-related uses are discouraged in these areas.

In conclusion, I have discussed the traffic issues that will not only impact the residents but limit access to existing businesses.

I have provided three sources citing the potential for increased crime in the neighborhood based on the proposed type of business operating overnight.

I have cited the information in

Gahanna's Land Use Plan that specifically indicates the proposed project violates the definition of the current zoning as neighborhood commercial. Don't want or need this business. Thank you for the opportunity to address this issue.

2.0

2.2

2.5

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.

Our last speaker who has actually filled out a form is getting ready to come up now. I'm going to make this last call for speakers. If anybody wants to come up, do it right now and fill out a form and give it to the Clerk.

And with that, we are going to hear our last speaker. And then we are going to move on to presentation by the appellant. Our ninth speaker is Judith Braun or Braun.

MS. BRAUN: Good evening. My name is Judy Braun. I live at 4592 Collingville Way, Columbus 43230. I am a resident of Collingville -- Collingwood Pointe.

Many of the comments that I prepared have already been addressed. But I would like to publicly state that both my husband and I are in opposition to the proposed development of the Sheetz gas station directly across from our home. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.

looked up those parcels and saw where this is -- you

25

know, Morse Road there's heavy traffic.

2.0

2.2

But Johnstown Road -- the use on Johnstown Road is certainly not the same as a Sheetz gas station. It's still in a big-acreage residential, very limited commercial, and small units.

And I really am surprised that people from Gahanna aren't speaking. So I guess in my -- because I kind of have been a Gahanna person -- I'm speaking on their behalf. This just doesn't belong there. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.

And with that, if there is no further speakers, I will formally close the public comment portion of the public hearing for tonight.

We'll now move on and I will ask our appellants -- as I said earlier, just so we all remember, again there will be 25 minutes -- up to 25 minutes of presentation is allowed by the appellant, the same for the appellee. Five minutes of rebuttal each way is our next phase of the hearing. So with that, I'll turn it over to you --

MR. INGRAM: And, Mr. Chairman, before we commence, just we note for the record that the appellant objects to this Board's consideration of any lay opinions.

1	And note for the record that
2	Collingwood Pointe is actually in the city of
3	Columbus, such that not a single Gahanna resident is
4	providing public comment tonight except for the last
5	one. Thank you.
6	MR. SHANNON: Good evening, Mr.
7	Chairman. My name is Mike Shannon, attorney on behalf
8	of the applicant.
9	By way of introduction, I've been
10	practicing law for 42 years. Thirty-eight of those
11	have been in the zoning area. And previously I was
12	the Chief Zoning and Building Official for the City of
13	Columbus for a number of years.
14	First, Mr. Chairman, a procedural
15	matter. We'd like to move that the exhibits that were
16	submitted as part of the Planning and Zoning
17	Application were carried forward tonight. We would
18	like those officially introduced into evidence.
19	(Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2 were marked
20	for identification.)
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. And our process
22	for that
23	MR. MULARSKI: Mr. Roth, do you have an
24	objection to those being admitted?
25	MR. ROTH: No objection.

MR. MULARSKI: Then you can make the decision. Since there's no objection, I would say that you would admit them.

2.0

2.2

THE CHAIRMAN: No objection.

MR. SHANNON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Also, I think Ray and Matt -- or Ray actually did a good job of explaining the de novo hearing.

I think one of the most important things he said -- that this is an evidentiary hearing, a de novo hearing, and it's based on facts, not opinion. Opinions are exactly that -- opinions.

Also, it's not only a de novo hearing where you're kind of starting from scratch and forming your own opinion. But this ALGOS body is acting as a quasi-judicial body.

And as such it should be treated as a judicial proceeding. And adverse finding by this Board would most certainly lead to a 2506 appeal in Franklin County Common Pleas Court.

One of my strengths is knowing my weaknesses. In a legislation -- in a litigation of this magnitude it's best to defer to skilled attorneys who litigate on a daily basis. And in that regard, I'd like to introduce my co-counsel, who can continue. Thank you.

MR. INGRAM: Good evening. You know, as we heard earlier with the swearing in of the new members of the Board this year, this Board is responsible for discharging its duty to apply the zoning code as it's written to the evidence in the record concerning this conditional use application that is before you.

2.0

2.2

As you will see, the scope of your review tonight is quite narrow. And it is easily satisfied here.

The subject property is comprised of approximately 4.19 acres that is bordered by Morse Road to the north and Johnstown Road to the south.

Due to its unique orientation, size, and location between both Morse Road and Johnstown Road, the property has been unsuccessfully marketed for development for more than eight years and, as you can see from the aerial, remains vacant today.

The property is zoned, as we've heard, within the neighborhood commercial district. It is surrounded by a wide array of businesses, as they do are in the neighborhood commercial districts.

And for purposes of the record, that includes the High Bank Distillery, Donatos Pizza,
Beauty Barn Salons, 1837 Wine & Spirits Emporium, The

Barn at Rocky Fork, the Goddard School of Gahanna, as well as the Growing Solutions Garden Center.

2.0

2.2

2.5

And I just want to note, as we articulated in our Notice of Appeal to this Board, a conditional use as the Ohio Supreme Court has put it is "a species of administrative permission which allows a property owner to put his property to a use which the regulations expressly permit under conditions specified in the zoning regulations."

Okay. So what are Gahanna's conditions? They're articulated right here. This is a screenshot straight from your code in which the Planning Commission shall approve an application for conditional use if four conditions are met.

And there's no discretion here. If the four conditions are met this application has to be approved. And so let's look at each of the conditions in turn.

First of all, the proposed use is a conditional use of the neighborhood commercial zoning district. Gasoline service stations, eating places, and drive-through facilities are expressly provided in your zoning code as an acceptable and permitted conditional use.

Likewise, there is no dispute here that

the development standards are met. We have here the City's Professional Department of Planning Staff Report. And as the staff has pointed out for this Board's consideration that each and every one of the applicable development standards are satisfied.

2.0

2.2

2.5

And I want to point out that this application that's here tonight in January was filed in early June of last year.

So this has been the product of significant work, diligent work on behalf of this applicant, the City and its staff, and numerous design professionals and engineers.

And as the City's Professional

Department Planning Staff Report correctly concludes,
the development is designed to exceed the applicable
setback requirements.

The landscaping plan exceeds what is required by code. For example, the code requires 37 anterior trees for this development, yet this Sheetz will have 86 new trees added to this property.

Likewise, the lighting meets all code requirements and will be shielded from abutting property owners. Now that's not our word. That's the City staff's own review, own admission.

And finally, all of the applicable

design review criteria have been met by the -- by these development plans that are before the City.

Okay. So that takes care of the first condition.

2.0

2.2

2.5

The second condition. This proposed use complies with the Land Use Plan. Here again you don't have to take our word for it. The City's professional staff -- they reviewed it. And they concluded it's fairly straightforward.

The Land Use Plan recognizes that this site's unique location along two major arterial roadways makes it well-suited for community commercial development.

The Land Use prescribes that property be developed with medium to large-scale commercial uses that serve the larger community or the regional area. That's a gas station.

And in fact this gas station is less intense than what the Land Use Plan would even include in its prescription for this property.

So that takes us to the third condition. And here there is absolutely no direct evidence of an undesirable effect on the surrounding area. A gasoline service station that serves food will only benefit the surrounding area and the motoring public.

Now we've heard unsubstantiated, unfounded traffic concerns. And frankly, as a matter of Ohio zoning law, that is --

2.0

2.2

THE CHAIRMAN: Excuse me just a minute. I appreciate people have opinions this evening. But counsel representing the appellant, you know, made it -- was very nice in not interrupting anybody or allowing those to speak.

And I guess I'm going to ask that members of the audience give them the same courtesy. Sorry to interrupt. Go ahead.

MR. INGRAM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Traffic concerns are a common argument that we see in these kinds of proceedings. But the reality is as a matter of law they are irrelevant in this case.

And that's because any problem of additional traffic, which is not even present here, must be secondary to the rights of the property owner to have the use of his property in a manner that is consistent with its location. And this is coming from the Ohio Court of Appeals.

And what that means is because this property is zoned neighborhood commercial, and because your zoning code permits gas stations, food locations within this zoning code, that right -- the right to

1 | put the property to that use -- is permitted.

2.0

2.2

2.5

And you can't elevate or escalate traffic concerns -- general traffic concerns which, oh, by the way as we've heard, are part of the existing conditions -- not related specifically to this development. You cannot put those above this property owner's right to use this property.

And I would note that the case that we are quoting from here was a Speedway case where these exact arguments were raised and were rejected by the Court of Appeals with respect to a Speedway.

Next, we've heard concerns about lighting. And quite frankly, those too are unfounded because the lighting plan that this City's professional staff has reviewed and concluded that the lighting is designed in a way which meets all code requirements and will be shielded from above -- the abutting properties.

And so before you is simply one of the lighting plans that are part of this application that is in the record.

And you can see that the illumination from the lights on this site will not exceed one footcandle measured at the property line, which meets the minimum code requirements. So again, pursuant to

the standards in the City zoning code, lighting is not an issue.

2.0

2.2

2.5

And we've also heard speculation that a gasoline service station could lead potential -- to potential future crime. Well, quite frankly, that's blatant discrimination. That's discriminatory.

That's just unfounded speculations and fears.

There's no evidence at all that this specific location in this particular development has any inherent attachment to crime. You can't single out a use.

And as, again, Court of Appeals has held with the same exact kind of fears and speculation about what could happen -- that is not -- anecdotal speculation is not competent or credible evidence.

And this Board must base its decision on competent, credible evidence.

So that leads us to the fourth condition -- fourth and final condition, which is simply that the -- that these proposed uses are in keeping with the existing land use character and physical development potential of the area.

Well, ladies and gentleman of the Board, the proposed uses are certainly in keeping with the land use character of the neighboring properties.

There's a vast array of businesses surrounding this site, which is zoned neighborhood commercial.

2.0

2.2

2.5

And it's consistent. This development, these uses are obviously consistent with that because they're prescribed in your zoning code.

Additionally, the development of vacant, unproductive land will only improve the area's physical development potential because you're taking, again, an unproductive eyesore and making it into a resource and an amenity for the community.

So the four conditions that are set forth in the zoning code are plainly met. To the extent there's any ambiguity whatsoever in the zoning code, as a matter of well-settled zoning law in our state the ambiguity must be construed in favor of the applicant in the applicant's use of the land.

Now we were very concerned with the Planning Commission's actions because, quite frankly, this is a very standard application of the zoning code. And you can review the staff report, which is part of the record, to show that the criteria for these four conditions is easily met.

And the fact that we've worked several months -- or the applicant worked several months to design a development that meets and exceeds each and

every of the criteria set forth in the zoning code -the City's professional staff agreed that the zoning
code requirements were met. The four conditions were
met.

2.0

2.2

Yet despite these four conditions this application was denied simply because it's going to be a Sheetz gas station. There's no legitimate basis under law to deny this conditional use.

The arbitrary denial for other reasons violates the applicant's and the landowner's rights to due process and equal treatment under the law, which these constitutional violations expose the City and its official -- officers to unnecessary liability to delay damages and attorney fees under 42 USC 1983 and 1988.

Ladies and gentlemen, your duty as a Board is to apply the zoning code as it is written against the direct competence of evidence.

While some may prefer that this use be anywhere but in their backyard, the reality is that this use is entirely legitimate for this property under its zoning.

This Board must therefore reverse the Planning Commission's decision and approve this conditional use application.

Page 5	1
--------	---

			And Mr.	. Chai	irman	, thei	ce we	ere s	everal	
giver	n that	ther	e were	sevei	ral co	oncerr	ns ai	nd sta	atemen	.ts
made	about	the	traffic	c, at	this	time	we n	would	call	
Drew	Laurer	nt.								

THE CHAIRMAN: Again, please state your name and address and relationship to the project. And you were sworn in earlier this evening?

MR. LAURENT: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

MR. LAURENT: Drew Laurent with Carpenter Marty Transportation, transportation planner for about nine years. And I'm working on behalf of the client.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. INGRAM:

2.0

2.2

2.5

- Q And, Mr. Laurent, can you please describe your background and qualifications for the record?
- A Yes. I am a certified transportation planner working with Carpenter Marty Transportation.

 I have performed over 500 traffic impact studies in my career. It's probably even closer to a thousand now.

 But I've lost track.
- Q Okay. So you've performed -- and did you perform a traffic impact study for this proposed gasoline station?

	Page 52
1	A Yes.
2	Q You prepared a written report?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Did you provide that written report to the
5	City of Gahanna's Engineering Office?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And they approved your report; correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q All right. You previously testified to the
10	Planning Commission about the recommendations from
11	your report?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay. So before I get into your report can
14	you explain to the members of the Board what pass-by
15	traffic is?
16	A Yes. So all developments generate two
17	different types of traffic. It's pass-by and non-
18	pass-by. Pass-by traffic is generally folks who are
19	traveling along the roadway, stop in at a development,
20	and then exit and continue on their way. So it's
21	absorbing existing traffic on the roadway.
22	Non-pass-by traffic would be the opposite
23	where it's a destination. You're traveling
24	specifically to that location and then returning to
25	where you came from So that's the difference between

those.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q Okay. So fair to summarize that pass-by traffic is essentially the existing motorists would be using that road?

A Correct.

Q Now reviewing your testimony before the Planning Commission is it fair to say that a gasoline station does not generate much new additional traffic?

A Correct.

Q Okay. And why is that?

A At least the data shows that the majority -- clear majority -- of gasoline station traffic is pass-by traffic. So you know, the very vast majority of the traffic that would be using the Sheetz development are folks who are already driving on Morse and Johnstown Roads.

Q So is it fair to say it's more of a matter of convenience when you're driving down the route you're taking and you see a gas station and you need gas you stop? Or if you're hungry you get a bite to eat?

A Yes.

Q Okay. You know, based on the study you performed, sir, what improvements are recommended as a result of the development for this particular site?

A So there are two improvements that were recommended and supported by the City -- a left turn lane extension for the access point on Johnstown Road.

2.0

2.2

2.5

There's an existing two-way left turn lane there that services other drives for the other properties along the corridor. That would need to be extended a little bit further south for the Sheetz access point.

And then the other improvement is a signalization of the Morse Road and Albany Chase Drive intersection just west of the Sheetz development.

Q Okay. So for purposes of the record we have here on the screen an aerial of the site. You said that first there would be a left turn lane extension. Can you point out to the Board where you're referring to and why you're referring to it as an extension?

A Yeah. It's kind of hard to tell on this image. But if you're heading northeast bound on US-62, Johnstown Road, when you get to a certain point --it looks like about where the eastern parcel edge of the Sheetz development is -- it widens to allow a two-way left turn lane in the middle between the southbound and northbound traffic.

That will need to be extended further south so that left-turn ingress can be provided to the

Sheetz without impeding through traffic on Johnstown Road.

- Q Okay. And why is the extension necessary?
- A Because the development traffic warrants a left turn lane based on ODOT standards, which are supported by Columbus and Gahanna.
- Q Okay. And this site's zoned commercial. Would any commercial use that's developed on this vacant site require a similar extension?
 - A In my opinion, yes.

2.0

2.2

- Q Okay. And regarding the traffic signal -- can you point on here to the Board -- for the Board's attention where that would be located?
- A Yes. So you can see that there's a frontage road that connects to the proposed site in front of the Goddard School. That main access there to the front -- the north leg is called Albany Chase Drive I think it is.

And that is where the signal would be placed where, you know, that would be a shared access between all of these developments -- the Goddard School, the Sheetz, and then the development just west of Goddard.

Q So fair to say with this new signal that will provide multiple properties along that access road with safer lefthand turns onto Morse Road? Is

-		
1 1	that	correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

- A That's correct.
- Q Mr. Laurent, based on your experience as a professional transportation planner are there any safety concerns with installing a traffic light at the Albany Chase Road and Morse Road intersection?
- A No. This will provide safer egress for folks wanting to turn left onto Morse Road at that intersection as well as provide some speed control on Morse Road for those occasional red lights.
- Q And sir, based on your experience as a professional transportation planner do you have any traffic safety concerns with this proposed development?
- A No. Given the growth of this region, you know, in the surrounding area everybody knows this area's continuing to grow. The commercial zoning and the site's location -- I think these improvements would be needed for any commercial development in this location.
- $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ INGRAM: Thank you. We have no further questions at this time.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions at this point from the Board for Counsel?
- MR. BRYSON: There have been in the --

- before the Planning Commission there was some concern raised about an access road being added. It doesn't look to me like in this plan there would be an added access road.
- Am I interpreting that map and the testimony correctly that there's not a plan for an additional access road here?
- MR. INGRAM: Mr. Bryson, that is correct. It would just basically connect to the existing access road that is already servicing the other property owners to the west.
- MR. BRYSON: That kind of L -- the backward L shape we see there?
- MR. INGRAM: Exactly.
- MR. BRYSON: That was the only question
- 16 I have at this time. Thank you.
- MR. BECKMANN: I have a question to
- 18 | validate -- and I believe I saw this in the report.
- 19 But the traffic improvements as proposed -- the
- 20 alterations to the existing roadways -- whose
- 21 responsibility is that?

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Is that to be paid for by the
- 23 construction contract for Sheetz or is that falling on
- a shared responsibility with the City? Who's paying
- 25 | for the improvements?

MR. SHANNON: That would be the -- at the expense of the applicant, sir.

2.0

2.2

THE CHAIRMAN: And is that an absolute condition of the property being approved as a Sheetz that those will go through?

There seem to be, as Mr. Laurent was talking, that these are, you know, would be helpful or very good ideas to do or another -- if there was another business in that location it would be suggested.

So are those two things absolutely written into the agreement if the Sheetz comes to this location?

MR. INGRAM: Yeah. So as was discussed in the Planning Commission hearing, and you can read that in the minutes, I think it was the City Planner or one of the city officials instructed the Planning Commission members that these improvements are separate and apart from the decision to approve the conditional use.

But what you need to know is once the development -- this development plan is approved it has to go through Engineering. And if the Engineering requires it, it has to be built before the Sheetz or this development can open and operate if that makes

```
1 sense.
```

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

MR. JENSEN: I've got a couple of questions. Is this a typical type of location that Sheetz would be -- I mean, you know, the congestion and the way that other properties are around there -- the Sheetz that I've seen in many other areas are, you know, wide open, highly visible, more traffic.

To me, yes, there's traffic there but not the traffic that you see at some of the other typical locations.

In a business of Sheetz's level seems to me there'd be some sort of market studies or sales -- are we going to -- is this going to pay for itself or whatever? Was there anything done on -- along those lines?

THE REPORTER: Can I start a new file if we're going to introduce a new -- sorry.

THE CHAIRMAN: Hold that thought.

19 Thank you.

MR. SHANNON: Okay.

THE REPORTER: We're going to go off

22 the record at 7:51 p.m.

23 (Off the record.)

24 THE REPORTER: We are back on the

25 record at 7:52 p.m.

THE CHAIRMAN: Excuse me one minute.

I'm going to ask Mr. Jensen just to repeat the

3 question.

you.

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

4 MR. INGRAM: Sure.

THE CHAIRMAN: And then you can introduce yourself and answer the question. Thank

MR. HENSLEY: Okay.

MR. JENSEN: This is kind of a two-part question, I guess. But is this a typical location that Sheetz would want to locate themselves given the fact about where the location is -- that it's kind of tight in there, congested with other properties? It seems to me that a lot of the Sheetz that I've seen are in wide open, highly visible areas.

And the second part of that is in most businesses there's some sort of study on sales volume and capacity and what have you. Was there anything like that done that would warrant the interest in having the location there?

MR. HENSLEY: Sure. Errin Hensley, 630 Morrison Road, Suite 150, Gahanna, Ohio. Second question, yes. We study every site. If we don't feel it warrants the sales, we think it will warrant then we wouldn't be in contract with the site. Your first

Page 61 1 question --MR. JENSEN: Is this a typical type --MR. HENSLEY: -- is a typical site --3 MR. JENSEN: -- of location --4 5 MR. HENSLEY: Yes. MR. JENSEN: -- given the other 6 7 locations that I've seen up in New Albany or around -not Stelzer but Stygler. I mean, wide open, highly 8 9 visible, higher traffic area whereas this is --10 MR. HENSLEY: I'll be honest, I would 11 love to be sitting on the corner. But it's not 12 available. And you know, this is a corridor. We want 13 to be in this market. And it was an available site that was zoned for our use. 14 15 But yeah. We study every site pretty 16 good because it's a -- you know, I'll mention it's 17 about a \$7 million investment at each site. So yeah; 18 we have to do our homework or, yeah, Mr. Sheetz 19 wouldn't be happy. Does that answer? 2.0 MR. JENSEN: Yes; it does. 21 MR. HENSLEY: All right. 2.2 MR. BECKMANN: I have another question. 23 I'm not exactly sure who answers this. But to what 24 degree through the Engineering Plan Review has this 25 been taken into account? Said another way, has the

1	City staff reviewed this application for construction
2	enough that we know that they're going to agree with
3	the traffic reconfigurations without significant
4	alteration or reduction in what's being suggested?
5	MR. BRUCKELMEYER: Good evening. My
6	name is David Bruckelmeyer, address 630 Morrison Road,
7	Suite 150, Gahanna, Ohio 43230.
8	Yes; we have done a significant amount
9	of engineering with our consultants We have

submitted a full TIS, you know, agreeing with the traffic situations there.

engineering just to bring this before the Planning Commission. So we've done everything from -everything but a grading plan. We've done site plans, lighting, landscaping, stormwater, that type of thing.

And we've done significant amount of

MR. BECKMANN: And the City staff has reviewed it on a preliminary basis?

MR. BRUCKELMEYER: On a preliminary basis. Nothing in final engineer. That --

> MR. BECKMANN: So that's --

MR. BRUCKELMEYER: -- won't happen until approval.

24 MR. BECKMANN: Understood. So it still could be --

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

2.5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

1 MR. BRUCKELMEYER: So we wouldn't be in 2

a --

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. BECKMANN: -- subject to change down the road?

MR. BRUCKELMEYER: It would be subject to some engineering changes; yes. But in general, we've submitted enough with the site plan. The layout is probably not going to change much. It may have some slight tweaks. But really that would be final engineering details.

MR. INGRAM: And, Mr. Beckmann, if I could, you know, from my experience as a zoning lawyer it is highly unusual that we're talking about final engineered plans when -- at the initial zoning decision because the application that's before you is merely the approval of a permitted conditional use.

Typically you would approve this use and then we would go meet with our engineers and we would create these plans.

And all these questions would be dealt with many months later. But you know, thankfully with the diligence and thoroughness of the City staff we've already done that. And these concerns have been worked through in the many months. And that's why this is before you.

But that's why, you know, I noted for your attention, you know, traffic and these kinds of considerations are irrelevant to this initial zoning question. So we're kind of getting the cart ahead of the horse here.

2.0

2.2

MR. BECKMANN: I understand. I was just curious as to what level of review it's been through with the City if any. So thank you.

MR. BRUCKELMEYER: Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: I'm going to ask a question. And with no deference to the excellent work by City staff Sheetz has worked with.

But something that I saw and read and saw on the video was a question by Planning Commission member Mr. Shapaka from the November 2nd meeting.

And he said, "Was there ever a workshop on this project?" And again, I'm paraphrasing. There was some more question.

But I guess my question to you all is - and I'm not suggesting that somebody was thinking
there was going to be problems or issues or it was
going to go through perfectly or whatever.

But was there consideration and/or why was not this project not run through workshops with Planning Commission before it eventually came up to

1 | their vote and meeting on November the 2nd?

2.0

2.2

MR. SHANNON: Mr. Chairman, I think I can address that. With the amount of due diligence that was done upfront on this project -- I have utilized the workshop on many occasions here in your jurisdiction.

But we didn't think it was really necessary given the amount of front-end loading that Sheetz does on all their projects.

For example, they do a traffic impact study on every site whether or not one's even required for example. They do the access study whether one's required.

So based on their experience they anticipate these questions and try to answer them before they're asked, as is evidenced by the overwhelming staff support of approval.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I have another question, but I'll defer to anybody else. Yes?

MR. BRYSON: One other one. It seemed to me as we were looking at this appeal that if we were to grant the appeal that there would need to be additional determinations by the Planning Commission anyway on the final development plan, design review application, and the variance request because they

- 1 | didn't get to those. Is that correct?
- MR. INGRAM: Technically that's
- 3 correct.
- 4 MR. BRYSON: Thank you.
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: My last question is this
- 6 is not New Albany. This is Gahanna. But New Albany,
- 7 | if you look at the development up at New Albany Road
- 8 and Fodor Road -- and we don't need to bring the
- 9 | pictures up. I'll just explain where I'm going here.
- 10 As far as design of the buildings up
- 11 | there there's a Burger King and a Wendy's, Bob Evans,
- 12 | Subway. I'm not necessarily comparing a Sheetz to
- 13 that.
- 14 But I'm just saying there are retail
- 15 | locations like that where if you looked at those
- 16 | buildings you wouldn't know, "That's a Subway. That's
- 17 | a Burger King. That's a Wendy's."
- So they had some design -- whether
- 19 required by New Albany, which it may have been, or
- 20 because there was a penchant to maybe being a little
- 21 more harmonious, as you used that word, with the
- 22 surroundings.
- 23 Does Sheetz ever do that? I've been on
- 24 your website and looked at pictures. And I'm not
- 25 | saying I saw every one. But I tried to see, you know,

Sheetz locations in the various states and didn't see that.

2.0

2.2

2.5

But I guess my question is: Is that something that Sheetz ever considers is changing the - not necessarily the floorplan inside or any of the finishes thereof or functions but actually the exterior aesthetic?

MR. HENSLEY: During the due diligence of this project, we did go through the Mako Design Review Committee. And that included everything from architectural site plan review, landscaping, et cetera.

We are making some changes to our architectural. We're doing some specialty architecture here, some nontypical brick. I'm trying to remember back. But we're doing some nontypical things for our prototype.

So we have some different colorings on some of the awnings, some things like that to make it blend in a little more as approved by the Mako Design Review Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So we're talking more in color rather than in exterior, facade, architectural design?

MR. HENSLEY: So the articulation of

the building's not going to change -- the way it steps
in and bumps out.

2.0

2.2

THE CHAIRMAN: That's my question.

MR. HENSLEY: Yeah. So we're not going to do anything like that. I believe we agreed to raise the parapet, make it a little taller, screen it. Don't quote me on that because it has been a little bit since I've seen the elevation.

But we did agree to do some of those architectural changes to make it a little bit more appealing and match in with the surrounding area.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

MR. SHANNON: Mr. Chairman, if I could add to that. The reason they're remarkably similar is obviously the one in New Albany involves the New Albany Company.

And this tract is also owned by the New Albany Company. So they had to go through the same rigorous review process before they even were authorized to file an application.

THE CHAIRMAN: I understand that. And I understand, right, that the New Albany Company still owns the property. And of course, it wouldn't be purchased until everything went through. Makes sense. Very normal.

But I probably would not agree that the extent of what I'm hearing and the extent of what I'm seeing as a design professional myself in what was done in the New Albany area -- that goes totally against what is a standard Burger King both in lines of the building, colors, materials, heights, and all.

2.0

2.2

2.5

It doesn't appear to me from what I've heard that to that extent is what was considered or the concessions that were made. Just stating the fact and not asking for anything beyond that.

MR. SHANNON: Okay. I didn't know if it was universally known that they owned both tracts. And that's why they went through a similar process. I didn't get the gist of what you were getting at. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Any other questions from the Board?

MR. BRYSON: There was the reference there to the elevation. In the record we have in the Department of Plannings' recommendations there's a west elevation and a north elevation.

Were those the elevation pictures you were referring to? Would they be the same ones that you just haven't seen in a while?

MR. HENSLEY: Yes. They should be.

- There should be two additional elevations somewhere in the record showing the other sides of the building.
- MR. BRYSON: So it's the same that we have in the record?
- 5 MR. HENSLEY: Yes. Correct.

going to ask one more time. The items that were on
the November 2nd Planning Commission that -- the
question was even asked at the end of the meeting -should we go further with voting on the other, you

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Just for me I'm

- 11 know, I think it was signage and landscaping,
 12 development plan, whatnot -- how will those, no matter
- what we decide on this evening, how and when will
- 14 those be voted upon and approved or discussion go to
- 15 that? When will that happen?

6

- MR. MULARSKI: What will happen is if
- 17 you do not uphold the appeal then they will not be
- 18 voted on. If you do uphold the appeal, then Mr.
- 19 Blackford has said that they're on hold.
- They're still at the Planning
- 21 | Commission Zone. Just be brought back up and -- in
- 22 | front of the Planning Commission.
- 23 So just you don't have to remand this
- 24 for that to happen. They are still in front of the
- 25 | Planning Commission.

If you look at the record from Planning Commission, Mr. Blackford said that the criteria for the conditional use have been met.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

He did have some issues on behalf of the City staff as far as the variances for the signs and the dumpster locations. Those issues were never resolved. But we are here tonight for the conditional use application.

I represent the Gahanna Planning

Commission. I'm here to argue that their decision on

November 2nd was just and was accurate.

The Planning Commission, like I said, hears applications. It is not an adversarial board. We hear the application. They put forth their case for the conditional use. And Planning Commission considered the relevant factors.

The appellant has argued here tonight that the Planning Commission shall approve the conditional use if the four conditions are met.

Nobody's contesting that.

The question is: Were the four conditions met? The Planning Commission found that they were not. The presentation you heard went through the four conditions. I'm not even going to deal with the first two because I believe they are

met.

2.0

2.2

2.5

We're dealing with the third condition that there be no undesirable effects and the fourth condition that it keeps with the existing land use character and then the physical development potential of the area.

The appellant argues that anything that has been heard about undesirable effects is just speculation. I don't believe that's true. It is common knowledge and not speculation that this is a largely residential part of the city.

The adjoining parcels directly abutting it are commercial. But I think you have to take note that none of those existing commercial businesses in the area operate on a 24-hour basis.

The Goddard School closes at, like,
6:00 p.m. The two restaurants that are nearby close I
believe at 9:00 p.m. or take their last reservation at
9:00 p.m. The Donatos Pizza shop that's right next
store closes at 10:00 p.m. There are no 24-hour
businesses in the area.

I think it's also common knowledge and not speculation that none of those businesses there have a 20-foot-high canopy with lights. That is a distinction between this business and the existing

1 businesses in the area.

2.0

2.2

The job of determining whether those factors constitute undesirable effects falls initially on the Planning Commission and now on you. You are considering the same issue -- whether there would be undesirable effects from this development.

The determination of whether there's undesirable effects is not solely on Michael Blackford the Director of Planning. He sets forth that the staff has considered this plan and believes that the criteria are met.

But the ultimate issue is will there be undesirable effects? The appellant's saying there aren't going to be does not make it true.

The fourth issue is whether it keeps with the existing land use character. Again, there are commercial properties all the way around this.

It's zoned commercial and no zoning changes necessary.

It's residential -- what is the -- residential commercial or neighborhood commercial.

That means it's in a neighborhood and it's meant to serve the neighborhood.

We're not contesting that a gas station will serve the residents in the area. But when you look at the existing land use character the businesses

-- I think one of the neighbors mentioned, you know, it's like a barn, rustic type look on the adjoining properties. It's not a gas station with 20-foot-high canopies with lights underneath.

2.0

2.2

So when you look at whether it's -- you know, it's up to this Board to consider whether it keeps with the existing land use character.

This is the last undeveloped parcel.

And the current land use character is everything pretty much but a 24-hour gas station with a tall canopy. So how can you say that this keeps with the character when it's the only one that would have that? How can it be consistent?

The minutes of the November 2nd
Planning Commission meeting you've all had to review.
The Planning Commission honed in on those third and
fourth criteria as I have tonight.

Mr. Shapaka said he voted "no" because a 24/7 business doesn't belong in a residential area. Mr. Suriano voted "no" because he doesn't feel a gas station was compatible with the existing development in the area, would result in significant undesirable effects.

He also was concerned with ingress and egress so close to the traffic circle. That and a

canopy with lighting would be different from everything in the area.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

23

24

25

Mr. Tamarkin indicated he's very

familiar with the area because he lives nearby and

that a 24-hour business with neon signs and round the

clock traffic is different from everything in the

area. Mr. Wester agreed the 24-hour operation doesn't

occur in a residential area.

The Planning Commission considered the correct criteria. And they came to their conclusion that this was not fitting. That is our argument.

They didn't consider anything inappropriate. They just considered what the factors are, and they came to the conclusion and voted no. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the Board for Mr. Roth?

MR. JENSEN: So to confirm though, Mr. Blackford did recommend that the -- that it should be approved?

21 MR. ROTH: The staff report was in 22 favor; yes.

MR. JENSEN: So he was saying it met the criteria? There was some questions, as you're saying, items three and four. But the City staff, not

Page 77
just it was several individuals within the City
that approved that thought that it would be
appropriate?
MR. ROTH: Every plan that comes into
the City there is a panel that reviews it. Someone
from Engineering, someone from Water Resources,
someone from Parks and Recreation, the Township Fire
Department, you know, weighs in on it.
At the stage where they get it, they
all meet. They make their comments on it. It goes
back to the applicant. The applicant can make plans -
- plan changes. All of that was done between June and
November.
And what came forth November 2nd, Mr.
Blackford said that the City staff and everybody that
reviewed it said the conditions had been met.
MR. JENSEN: So these are all

2.3

MR. JENSEN: So these are all professional City people that are -- that work on this year-round? That's their only duty and job?

MR. ROTH: They were all doing their

MR. ROTH: They were all doing their jobs within the City when they review it; yes.

MR. JENSEN: That's all I have right now.

MR. BRYSON: I have a couple questions.

There is an objection raised by the appellants to the

consideration of any lay opinion that's been raised here. Do the appellees have any position on that objection?

2.0

MR. ROTH: I'm familiar with the case law they cited. I believe, you know, the Board can hear any credible evidence. People that live nearby can say, "I see cars speeding through there all the time." That is evidence.

The traffic engineer said he studied the, you know, the situation there. There were recommendations based on federal criteria and state criteria and that they're complying with all of that.

So there we don't have anybody to contest their professional engineer saying that we took into account all the traffic measurements, all the warrants that are out there, and this fits. We don't have anybody to counter that if that's your question.

But I think the panel can hear from a neighbor who says, you know, "I've seen wrecks. I've seen car parts laying in the street. I've seen people going 60 miles an hour." That is evidence. It's not professional evidence.

MR. BRYSON: Thank you. That answered -- I have lost my other question. It may

Page 79 1 come up as the rest of you have anything. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any other 3 questions? 4 MR. JENSEN: I have another question. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead, Mr. Jensen. 6 MR. JENSEN: Maybe it's aimed to 7 Mularski. But if we as the Board here decide against the appellant -- I think I picked it up from Mr. 8 Shannon earlier that they still can appeal to a higher 10 court, higher authority. Is that correct? 11 MR. MULARSKI: Yes. There is a 12 provision that an appeal from here can go to the Court 13 of Common Pleas. 14 MR. JENSEN: So no matter what we would 15 be saying it could still be moved to a higher level? 16 MR. MULARSKI: That is correct. 17 would not vote based upon that consideration. You 18 should vote based upon what you think --19 MR. JENSEN: Well, I know. But --MR. MULARSKI: -- is correct. 2.0 21 yeah. 2.2 MR. JENSEN: -- just good information. That actually helped me. 23 MR. BRYSON: 24 Thank you. That reminded me of my other question. I 25 know the standard suggested by the appellants is that

this is -- and Mr. Mularski said that this is truly a de novo, that our decision will be completely independent from that of the Planning Commission.

2.0

2.2

Is there anything that would constrain that decision-making based on what the Planning Commission decided below?

MR. ROTH: My job is to argue that they did their job correctly. And I think they did. This appeal the burden of proof is on the appellant. They have a preponderance of evidence standard that they have to meet.

MR. BRYSON: Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Anything else from the Board? As I said earlier, and we can still have a few more questions if something comes up, at this point we will have five minutes for the appellant in rebuttal and then five minutes for the appellee.

And then we'll move forward from there. So with that, I will turn it over to Mr. Shannon and Mr. Ingram.

MR. INGRAM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And you know, as we just heard, the appellee is only taking issue with the third and fourth condition.

And with respect to the third condition concerning any undesirable effects I listened for and

heard no actual evidence of any specific undesirable effect.

Now all you hear of are, you know, the fact that there's going to be 24/7 operations. Now that's conflating the land use -- the question that's before this Board -- whether or not a gas station that serves food that's conditionally permitted is permissible for this -- is permitted for this site with the specific operations -- with its operations.

And when you're talking about these operational questions that's why you can't really come up with any direct evidence because it hasn't opened yet. This is a question for another day.

There are no inherent dangers that arise from a business being in operation at night. If anything, the access to fuel and food would be a significant benefit to someone who happens to be out of gas or happened to work late at night after the restaurants nearby have closed.

Essentially what the 24/7 operational concern is doing is just recasting the concerns we've heard about either traffic, lighting, or speculation of some future safety or crime element. But all those fears are entirely unfounded.

With respect to the lighting, there is

2.0

2.2

no question as a matter of your zoning call -- code this lighting plan follows all of the requirements.

2.0

2.2

Next, traffic. There is only one witness who's competent to provide evidence to this Board concerning traffic. And you heard from Mr. Laurent the traffic expert. He provided you direct expert evidence.

Everything else you're hearing about these unsubstantiated concerns are just that -- lay opinions. You're not allowed to consider those lay opinions.

And so there is no competent evidence concerning any actual undesirable effects of a gasoline station or one that happens to also serve food to anyone.

And then finally with respect to the fourth condition and the overall character -- the existing land use character -- you know, there's nothing about the overall design, the plans of this, that can be said to be contrary to the character because, first of all, the design review comes next after this.

But secondly, the plans that are presently sitting at the Planning Commission have been reviewed against the design review code itself. And

it meets all the requirements.

2.0

2.2

So for this Board to conclude that the site, which has been designed and compiles with the current code, the design review code, to then take the position that the appellee is urging would be contrary to its own code.

And so for those reasons there's just no legitimate basis to deny the approval of the conditional use application.

And I think what really -- what some of the questions tonight have been geared towards come later in this process when the final development plan and site review and variances are considered. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Mr. Roth?

MR. ROTH: I would just reiterate the burden of proof in this matter is on the appellant. I don't have to prove anything. Whether there are undesirable effects or not -- it's not up to me.

I don't even live in Gahanna. You live in Gahanna. So I don't have to call a witness to say whether there's undesirable effects.

That's up to this panel to decide if a 24/7 gas station at this location would have undesirable effects. Planning Commission thought

1 | there would be. That's all I can say. Thank you.

2.0

2.2

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Any other questions from members of the Board at this time?

Okay. Mr. Mularski, so where we go from here, we -- our next step would be to make a motion.

However, per the BZBA Rules of
Procedure the possible dispositions to how that motion
is made and to what happens next we -- the Rules of
Procedure allows us to find in favor of an appellee or
appellant, to find in favor and amend with
modifications, or third, to remand with instructions.

My belief was back to Planning

Commission. The official words that we've been given
is the City official, employee, or body for further

consideration or action.

So I want to make sure before I ask my fellow board members to make a motion is that if that third choice is of consideration.

And I'm not certainly saying that that's the way I will be or pushing that on anybody up here. But that does -- that will have an effect on how the motion is made. Is that correct?

MR. MULARSKI: Yes. You can make a motion to find in favor of the appellant. You can make a motion to find in favor of the appellee.

You can make a motion to find in favor of either one with modifications. And I find it a little difficult -- I'm not exactly sure how you would do that in this case as to what modifications you would make.

2.0

2.2

But you can do the third one, which is remand it back to the Planning Commission with certain instructions that you feel weren't dealt with or resolved. If you think that they dealt with all the issues, probably should -- remanding is not the issue.

You should just vote whether or not you believe the appellant has presented a case or whether or not the appellee should prevail. Does that answer the question?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes; it does.

MR. MULARSKI: Okay.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are we allowed as a Board -- because I'm going to call for a motion and there will be somebody that is the first one to speak could frame the motion in any of those three directions.

Is this group allowed to have any nonpublic discussion -- and I'm sure I know the answer to that -- prior to the motion being made as if one board member -- a motion could be made and another

board member is thinking, "I would be good. But I want the motion made for one of the other three choices"?

2.2

2.4

MR. MULARSKI: Can't have a private discussion on that. But one board member makes a motion. And another board member wants to amend that motion -- they can move to amend that motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.

MR. INGRAM: And, Mr. Chairman, before you proceed, just for purposes of the record, the appellant moves the presentation that we made tonight into the record.

THE CHAIRMAN: So noted.

MR. INGRAM: Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So our next step would be to make that motion. And I know I just asked Mr. Mularski a couple of questions.

Are there any of the other board members that need any kind of clarification or have a question? Again, not back to the appellee or appellant but more back to a procedural question that you might need to ask Mr. Mularski about.

MR. BRYSON: It isn't clear to me as I sit here whether the motions to admit both the record and the presentation into evidence were granted. The

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

	Page 88
1	MR. VANMETER: Jensen?
2	MR. JENSEN: Yes.
3	MR. VANMETER: Beckmann?
4	MR. BECKMANN: Yes.
5	(Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2 were received
6	into evidence.)
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Well, back to
8	where we were just a second ago. We are now ready to
9	move forward with a motion.
10	And again, any help needed by Mr.
11	Mularski not on the opinionated side of how you're
12	making the motion but just to make sure that we're
13	making it correctly, please be sure to ask. Do I have
14	a motion for this evening's hearing?
15	MR. BRYSON: Hate to be the one to talk
16	all the time but it doesn't look like anybody's going
17	to beat me to it. I move for a finding in favor of
18	the appellant.
19	MR. JENSEN: I
20	THE CHAIRMAN: Do I have a second?
21	MR. JENSEN: I second.
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Any discussion? And I
23	think you've all seen that this is the point of the
24	meeting that if we are allowed some additional
25	comments by any or all members of the Board prior to

the final roll call. So with that, I will just go
from left to right and start with Ms. Stefanov. If
you have any questions --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. STEFANOV: Oh --

THE CHAIRMAN: -- or any comment --

MS. STEFANOV: No --

THE CHAIRMAN: -- or discussion point.

MS. STEFANOV: No comments.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Mr. Jensen?

MR. JENSEN: Well, I think I've -- you

know, this to me is a very 50-50 kind of thing because

I do -- as it was already stated by the appellee, Mr.

Roth, that this situation does meet the first two

standards -- the zoning standard and the plans.

The question is the undesirable effects of the existing land use. That kind of question is debatable, opinionated, up in the air, to be determined, to be proved. Who knows what it will be like?

But that makes it a question -- but that's why I asked the question earlier about no matter how we decide to go it seems that the deep pockets of the appellant would still pursue further up the ladder even if we do decide against it. So I'm most likely -- I will be voting in favor of the

appellant.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Beckmann?

MR. BECKMANN: I'll start out by

saying, you know, I'm a lifetime resident of this area as well. I grew up in Blacklick. I've been around

this area forever.

I have my own mixed feelings about whether or not the business being proposed is the perfect business for the area.

But given the points that we're asked to consider here and to take the opinion out of the consideration and only consider the facts, you know, a lot of the things that I've heard tonight in opposition to the appeal have to do with disdain about traffic patterns on Morse Road, have to do with, you know, questioning whether or not the lighting is correct or the safety is correct and all that kind of stuff.

And I believe that that is, at the end of the day, all opinions of those in the immediate area. So I'm forced to support the appeal.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Bryson?

MR. BRYSON: It was my motion. And I think everybody's entitled to an explanation. I don't live too far away. I go this way regularly. My

previous place I lived was one way down the street.

Where I live now is the other way down the street of

2.0

2.2

Morse.

And I don't like seeing the trash either. And I thank you who said that, you know, you go down and pick up. We all got to take care of our neighborhoods.

I live a little further away. I do the same thing over in my immediate surroundings. And I think that's what all community members need to do.

We're in a situation where the zoning code, the Land Use Plan, says that these should be rare. But conditional uses are those rare things that are still okay in the Land Use Plan.

And that's kind of where I come to this. Not necessarily the 24-hour or having all the full services it has, but the type of business that it is -- gas station, convenience store, prepared foods place.

Those are all conditional uses that are allowed under our Land Use Plan. And they're okay as long as they meet those four standards.

I was in agreement at the beginning that the first two are pretty well established here.

Three and four leave a lot of room for reasonable

minds to disagree.

2.0

2.2

2.5

For the Planning Commission members to look at it and go, "You know what? I think there will be some undesirable effects" or, "I don't think it's really in keeping with the character and physical development potential" -- because there is a lot of judgment called for there.

But in looking at the record and looking what was presented, the existence of this type of business on this lot doesn't seem like it would be a reason for undesirable effects on the surrounding area.

There was a lot of -- there's been a lot of talk about things we fear people might do. I'm not prepared to hold any landowner accountable for certainly not the criminal actions of somebody they don't have control over, whether it's littering or whether it's murdering probably the clerk at the Sheetz if, you know, the violence statistics were the thing we were worried about.

And when it's keeping with the land use character it is another retail establishment that is one that provides goods and services to people in the area.

And for all of those reasons I feel

like it meets -- my belief, my opinion, is that it meets the criteria that are laid out for conditional use and that we should be ruling in favor of the appellant.

2.0

2.2

2.5

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Well, I guess I am last here. I know the comment was made earlier about there's a lot of people on the north side of Morse Road that were here tonight and Columbus residents. I am a Gahanna resident for over 30 years. And I live not too far from this area of town.

A lot of what I'm going to say is going to be what you've already heard tonight. But I'll start with -- and again, similarly, my biggest concerns were items three and four as others have said.

From a design perspective, Mr.

Blackford made a comment at the November 2nd hearing that the building is brick veneer and traditional architecture and is similar in appearance to the Goddard School and senior living facility to the west. I disagree with that.

Maybe this building has brick has on it. But I don't see as a design professional that this building does fit in with Goddard School or StoryPoint.

And also, you've got on the other side the Market at Roger's Corner's buildings that are more of the -- call it barn-like architecture. It does not have a name. The barn is called the barn. But corrugated metal panel, sloped metal roofs.

2.0

2.2

I realize that those are not similar to Goddard School and StoryPoint. But I feel like this building is, as you said, completely harmonious with the surroundings. I disagree. We have difference of opinion there. That's my opinion that it doesn't work.

And that's why I asked the questions earlier about a canopy height change or a change in the color of brick would not satisfy me as either a citizen or a Planning Commission or BZBA member that that's enough.

As far as lighting, signage, trees -we're not going to talk about those here. Those will
be handled elsewhere, albeit large neon signs are not
found anywhere in this neighborhood either at the
restaurants or at the entrances of any of the
residential or school developments.

The whole idea of 24/7 -- yes, High
Banks, ten o'clock, eleven o'clock, midnight. The
Barn, 10:00 p.m. on Saturdays and Sundays. Donatos,

10:00 p.m. or midnight.

2.0

2.2

2.5

Does not fall with that --within those kind of restrictions -- or not restrictions but falling within the commercial properties of the area. And this will be 24/7, 24 hours a day.

There was a comment made earlier about we're not -- we can't deny just because it's a Sheetz gas station. I don't think anybody here would do that. So I take a little deference to that comment. But I'll keep moving on.

It was also mentioned that this could be an asset to somebody who needs food or fuel. It was also said earlier there are three gas stations at the corner of Morse and Hamilton.

You can go into New Albany. You can go into Johnstown. You can go all over Gahanna and Elmira to Kroger. There are plenty of gas stations.

I hope nobody -- that without a gas station at this corner or a facility like this is going to be detrimental to their getting to work or home or anywhere else like that.

I appreciate the opinion of Carpenter

Marty and Mr. Laurent. No matter what -- and I saw

the case law up on the screen -- I am still concerned

about traffic. I don't believe that adding that light

1 is going to help.

2.0

2.2

2.5

I would be concerned if I ran the Goddard School or at StoryPoint if there were traffic from the gas station for those who want to go westbound on Morse Road are cutting through that cut through that we saw up there and going around to the Albany Glen. I see problems with offroad traffic concerns too on that connector road.

And certainly, there have been some citizens that have approached me. And you've heard some opinions tonight.

And with all of that, I would say I am a believer that items three and four are not met to my satisfaction. And I will be voting against this appeal tonight.

So with that, any other comments by the members up here? Otherwise we are going to move right on to roll call. Anybody else?

MR. JENSEN: I want a couple of follow-ups. I mean, I'm a 30-year resident of Gahanna also. One of the things I would say there is when you were talking about design or whatever -- to me, and this is not a knock against Dan, but nothing in Gahanna is harmonious.

There's not a consistent architectural

- design. And that's not a knock or negative or
 whatever. It's you're going to have that
 inconsistency over the years that we've lived here.
- I can see why the Planning Commission

 would have rejected it. I think that they were doing

 their job based upon evidence that they had at the

 time.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- But I don't see -- I mean, since I've lived here Morse Road has gotten every year busier, busier, and busier and busier. And it's if we don't like it I guess we have to learn to live with it or move.
- So but I would think that that traffic light that they're talking about up there is going to help, you know, adjust some of that depending on how the light is set up and moderated. So anyway, that's all I have.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else? Anything else we need to do, Mr. Mularski, before we move onto roll call that you can see?
- MR. MULARSKI: No; not if everyone's satisfied with their comments.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. And with that, I
 will turn over the roll call to the Clerk.
- MR. VANMETER: Bryson?

	Page 98
1	MR. BRYSON: Yes.
2	MR. VANMETER: Jensen?
3	MR. JENSEN: Yes.
4	MR. VANMETER: Stefanov?
5	MS. STEFANOV: Yes.
6	MR. VANMETER: Beckmann?
7	MR. BECKMANN: Yes.
8	MR. VANMETER: Eisen?
9	THE CHAIRMAN: No.
10	MR. SHANNON: Thank you for your time
11	and consideration.
12	MR. INGRAM: Thank you.
13	MR. ROTH: Thank you.
14	THE CHAIRMAN: With that, we will take
15	a short break and allow those who want to leave, which
16	is probably a good bit of you, because we just have
17	one a little bit of time we need to spend on Rules
18	of Procedure. So take your time. We can maybe take
19	five minutes if that's what we need.
20	THE REPORTER: We're going to go off
21	the record at 8:40 p.m.
22	(Off the record.)
23	THE REPORTER: We are back on the
24	record at 8:56 p.m.
25	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. We are going

- to move ahead with new business, which is Rules-0005-202, Rules of Procedure for BZBA, proposed Redline 2023. And the date -- does everybody have their packet? I believe you do.
 - So I'm going to turn this portion over to you because doubtful that any of us have had any time to look at this.

And I'll let you run through what has been done and by whom and then what we are actually needing to do. Are we approving language line item by line item or how best to work our way through this?

MR. MULARSKI: I would go through the whole thing or through each item and decide on each item if there's anything you want to change different than what's on here. And debate each item. And then at the end vote on the proposal as amended.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

MR. MULARSKI: If there are any

amendments.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

21 MR. JENSEN: How come there's two?

There's the --

23 MR. MULARSKI: It's one redlined and

24 one's --

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.2

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.

MR. MULARSKI: I have to give him and Pam 99.9 percent of the credit because they prepared most of these changes and then asked me what I thought about them afterward because we had talked about the general idea.

2.0

2.2

But he actually kind of did it so I think maybe you can go through until you need me to jump in. Does that work, Jeremy?

MR. VANMETER: Yeah; that works.

MR. MULARSKI: Okay.

MR. VANMETER: I'm trying to show where the redlines are and all that on the screen here. Here we go. Okay. A lot of this, as I had noted I think in my emails, is just a little bit of clean-up in terms of the formatting -- so the renumbering of articles.

And then specifically what the Clerk's Office, the Council Office brought forward were some changes to the duties of the Clerk.

And those changes in there reflect language that we use in other boards and commissions. So basically, make those conform with what we have elsewhere.

There are then some different movements that take place because after talking with Attorney

Mularski we thought that certain sections belong with certain articles rather than their own separate thing.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

So we'll just go down through the list here. Just the first changes would be to the cover, putting the amendment date if you guys decide to make any amendments today.

Article 1, just reformatting again. nothing except when we go down to what would be the new 1.3. We've stricken some language there regarding Robert's Rules of Order -- just that we're going to go by the current edition.

That would serve as the parliamentary authority should matters not addressed in these rules or code -- should those not be addressed elsewhere.

Article 2, just noting that January the Board is going to meet for their organizational meeting. Article 3, reformatting. And this is where the duties of the Clerk and the Board have been just modified slightly.

Just to note that our office, Council Office, will provide a clerk to this Board. not always be me. But we will have somebody here to provide that for the Board's proceedings.

> THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

The addition of G and H

2.5 MR. VANMETER:

- here under the Clerk's duties -- again this is
 language that we use elsewhere in other boards and
 commissions. Standard protocols. Let me know if you
 have any questions too. Okay.
- THE CHAIRMAN: We'll just keep shaking

 our head --
- 7 MR. VANMETER: Okay.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 8 THE CHAIRMAN: -- if we are in
 9 agreement. And anybody that wants to speak up do so.
 10 Otherwise just keep on going.
 - MR. VANMETER: Certainly. Article 4, some reformatting here. Article 4.4 is a new number. Just adding here at the end to verify that there is a four.
 - 4.5 has been added in here. If it's determined that a quorum will not be present the Chair shall postpone the meeting and the Clerk shall notify the members and any applicants.
 - THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
 - MR. VANMETER: Under Article 5, reformatting. And then we get to the new what is 5.3 adding to our order of business that we're going to approve the minutes. Been doing that as kind of a standard practice. We're just putting that in the rules.

Modifying some language here around administering the oath. Appeals. That's our public hearings that we have. Since this Board --

2.0

2.2

2.5

MR. MULARSKI: If I may --

MR. VANMETER: Sorry.

MR. MULARSKI: -- where it talks about administering the oath -- you did it correctly.

However in the statute itself it says you will say this is how it's going to happen -- if they're going to do 25 minutes, 25 minutes, 5, 5. And which you did do. And then I administered the oath.

So I don't -- if we just leave it administering the oath -- but prior to that you should describe what's going to happen on the -- during the public hearings.

I didn't know if you wanted to be more specific and add that or if you just want to leave it as approval of administering the oath.

MR. BRYSON: I guess the real question would be is somebody going to forget that we need to do that if we don't have your institutional knowledge at the time of that. That'd be the only reason I'd say stick it in there. Otherwise, it being in the statute would be fine.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. I'm fine with

Page 105 1 that too. MR. MULARSKI: So --3 THE CHAIRMAN: Just make it so that it's clear to whoever is sitting in these two chairs 5 up here. 6 MR. MULARSKI: Okay. So the language 7 in the statute is --MR. VANMETER: Are we adding to Item D? 8 9 MR. MULARSKI: 5.3(D), yes. I can't 10 find the language. But it's not difficult. You just 11 start with Chairperson or just use Chair through this. 12 That just made me realize that we still them Chairmen. 13 Chair. Just Chair; okay? 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. 15 MR. MULARSKI: So the --16 MR. VANMETER: And I guess just to 17 clarify -- this section here is the outline of our 18 agenda. 19 MR. MULARSKI: Right. So just start D 2.0 with the Chair shall describe how the public hearing 21 shall commence period. And administering the oath. 2.2 Does that sound sufficient? 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Yep. 24 MR. MULARSKI: Okay. 2.5 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

- MR. MULARSKI: All right. So then we get back to VI.
- MR. VANMETER: So I'm actually going
 through the document as we're discussing it. On the
 screen I've changed to add this --

6 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

7 MR. VANMETER: -- request. You see it 8 here. Is that correct?

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, it's Chair shall describe how the public hearing shall commence. And City Attorney shall admit -- shall administer the oath; right?

MR. MULARSKI: Yeah. Yes. That is correct. The City Attorney or designee. Yes, that looks good.

MR. VANMETER: Okay. We've taken out

Item G -- what was Item G -- establishing next meeting

dates -- since this Board just meets as-needed. Just

changing a little bit of language in Item H just that

we reference on the agenda, poll members for comment.

Article 6, some reformatting that we have. Except I will note pursuant to earlier conversation under six -- what is proposed to be just the new 6.1 there was an earlier reference to Rule 6.13. And as Mr. Mularski pointed out, this doesn't

1 | really match up or align with what we had for 6.13.

2.0

2.2

MR. MULARSKI: Yeah. That's under 6.1, the very end of the first paragraph and the very end of the second paragraph. That previously referred to a 6.13, which was since also stricken.

What the previous 6.13 said -- "The Board may consider any relevant evidence not contained in the record. The Chair may order exhibits to be entered into the record.

"If a member of the Board objects the matter shall be put to a vote of the Board and the Board shall order the exhibit entered or rejected by majority vote." That is what was removed previously.

And then also, well, it changed in amounts and the time. But then also the part of adding public comment under 6.11. So do you want that wording on -- that was previously 6.13?

Because that's really what we did do today. The Chair ordered exhibits entered into the record. And then if there was no objection it was voted on. That's no different than how we did it. We voted on whether the exhibit should be admitted.

One of those two ways should be put in there so that it's clear as to how the procedure will go. The big part that was left out was it says, "The

Board may consider any relevant evidence not contained in the records."

2.0

2.2

Now that I don't know why that was in there. I would think that that's the part they wanted to take out. I'm not sure --

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.

MR. MULARSKI: -- why they took out the rest. The rest of it I think is probably necessary.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah; I think we should have voted just like we did today; right? What do you think?

MR. BRYSON: So I mean, does it really matter whether we want to vote or whether that's just the power the Chair has? I'm comfortable with the Chair just having the power to say, "Yeah; that's admitted."

The only reason I made it a motion tonight was I thought it was unclear. And if we voted against it and there was an appeal I didn't want us overlooking that to be the reason that the appeal got determined correctly. I just wanted to make it clear what we had considered.

MR. MULARSKI: And this did say that if any board member objects then you vote on it. So that is the protection there. So --

```
Page 109
1
                    THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Well, then that -
 2
                    MR. BRYSON: I think that makes sense.
 3
 4
                    MR. MULARSKI: So all right. So we'll
5
     add that back in. And let's see. So if we make that
     16.13 then we can leave the previous section as
6
 7
     16.1 -- 6.13 I mean. Because it will then refer to
     that section.
8
9
                    MR. VANMETER: So okay.
10
                    MR. MULARSKI: Okay. So there's going
     to be a new 6.13.
11
12
                    MR. VANMETER: So where the old one was
13
     will now be here?
14
                    MR. MULARSKI: Right.
15
                    MR. VANMETER: And that language again,
16
     Mr. Mularski?
17
                    MR. MULARSKI: Would be the Chair may
     order exhibits to be entered into the record. If a
18
19
     member of the Board objects, the matter shall be put
2.0
     to a vote of the Board and the Board shall order the
21
     exhibit entered or rejected by majority vote.
2.2
                    MR. VANMETER: Sorry; I couldn't keep
23
     up.
                    MR. MULARSKI: Yeah; I know. I started
24
2.5
     getting faster. Then my mic went out.
```

	Page 110
1	MR. VANMETER: The Board shall
2	MR. MULARSKI: Okay. What do you have?
3	MR. VANMETER: I have "the matter shall
4	be put to a vote of the Board and the Board shall
5	enter"
6	MR. MULARSKI: Shall order the exhibit
7	entered or rejected by a majority vote.
8	MR. VANMETER: Okay.
9	MR. MULARSKI: So with that, in 6.1 we
10	can leave that reference to 6.13.
11	MR. VANMETER: Well, it would be a new
12	6.6 is what how it all ends up with the
13	renumbering.
14	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So yeah. Just
15	get it to tie back to the right
16	MR. VANMETER: Yes.
17	THE CHAIRMAN: reference.
18	MR. MULARSKI: I'm sorry. What is the
19	new 6.6?
20	MR. VANMETER: What we just read.
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
22	MR. BRYSON: The thing that used to be
23	6.13 just with the new numbering scheme would actually
24	be 6.6.
25	MR. MULARSKI: Okay.

- MR. BRYSON: Because now there's not
- 2 | 6.101 too.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

- MR. MULARSKI: Okay. I'm reading from the 2017 document and I'm not seeing that. I'm like,
- 5 what? Okay. I got you.
- MR. BRYSON: Yeah; it's way easier when you're looking at the red.
- 8 MR. MULARSKI: Yeah, yeah. Yeah. But
 9 I got a 2017 document and then a 2023 document on
 10 that. Okay. Anyways, so that's good.
 - Then the other thing to discuss is 6.11. It adds public comment. Each speaker will be allowed three minutes. That's where we ran into the problem with the emails.
 - I see two solutions to that. One, you can say, "Yes; we can accept emails" if you think -- I mean, there were a lot of good reasons as to why emails shouldn't be accepted.
 - But if you were to think they should be, I would put a time limit on it -- that are received at least seven days prior to the hearing or something like that.
- Or if you just think it's -- I mean,

 since everything else is under oath and emails aren't

 you -- I thought that was a good reason --

Page 112 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. 2 MR. MULARSKI: -- not to include 3 emails. But so that's up to you guys as to what you think. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. I mean, it's just 6 a tough one if somebody is not physically able to 7 come --8 MR. MULARSKI: Right. THE CHAIRMAN: -- how can their voice 9 10 be heard? Well, do they choose a proxy to come read 11 as one lady here who had too much to read had somebody 12 else read it? 13 And I mean, does that sound fair? 14 Because I would agree. I mean, anybody could be 15 sending in emails. 16 MR. BRYSON: I agree. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: It's a tough one. 18 MR. BRYSON: I agree. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. 2.0 MR. MULARSKI: Okay. 21 MR. BRYSON: The thing that I'd like us 2.2 to try to figure out -- and this probably isn't a 23 tonight thing -- I was very uncomfortable with the 24 idea that someone who comes and delivers public 2.5 comment being subject to cross-examination.

I don't think that's something that either of the parties has an absolute right to you in an administrative proceeding. But I don't know the answer to that question.

2.0

I would love to specify -- if we don't have to allow that cross-examination, I would love to specify that the public comment speakers don't get to be cross-examined because that just sounds --

MR. MULARSKI: The thing you got to keep in mind is this is a trial; okay? And when you have a trial you have certain rights. You have a right to confront your accuser. As you see on TV, it's not actually your accuser but people who are saying things against you.

You have a right to confront them and say, "Well, wait a second. You might say there's -- crime's going to increase. But why would you say that? Why would you say it?"

Yeah. So I understand what you're saying. But I think that it's probably just a basic fairness of trial that if someone's going to present - if we're going to count this as evidence -- I guess there's a better way to say it.

We're counting the public comment as evidence; okay? Now you take it -- give it how much

weight as you think is necessary because it's just an opinion. It shouldn't be given any weight.

2.2

You know, if someone comes up and has a public comment saying, "Well, here in this FBI report so-and-so says this" you're probably going to give more weight to that; okay?

So you can give a different weight as to what that public comment is depending on what it is as opposed to someone's just opinion. But if we're going to allow public comment I think you need to allow it to be cross-examined. That's just fairness.

Otherwise it can come up -- because the problem I had with the public comment was it just happens that day. And no one knows what's going to be said.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.

MR. MULARSKI: And so that's why it makes it difficult. If you're going to have it, we should be able to address that issue -- whoever it goes against.

MR. JENSEN: Well, the problem with public comment also is it's very personal and very emotional and very -- I mean, it's all very one-sided.

Every ones that I've been on in the ten years that I've been on there's always -- you know,

- 1 there's not a two-sided kind of thing on it. It's all
 2 just one side that's against it.
- So I mean, I don't know what it really

 -- I mean, I understand the reasons, whatever, but I

 don't know what really it benefits other than letting

 the people vent about their frustration.

But obviously we didn't make a decision based upon the public comment anyway. So I just -- it's the same thing, like, with the emails. It's the emails should be directed to somebody other than to us.

I don't know who you would -- maybe to the -- Mr. Blackford or somebody on the -- in the City administration should be getting that stuff.

MR. MULARSKI: Or to the appellant.

16 And then that way --

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. JENSEN: Yeah. Somebody in the administration --

MR. MULARSKI: -- they can call them as a witness if they feel --

MR. JENSEN: Yes. Yeah.

MR. MULARSKI: -- it's appropriate.

MR. JENSEN: Because it's not like we're going for votes or anything, running for office or whatever. Right?

1 THE CHAIRMAN: But we know that just 2 because they send an email also doesn't mean that they're going to show up. So they can't be 3 questioned, cross-examined, call it what you want 4 5 because they may not be sitting out there. So --MR. MULARSKI: So I guess the first --6 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. I mean, you saying --8 MR. MULARSKI: -- thing at issue is --9 10 THE CHAIRMAN: -- right --11 MR. JENSEN: Were you saying --12 MR. MULARSKI: -- public comment. 13 MR. JENSEN: Were you saying -- Paul, 14 you were saying earlier that there would be cross-15 examination of the public? 16 MR. BRYSON: That was the right that 17 the appellate claimed tonight was that if you're going 18 to take public comments and they're going to be 19 witnesses then I get to cross-examine them like 2.0 they're witnesses in a court. And it could be --21 MR. JENSEN: I didn't get that. 2.2 MR. BRYSON: -- that they actually have 23 that right. I mean, I couldn't bring up Westlaw and 24 try to research that issue while we were listening to 25 people.

But if they really do have that right I don't think that -- you know, when you're called into court or you go into court to testify you know that's going to happen. If you show up and that happens by surprise that could be a pretty horrible experience.

2.0

2.2

MR. JENSEN: I didn't realize that.

MR. MULARSKI: So the first thing is do you want public comment at all?

MR. JENSEN: That's I guess what I'm -MR. MULARSKI: Yeah; that's what you
were saying. So that's the first issue. And keep in
mind there is public comment in the Planning
Commission.

MR. JENSEN: Yeah.

MR. MULARSKI: What really happened here tonight was this is right across the street from Columbus people. And Columbus people weren't involved in the Planning Commission issue. And they're the ones who came and were complaining here.

Now whether or not you should even consider what the Columbus residents state -- that's totally up to you. But that's why they weren't part of this up to that point.

But generally, any Gahanna residents had the right to go -- and Columbus residents had the

THE CHAIRMAN:

Public comment --

2.5

MR. MULARSKI: You're not going to take out public comments altogether. You're just taking the ones from the Planning Commission.

2.0

2.2

MR. JENSEN: But if we are being looked upon as being some sort of judges or something isn't that a different kind of thing than the Planning Commission?

MR. MULARSKI: Yes; it is. And that's my reason why I don't think you should have public comment -- because you should have evidence. You should only have evidence because I think you should be able -- subject to cross-examination. It should be ran more like a trial.

MR. JENSEN: And that's why I'm saying that I would like to see where if there is public, you know, emails or public comments, whatever, that they're directed to a City administrator who then part of the -- during this session then they would bring, "This is what we had, these 50 people.

And this is some of the things that they were saying" as opposed to having the emotion and whatever of the public crying and whatever that they're going to be --

MR. MULARSKI: Because if it's done that way both the appellant and appellee have to

Page 120 1 present their evidence seven days prior to the hearing. 3 MR. JENSEN: Yeah. 4 MR. MULARSKI: So there is -- they're 5 given that ahead of time. MR. JENSEN: Is there --6 7 MR. BRYSON: I think this was wellintentioned --8 9 MR. JENSEN: Yes. 10 MR. BRYSON: -- to add public comment. MR. MULARSKI: 11 Right. 12 Like emotionally it feels MR. BRYSON: 13 right to give people a chance to come and be heard. 14 But I don't know that in this format, like you all 15 were just discussing, that it makes a ton of sense, 16 especially when we can get that information out of the 17 record from the Planning Commission. 18 MR. JENSEN: Well, and then I'm sure 19 you noticed that half the people started walking out 2.0 of here when they could get the temperature of what we 21 were going to be doing up here. 2.2 Then they're all, you know, making, 23 like, a statement, like, "We don't like what you guys 24 are doing and so we're going to leave." So --

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

Yeah.

MR. MULARSKI:

2.5

```
Page 121
1
                    MR. JENSEN: -- that's all that gets
 2
     said.
                    MR. BECKMANN: Yeah. I like the idea
 3
 4
     that they have an avenue to get it to us by way of --
5
                    MR. JENSEN: Yeah.
6
                    MR. BECKMANN: -- the way prescribed.
 7
     But like tonight, I mean, clearly there was an outcry
     amongst the community. We got to go tonight. We got
8
9
     to tell them what we think. That's why it all came in
10
     last-minute and --
11
                    THE CHAIRMAN: But there seems to be --
12
                    MR. MULARSKI: And I'm really glad you
13
     shut them down early when they started grumbling. It
     was like --
14
15
                    THE CHAIRMAN: I felt like I had to do
16
     that.
17
                                          Yeah. I know.
                    MR. MULARSKI: Yeah.
18
                    MR. BECKMANN: The pitchforks had come
19
     out.
20
                    MR. MULARSKI: -- why but then they
21
     kept --
2.2
                    THE CHAIRMAN: It was either way.
23
                    THE REPORTER: I'm only able to take
24
     down one person --
2.5
                    THE CHAIRMAN: I was going to do it.
```

THE REPORTER: -- at a time. I'm so sorry.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I guess we could talk about this for a long time and there's -- I see one side as freedom of speech. But I don't know whether it's in Planning Commission workshops or here.

But is there anything that you can do to reach out? I'm not saying what other jurisdictions do in these kinds of meeting. Is there any kind of finding out what -- just like I said -- what some of the other --

MR. MULARSKI: Okay.

THE CHAIRMAN: -- some of your other -- yeah. What are some of the other benchmarks? And maybe you can bring that back or something.

Because I'm not sure we've got -- I mean, as much as I'd like to -- we're on a roll and we're getting through everything. This one seemed like not only was it sticky in the hearing but it's sticky here.

And I'm not pushing one way or the other. I don't personally know at this point right now after we've been talking for 15 minutes which way is right.

MR. MULARSKI: And that definitely --

1	THE CHAIRMAN: And fair.
2	MR. MULARSKI: could be done. But I
3	would recommend you go in one way or the other tonight
4	because who knows the next time you're going to meet.
5	It's two years since the last meeting
6	THE CHAIRMAN: Well, yeah. You're
7	right on that.
8	MR. MULARSKI: So you know, if you'd
9	like, you know, so before you change it again you
10	know, I really didn't like changing the rules prior to
11	the hearing. We had this on.
12	And Jeremy and I talked. I said, "Make
13	sure you put the changing of the rules after the
14	hearing because we're not changing the rules on people
15	right before the hearing."
16	MR. BRYSON: Yeah. If we don't have
17	if we don't feel like we have a good consensus and we
18	want some additional information I think it makes
19	sense to go through the rest of these and then have
20	that as the follow-up to try to figure out
21	MR. JENSEN: Or can we just make a
22	motion and vote on it?
23	MR. BRYSON: I think we vote, like, if
24	we think that we've got enough decision and everybody

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

feel -- and people feel like they have enough

25

- 1 information.
- 2 MR. JENSEN: Well, first of all, do we
- 3 want to vote on it? And then second of all -- and
- 4 then --
- 5 MR. BECKMANN: Then we come back to it.
- MR. JENSEN: Yeah. Do we want to vote
- 7 on it? Do we want to make a motion on it?
- MR. BECKMANN: I'm fine with voting on
- 9 it and making a decision.
- 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. So this is one of
- 11 | those you're not looking for me to make decision. I
- 12 | think we've talked just enough here that we want input
- 13 | from five people to make this decision.
- 14 | MR. MULARSKI: And you don't
- 15 | necessarily in the vote because this is procedural.
- 16 So you can just say, "This is how I feel, how I feel,
- 17 how I feel, how I feel, how I feel."
- Okay. We'll go this way. And it's
- 19 three out of five feel that way. So and I would say
- 20 the first thing is do you want public comment at all?
- 21 Discuss that and see how you guys feel on that first.
- MR. JENSEN: Well, I've already stated
- 23 | how I feel. I think public comment should be directed
- to a City administrator. And what's Michael
- 25 Blackford's official title?

```
Page 126
1
                    MR. MULARSKI: You should direct them
     to say, "You need to contact either --"
 3
                    MR. JENSEN: Couldn't it just go to --
 4
                    MR. MULARSKI: -- sake of the
5
     attorneys --
                    MR. JENSEN: -- both sides?
6
 7
                    MR. MULARSKI: Yeah. I would just say
8
     I think you should contact either of Matt Roth or Mike
     Shannon depending on, you know --
10
                    MR. VANMETER: Got you.
11
                    MR. JENSEN: Right.
12
                    MR. MULARSKI: -- he represents this
13
     side --
14
                    THE CHAIRMAN: It's going to appellee
15
     and appellant.
16
                    MR. MULARSKI: -- and contact who you
17
     want.
                    MR. BRYSON: Let them decide if it's
18
19
     worth bringing up.
2.0
                    MR. JENSEN: Yeah.
21
                    MR. MULARSKI: Yeah.
2.2
                    MR. JENSEN: Exactly.
23
                    MR. MULARSKI: All right. We're all
24
     clear on that? I think that's the only thing --
2.5
                    THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah; I thought that was
```

good. Good decision there.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

MR. BRYSON: Yeah. And for that many people who feel like they didn't get listened to it's they can either be mad at the party they supported or the elected official who didn't bring it up so --

THE CHAIRMAN: All right.

MR. MULARSKI: All right.

MR. VANMETER: Okay. So again, we've added 6.6, which was already discussed. Article 7, renumbering. Article 8, renumbering.

Article 9, we have some renumbering except the new -- this used to be Article 7. It is now Article 9. And the 9.2 vote without discussion we've inserted "except for a motion to amend a motion under discussion." Ray, you had -- I don't know if you want to comment anymore on that.

MR. MULARSKI: Yeah. First, we moved it to IX because we just thought it was a better place for it. Before it was 7 up in the middle. And we felt it should have been put at the end. But that's why it's 9.

And then -- oh yeah. So seven point -- oh, 9.2. Okay. I was looking at the exhibit number.
9.2 it says, "Vote without discussion."

So it said, "Motions can be voted on

Page 128 1 without discussion except for a motion to amend." I felt that that needed to have discussion. 3 Because if you're -- if someone, like I 4 said earlier, if someone had made a motion that we 5 uphold the appellant and someone wanted to amend that motion, well, that should be discussed. That's why I 6 7 put that part in there. 8 MR. BRYSON: Okay. 9 MR. VANMETER: And then we have added 10 9.6 through 9.8 from another section. I'm trying to 11 think of where were these before. MR. BRYSON: Oh, were they in the 12 13 voting section before? 14 THE CHAIRMAN: It looks like they were 15 stricken out on Page 9. They were 8.22. They're 16 these ones --17 MR. VANMETER: Yes. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: -- on the right? 19 MR. VANMETER: Yes. 2.0 THE CHAIRMAN: 8.22 --21 MR. VANMETER: That's correct. 2.2 THE CHAIRMAN: -- 23, 24 --Yes. We --23 MR. VANMETER: 24 THE CHAIRMAN: And they've jumped over

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

to 9.6, 9.7, and 9.8.

25

MR. MULARSKI: Yeah. That's why.

We're combing sections we thought were better and

placed in a better location.

MR. VANMETER: Article 10 we have some

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

MR. VANMETER: Article 10 we have some renumbering. And then we've moved what was 8.21, 8.22, 8.23, and 8.25 to another section.

THE CHAIRMAN: Got you.

MR. VANMETER: Yeah. Article 11 has been renumbered. And Article 12 has those previous items that I just said were moved to another section under disposition of appeals.

The new 12.3 -- again this is language from other boards. Just that after the Board has rendered its decision the Clerk of Council will send all parties the official record of action.

The Clerk's minutes serve as the official record of the hearing unless a stenographer is present, and a transcript is provided. And that brings us to the end.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

MR. MULARSKI: So if as we have amended it throughout this day, if you guys are in favor of that, you can just vote on it now and make it the new Rules of the Board. Do you want --

THE CHAIRMAN: Informal or by formal

	Page 130
1	roll call?
2	MR. MULARSKI: Do it formal.
3	THE CHAIRMAN: With a motion?
4	MR. MULARSKI: Yeah. Yes.
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Anybody want to
6	make a motion regarding the revisions made this
7	evening?
8	MR. JENSEN: I move that we approve the
9	revisions to the procedure BZBA procedure dated
10	January 26, 2023.
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Do I have a second?
12	MR. BECKMANN: Second.
13	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other discussion?
14	Roll call.
15	MR. VANMETER: Jensen?
16	MR. JENSEN: Yes.
17	MR. VANMETER: Beckmann?
18	MR. BECKMANN: Yes.
19	MR. VANMETER: Bryson?
20	MR. BRYSON: Yes.
21	MR. VANMETER: Eisen?
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
23	MR. VANMETER: Stefanov?
24	MS. STEFANOV: Yes.
25	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other

```
Page 131
     business of the evening? Otherwise, we will call the
 1
     meeting closed. Anybody else? All righty. With
     that, we will close the BZBA meeting on January 26,
 3
     2023. Thank you all.
 4
                    THE REPORTER: We are going to go off
 5
 6
     the record at 9:28.
 7
                     (Whereupon, at 9:28 p.m., the
                    proceeding was concluded.)
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSITION OFFICER

I, NIC JOSEPH, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that the proceedings were recorded by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

Milh

NIC JOSEPH

Notary Public in and for the

State of Ohio

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I, LEANN SULLIVAN, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

LEANN SULLIVAN

[& - 6.101] Page 1

o	1983 50:14	25 4:5 17:25,25	43230 1:17
&	1988 50:15	18:2 39:17,17	2:20 3:7 21:10
& 2:4,10,17 3:4		104:10,10	32:15 35:4
14:3 31:12	2	250 33:7	37:18 62:7
42:25	2 5:5,9 26:23	2506 41:18	4541 35:3
0	40:19 88:5	25587 132:17	4550 38:18
0001-2022 1:7	102:15	26 1:12 30:15	4560 25:9
19:24	20 6:22 27:10		
0005 99:1	73:24 75:3	130:10 131:3 260 2:5	4566 28:11
000922 20:1	200 1:16 2:19		4582 29:14
01126 20:3	3:6	27211 133:14 28 4:6	4592 37:17
025 20:2	2015 31:5		4604 32:15
025-01124 20:2	2017 8:4 111:4	29 4:7	4625 34:6
025-011243	111:9	2nd 64:15 65:1	4665 23:13
20:2	2019 8:5 17:13	70:8 72:11	4695 21:9
1	30:15	75:14 77:14	5
	202 99:2	93:17	5 103:20
1 5:4 40:19	2020 27:23	3	104:10,10
88:5 102:7	2022 6:20,22	3 27:24 102:17	5.10 36:3
1-2022 5:5	26:23	30 93:9 96:20	5.3 103:21
1.3. 102:9	2023 1:12 99:3	32 4:8	105:9
10 129:4	111:9 130:10	34 4:9	50 24:8 38:21
1008 2:11	131:4	35 4:10	119:19
10:00 73:20	2025 24:9	37 4:11 44:18	50-50 89:11
94:25 95:1	20th 6:11,20	38 4:12	500 51:20
11 129:8	21 4:3	4	51 4:18
12 129:9	23 4:4 128:22	4 103:11	52 2:11 14:2
12.3 129:12	24 28:4 73:15	4.19 42:12	5690411 1:19
15 122:23	73:20 75:10	4.4 103:12	59 36:6
150 60:22 62:7	76:5,7 91:16	4.5 103:15	6
16 30:15	95:5 128:22	40/88 5:4,8	6 106:21
16.1 109:7	24/7 27:10	42 40:10 50:14	6.1 106:24
16.13 109:6	31:10 35:12	43054 2:6	107:2 110:9
17th 17:12	75:19 81:4,20	43215 2:12	6.101 111:2
1837 42:25	83:24 94:23	TO 210 2.12	V•101 111.2
	95:5		

[6.11. - address] Page 2

6.11. 107:16	8000 2:5	accept 16:13	actually 6:9
111:12	86 44:20	87:2,11 111:16	37:7 40:2 41:6
6.13 9:6 107:5	8:40 98:21	acceptable	67:6 79:23
107:6,17 109:7	8:56 98:24	43:23	87:2 99:9
110:23	9	accepted 13:18	101:6 106:3
6.13. 8:23,24	9 127:11,13,21	100:9 111:18	110:23 113:13
106:25 107:1	128:15	access 26:11	116:22
109:11 110:10	9-2022 5:6	36:20 54:3,8	add 12:19 33:9
6.6 110:12,19	9.2 127:13,24	55:16,20,24	68:14 104:17
127:9	9.2. 127:13,24 9.2. 127:23	57:2,4,7,10	106:5 109:5
6.6. 110:24	9.6 128:10,25	65:12 81:16	120:10
60 4:13 34:14	9.0 128.10,23 9.7 128:25	accidents 24:4	added 8:8,8
78:22	9.7 128.23 9.8 128:10	24:20	9:15 44:20
62 4:14 54:19	9.8. 128:25	account 27:24	57:2,3 103:15
630 60:21 62:6	99.9 101:2	61:25 78:15	127:9 128:9
6:00 73:17	9:00 73:18,19	accountable	adding 33:4
6:40 1:13	9:28 131:6,7	92:15	34:15,19 95:25
	7 /•20 131.0,/		
7	_	accurate 72:11	103:13,22
-	a	accurate 72:11 132:9 133:5	103:13,22 105:8 107:16
7 61:17 127:9	ability 132:10		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7 61:17 127:9 127:12,19	ability 132:10 133:7	132:9 133:5	105:8 107:16
7 61:17 127:9 127:12,19 7:14 32:8	ability 132:10 133:7 able 13:14 21:7	132:9 133:5 accuser 113:12	105:8 107:16 addition 26:25
7 61:17 127:9 127:12,19 7:14 32:8 7:15 32:11	ability 132:10 133:7 able 13:14 21:7 21:16 35:5	132:9 133:5 accuser 113:12 113:13	105:8 107:16 addition 26:25 102:25
7 61:17 127:9 127:12,19 7:14 32:8 7:15 32:11 7:51 59:22	ability 132:10 133:7 able 13:14 21:7	132:9 133:5 accuser 113:12 113:13 acreage 39:4	105:8 107:16 addition 26:25 102:25 additional
7 61:17 127:9 127:12,19 7:14 32:8 7:15 32:11 7:51 59:22 7:52 59:25	ability 132:10 133:7 able 13:14 21:7 21:16 35:5 112:6 114:19 119:12 121:23	132:9 133:5 accuser 113:12 113:13 acreage 39:4 acres 42:12	105:8 107:16 addition 26:25 102:25 additional 26:20 46:17
7 61:17 127:9 127:12,19 7:14 32:8 7:15 32:11 7:51 59:22 7:52 59:25	ability 132:10 133:7 able 13:14 21:7 21:16 35:5 112:6 114:19	132:9 133:5 accuser 113:12 113:13 acreage 39:4 acres 42:12 act 29:3	105:8 107:16 addition 26:25 102:25 additional 26:20 46:17 53:8 57:7
7 61:17 127:9 127:12,19 7:14 32:8 7:15 32:11 7:51 59:22 7:52 59:25 8 8 127:10	ability 132:10 133:7 able 13:14 21:7 21:16 35:5 112:6 114:19 119:12 121:23 above 47:6,17 absolute 58:3	132:9 133:5 accuser 113:12 113:13 acreage 39:4 acres 42:12 act 29:3 acted 18:22	105:8 107:16 addition 26:25 102:25 additional 26:20 46:17 53:8 57:7 65:23 70:1
7 61:17 127:9 127:12,19 7:14 32:8 7:15 32:11 7:51 59:22 7:52 59:25 8 8 127:10 8.21 129:5	ability 132:10 133:7 able 13:14 21:7 21:16 35:5 112:6 114:19 119:12 121:23 above 47:6,17 absolute 58:3 113:2	132:9 133:5 accuser 113:12 113:13 acreage 39:4 acres 42:12 act 29:3 acted 18:22 acting 41:14	105:8 107:16 addition 26:25 102:25 additional 26:20 46:17 53:8 57:7 65:23 70:1 88:24 123:18
7 61:17 127:9 127:12,19 7:14 32:8 7:15 32:11 7:51 59:22 7:52 59:25 8 8 127:10 8.21 129:5 8.22 128:20	ability 132:10 133:7 able 13:14 21:7 21:16 35:5 112:6 114:19 119:12 121:23 above 47:6,17 absolute 58:3 113:2 absolutely	132:9 133:5 accuser 113:12 113:13 acreage 39:4 acres 42:12 act 29:3 acted 18:22 acting 41:14 action 18:23	105:8 107:16 addition 26:25 102:25 additional 26:20 46:17 53:8 57:7 65:23 70:1 88:24 123:18 additionally
7 61:17 127:9 127:12,19 7:14 32:8 7:15 32:11 7:51 59:22 7:52 59:25 8 8 127:10 8.21 129:5 8.22 128:20 129:6	ability 132:10 133:7 able 13:14 21:7 21:16 35:5 112:6 114:19 119:12 121:23 above 47:6,17 absolute 58:3 113:2 absolutely 45:21 58:11	132:9 133:5 accuser 113:12 113:13 acreage 39:4 acres 42:12 act 29:3 acted 18:22 acting 41:14 action 18:23 84:15 129:15	105:8 107:16 addition 26:25 102:25 additional 26:20 46:17 53:8 57:7 65:23 70:1 88:24 123:18 additionally 49:6
7 61:17 127:9 127:12,19 7:14 32:8 7:15 32:11 7:51 59:22 7:52 59:25 8 8 127:10 8.21 129:5 8.22 128:20 129:6 8.22. 128:15	ability 132:10 133:7 able 13:14 21:7 21:16 35:5 112:6 114:19 119:12 121:23 above 47:6,17 absolute 58:3 113:2 absolutely 45:21 58:11 absorbing	132:9 133:5 accuser 113:12 113:13 acreage 39:4 acres 42:12 act 29:3 acted 18:22 acting 41:14 action 18:23 84:15 129:15 132:12,16	105:8 107:16 addition 26:25 102:25 additional 26:20 46:17 53:8 57:7 65:23 70:1 88:24 123:18 additionally 49:6 additions 6:2,5
7 61:17 127:9 127:12,19 7:14 32:8 7:15 32:11 7:51 59:22 7:52 59:25 8 8 127:10 8.21 129:5 8.22 128:20 129:6 8.23 129:6	ability 132:10 133:7 able 13:14 21:7 21:16 35:5 112:6 114:19 119:12 121:23 above 47:6,17 absolute 58:3 113:2 absolutely 45:21 58:11 absorbing 52:21	132:9 133:5 accuser 113:12 113:13 acreage 39:4 acres 42:12 act 29:3 acted 18:22 acting 41:14 action 18:23 84:15 129:15 132:12,16 133:8,12	105:8 107:16 addition 26:25 102:25 additional 26:20 46:17 53:8 57:7 65:23 70:1 88:24 123:18 additionally 49:6 additions 6:2,5 address 16:1
7 61:17 127:9 127:12,19 7:14 32:8 7:15 32:11 7:51 59:22 7:52 59:25 8 8 127:10 8.21 129:5 8.22 128:20 129:6 8.23 129:6 8.25 129:6	ability 132:10 133:7 able 13:14 21:7 21:16 35:5 112:6 114:19 119:12 121:23 above 47:6,17 absolute 58:3 113:2 absolutely 45:21 58:11 absorbing 52:21 abutting 44:22	132:9 133:5 accuser 113:12 113:13 acreage 39:4 acres 42:12 act 29:3 acted 18:22 acting 41:14 action 18:23 84:15 129:15 132:12,16 133:8,12 actions 49:18	105:8 107:16 addition 26:25 102:25 additional 26:20 46:17 53:8 57:7 65:23 70:1 88:24 123:18 additionally 49:6 additions 6:2,5 address 16:1 17:22 19:13
7 61:17 127:9 127:12,19 7:14 32:8 7:15 32:11 7:51 59:22 7:52 59:25 8 8 127:10 8.21 129:5 8.22 128:20 129:6 8.22. 128:15 8.23 129:6	ability 132:10 133:7 able 13:14 21:7 21:16 35:5 112:6 114:19 119:12 121:23 above 47:6,17 absolute 58:3 113:2 absolutely 45:21 58:11 absorbing 52:21	132:9 133:5 accuser 113:12 113:13 acreage 39:4 acres 42:12 act 29:3 acted 18:22 acting 41:14 action 18:23 84:15 129:15 132:12,16 133:8,12 actions 49:18 92:16	105:8 107:16 addition 26:25 102:25 additional 26:20 46:17 53:8 57:7 65:23 70:1 88:24 123:18 additionally 49:6 additions 6:2,5 address 16:1 17:22 19:13 21:2,9 25:8
7 61:17 127:9 127:12,19 7:14 32:8 7:15 32:11 7:51 59:22 7:52 59:25 8 8 127:10 8.21 129:5 8.22 128:20 129:6 8.23 129:6 8.25 129:6	ability 132:10 133:7 able 13:14 21:7 21:16 35:5 112:6 114:19 119:12 121:23 above 47:6,17 absolute 58:3 113:2 absolutely 45:21 58:11 absorbing 52:21 abutting 44:22	132:9 133:5 accuser 113:12 113:13 acreage 39:4 acres 42:12 act 29:3 acted 18:22 acting 41:14 action 18:23 84:15 129:15 132:12,16 133:8,12 actions 49:18 92:16 activities 32:23	105:8 107:16 addition 26:25 102:25 additional 26:20 46:17 53:8 57:7 65:23 70:1 88:24 123:18 additionally 49:6 additions 6:2,5 address 16:1 17:22 19:13 21:2,9 25:8 35:3 37:5 51:6

addressed 22:9	advance 21:6	54:10 55:17	amendments
37:21 102:13	adversarial	56:6 61:7 66:6	99:19 102:6
102:14	72:13	66:6,7,19	amenity 49:10
addressing	adverse 41:17	68:15,16,18,22	amount 12:22
15:24	adversely	69:4 95:15	62:8,12 65:3,8
adds 111:12	25:19 27:8	96:7	amounts
adequate 12:13	aerial 42:18	albeit 94:19	107:15
adjacent 30:10	54:13	algos 41:14	anecdotal
36:11	aesthetic 67:7	align 107:1	48:14
adjoining	affected 25:19	allow 20:12	answer 60:6
73:12 75:2	afterward	54:21 98:15	61:19 65:15
adjust 97:15	101:4	113:6 114:10	85:13,23 113:4
administer	agenda 6:3,6	114:11	answered
7:15 106:11	18:7 105:18	allowed 17:20	78:25
administered	106:20	39:18 82:10	answers 61:23
104:11	ago 6:10 32:18	85:17,22 88:24	anterior 44:19
administering	88:8	91:21 111:13	anticipate
104:2,7,13,18	agree 14:16	allowing 46:8	65:15
105:21	62:2 68:9 69:1	allows 43:7	anybody 37:9
administration	112:14,16,18	84:9	46:7 65:19
115:14,18	125:8	alteration 62:4	78:13,17 84:20
administrative	agreed 50:2	alterations	95:8 96:18
43:6 113:3	68:5 76:7	57:20	97:18 103:9
administrator	agreeing 62:10	altogether	112:14 130:5
119:17 124:24	agreement 13:9	119:2	131:2
admission 14:8	58:12 91:23	ambiguity	anybody's 13:4
44:24	103:9 125:11	49:13,15	21:5 88:16
admit 11:16	ahead 6:17,18	amend 84:10	anymore
41:3 86:24	46:11 64:4	86:6,7 127:14	127:16
106:11	79:5 99:1	128:1,5	anyway 65:24
admitted 11:14	120:5	amended 8:6,7	97:16 115:8
40:24 107:22	aimed 79:6	8:22 99:16	anyways
108:16	air 89:17	129:21	111:10
adopted 30:15	albany 2:6	amendment	apart 58:19
	25:25 26:17	102:5	
		·	1

www.veritext.com

apartment 33:8	84:10,24 85:12	applicants	approving
apologize 21:6	86:11,21 88:18	71:17 103:18	99:10
27:16	89:23 90:1	application	approximately
app 29:23,24	93:4 115:15	23:2 40:17	42:12
appeal 5:4,5	119:25 126:15	42:6 43:13,16	arbitrary 50:9
10:1,21 17:19	128:5	44:7 47:20	architectural
19:24,25 23:3	appellant's	49:19 50:6,25	67:11,14,24
24:18 29:22	74:13	62:1 63:15	68:10 96:25
32:3 41:18	appellants	65:25 68:20	architecturally
43:4 65:21,22	27:17 39:16	72:8,14 83:9	27:4
70:17,18 79:9	77:25 79:25	applications	architecture
79:12 80:9	87:14	18:21 72:13	27:3 67:15
87:13 90:14,21	appellate	apply 42:4	93:19 94:3
96:15 108:19	116:17	50:17	area 21:12 22:8
108:20 125:21	appellee 1:9	appreciate 46:5	23:20 24:9
appealing	2:15 3:2 5:12	95:22	25:22 26:7
68:11	13:25 18:1	approached	27:7 30:19
appeals 1:1,15	27:18 39:19	96:10	31:9,9,21
2:18 3:5 5:8	71:13 80:17,22	appropriate	33:18,20 40:11
15:4,22 17:12	83:5 84:9,25	13:7 15:7 77:3	45:16,23,24
18:15 46:21	85:13 86:20	115:22	48:22 56:16
47:11 48:12	89:12 119:25	approval 6:7	61:9 68:11
104:2 129:11	126:14	62:23 63:16	69:4 73:6,15
appear 69:7	appellee's	65:17 71:6	73:21 74:1,24
appearance	125:7	83:8 104:18	75:19,22 76:2
93:19	appellees 78:2	approve 6:19	76:4,7,8 90:4,6
appears 71:24	applicable 44:5	6:21 43:13	90:9,21 92:12
appellant 1:5	44:15,25	50:24 58:19	92:24 93:10
2:2 5:3 13:25	applicant 20:4	63:17 72:18	95:4
14:4,5,7 17:25	40:8 44:11	103:23 130:8	area's 49:7
18:15 35:15	49:16,24 58:2	approved	56:17
37:14 39:18,24	77:11,11	21:11 43:17	areas 36:17
46:6 72:17	applicant's	52:7 58:4,22	59:6 60:15
73:7 79:8 80:9	49:16 50:10	67:20 70:14	argue 71:16
80:16 83:17		76:20 77:2	72:10 80:7

	T		
argued 72:17	association	84:12 85:7	beautiful 26:21
argues 73:7	25:15	86:20,21 88:7	38:23
argument	attached 5:9	98:23 106:2	beauty 42:25
46:13 76:11	attachment	109:5 110:15	beckmann 3:11
arguments	48:10	122:15 124:5	7:6,7 17:7,8
47:10	attention 55:13	background	57:17 61:22
arizona 28:2	64:2	51:17	62:17,21,24
array 42:21	attorney 7:15	backs 28:25	63:3,11 64:6
49:1	14:16 40:7	backward	88:3,4 90:2,3
arterial 45:10	50:14 101:25	57:13	98:6,7 121:3,6
article 102:7,15	106:11,14	backyard	121:18 124:5,8
102:17 103:11	132:14 133:10	50:20	125:8 130:12
103:12,20	attorneys 41:22	bank 42:24	130:17,18
106:21 127:9	126:5	banks 26:25	beginning 38:8
127:10,11,12	audience 13:20	94:24	91:23
127:13 129:4,8	46:10	barn 27:2	behalf 2:2,15
129:9	audio 132:8	42:25 43:1	3:2 14:3,4,14
articles 101:16	133:3	75:2 94:3,4,4	39:9 40:7
102:2	authority 79:10	94:25	44:10 51:12
articulated	102:13	base 48:16	72:4
43:4,11	authorized	based 36:23	belief 21:24
articulation	68:20	41:10 53:23	84:12 93:1
67:25	auto 36:16	55:5 56:3,11	believe 14:1
asked 65:16	available 30:25	65:14 78:11	15:2,12 18:20
70:9 86:17	31:6 61:12,13	79:17,18 80:5	36:1 57:18
89:21 90:10	avenue 121:4	97:6 115:8	68:5 72:25
94:12 101:3	awnings 67:19	basic 24:20	73:9,18 78:5
asking 69:10	b	113:20	85:12 90:19
assessments	b 5:1	basically 57:9	95:25 99:4
21:14,14,23	babysitting	101:22	believer 96:13
asset 95:12	32:23	basis 41:23	believes 9:2
assistant 14:16	back 31:25	50:7 62:18,20	74:10
associated	32:10 33:10	73:15 83:8	belong 39:9
22:11	59:24 67:16	beat 88:17	75:19 102:1
	70:21 77:11		
	,==		

benchmarks	115:13	102:23	bryson 3:12 7:8
122:14	blackford's	boards 12:19	7:9 12:3,5,11
benefit 45:24	124:25	101:21 103:2	14:6 16:12,12
81:17	blacklick 90:5	129:13	16:24,25 56:25
benefits 115:5	blatant 48:6	bob 66:11	57:8,12,15
best 14:21 21:6	blend 67:20	body 13:5	65:20 66:4
31:15 41:22	board 1:1,15	41:14,15 84:14	69:18 70:3
99:11 132:9	2:18 3:5 5:7	bordered 42:12	77:24 78:24
133:6	6:16 8:16,24	bottles 24:6	79:23 80:12
beth 4:7 29:12	9:2 14:25 15:1	bound 54:18	86:23 87:11,20
29:13	16:5 17:11	box 2:11 30:15	87:21 88:15
better 100:16	18:6,17 31:16	36:16	90:22,23 97:25
113:23 127:18	31:18 41:18	braun 4:11	98:1 104:19
129:2,3	42:3,3 43:4	37:15,15,16,17	108:12 109:3
beverage 35:17	48:16,24 50:17	break 98:15	110:22 111:1,6
beverages	50:23 52:14	brenda 4:12	112:16,18,21
35:22	54:15 55:12	38:2,17	116:16,22
beyond 69:10	56:24 69:17	brick 67:15	120:7,10,12
bicyclers 26:20	72:13 75:6	93:18,22 94:14	123:16,23
big 30:15 35:7	76:17 78:5	bring 8:11	126:18 127:2
36:16 39:4	79:7 80:14	24:10,11 29:23	128:8,12
107:25	81:6 82:5 83:2	62:13 66:8	130:19,20
biggest 93:13	84:3,17 85:18	116:23 119:18	buchanan 4:5
bikes 25:25	85:25 86:1,5,6	122:15 127:5	24:24 25:1,3,9
bit 13:16 25:5	86:18 88:25	bringing	25:9,13 27:20
54:7 68:8,10	102:16,18,21	126:19	build 33:1
98:16,17	104:3 106:18	brings 129:19	buildable 31:3
101:14 106:19	107:7,10,11,12	brought 11:8	building 1:1
bite 53:20	108:1,24	23:9 70:21	2:17 3:4 5:7
blackford 3:16	109:19,20,20	101:18	17:12 31:4
18:12,20 19:2	110:1,4,4	bruckelmeyer	38:24 40:12
19:5,7,9 70:19	125:21 129:13	4:14 62:5,6,19	69:6 70:2
71:23 72:2	129:24	62:22 63:1,5	93:18,22,24
74:8 76:19	board's 39:24	64:9	94:8
77:15 93:17	44:4 55:12		

building's 68:1	8:5 15:25 84:6	carryout 24:6	chairman 1:14
buildings 66:10	94:15 99:2	cars 78:7	6:2,4,23,25
66:16 94:2	118:18 125:6	cart 64:4	7:11,12 8:2
built 58:24	130:9 131:3	case 1:6 5:5	12:4 13:9
bulk 24:1	c	9:25 11:23	14:13,24 15:9
bumps 68:2	c 2:1 3:1 4:1 6:1	17:23 46:15	15:17 16:3,19
burden 80:9	20:3	47:8,9 72:14	16:21 17:4,9
83:17	call 6:25 9:20	78:4 85:4,12	19:23 20:17,19
burger 66:11	16:23 37:9	95:24	23:5,8,11
66:17 69:5	51:3 82:1	cause 33:9	24:23 25:2,7
busier 97:9,10	83:21 85:18	center 35:8	25:12 27:16
97:10,10	87:19 89:1	43:2	28:9 29:11
business 27:15	94:3 96:18	certain 12:21	32:5,12 33:22
28:6 36:23	97:20,24	54:19 85:7	34:1,4,25 37:6
37:4 58:9	115:19 116:4	102:1,2 113:11	37:25 38:4
59:11 73:25	130:1,14 131:1	certainly 13:12	39:11,22 40:7
75:19 76:5	called 21:1	14:10 39:3	40:14,21 41:4
81:15 90:8,9	55:17 92:7	41:18 48:24	41:5 46:4,12
91:17 92:10	94:4 117:2	84:19 92:16	51:1,5,9 56:23
99:1 103:22	canopies 75:4	96:9 103:11	58:3 59:18
131:1	canopics 73.4 canopy 73:24	certificate	60:1,5 64:10
businesses	75:11 76:1	132:1 133:1	65:2,18 66:5
24:11 26:24	94:13	certified 51:18	67:22 68:3,12
27:6,7 28:4	cans 24:6	certify 132:3	68:13,21 69:16
31:10 36:20	cans 24.0 capable 23:21	133:2	70:6 71:1,4,9
42:21 49:1	capacity 12:25	cetera 28:22	71:11 76:16
60:17 73:14,21	60:18	67:12	79:2,5 80:13
73:23 74:1,25	car 24:5 78:21	chain 30:16	80:21 83:15
busy 34:19	care 45:3 91:7	chair 3:15	84:2 85:15,17
butts 24:5,12	125:18	34:16 103:16	86:8,9,13,15
bystander 29:9	career 51:21	105:11,13,13	87:4,9,16,18,25
bza 1:7 5:5	carpenter	105:20 106:9	88:7,20,22
19:24	51:11,19 95:22	107:8,19	89:5,7,9 90:2
bzba 3:11,12	carried 40:17	108:14,15	90:22 93:5
3:13,14 6:22	Carricu 40.17	109:17 118:9	97:18,23 98:9

00 14 07 00 17	04 12 12 00 14	• 0.10	1 '6 7 05
98:14,25 99:17	94:13,13 99:14	cingram 2:13	clarify 7:25
99:20,25 100:2	123:9	circle 26:9	105:17
100:5,14,25	changed 11:7	34:17 75:25	clarifying 87:7
102:24 103:5,8	106:5 107:14	circles 26:19	clean 100:7
103:19 104:25	changes 6:3	cited 36:25	101:14
105:3,14,23,25	63:6 67:13	78:5	clear 15:13
106:6,9 108:6	68:10 71:21	citing 36:21	53:12 86:23
108:9 109:1	74:18 77:12	citizen 13:5	105:4 107:24
110:14,17,21	100:19 101:3	94:15	108:21 126:24
112:1,5,9,17,19	101:19,20	citizens 20:21	clearly 121:7
114:16 116:1,7	102:4	96:10	clerk 3:19 7:1
116:10 118:5	changing 67:4	city 1:2,7 2:15	14:18 20:22
118:25 121:11	106:19 123:10	2:17 3:4 7:15	37:11 92:18
121:15,22,25	123:13,14	13:5 14:16	97:24 101:19
122:3,13 123:1	character	30:24 38:22	102:18,21
123:6 124:10	48:21,25 73:5	40:2,12 44:11	103:17 129:14
125:1,3,12	74:16,25 75:7	44:24 45:2	clerk's 101:17
126:14,25	75:9,12 82:17	48:1 50:12	103:1 129:16
127:6 128:14	82:18,20 92:5	52:5 54:2	client 51:13
128:18,20,22	92:22	57:24 58:16,17	clock 76:6
128:24 129:7	chase 54:10	62:1,17 63:22	close 22:1 27:7
129:20,25	55:17 56:6	64:8,12 71:17	27:18 28:22
130:3,5,11,13	cheese 30:1	72:5 73:11	31:25 32:19
130:22,25	chief 40:12	76:25 77:1,5	36:8 39:13
chairmen	childcare 35:8	77:15,18,21	73:17 75:25
105:12	children 24:14	84:14 106:11	131:3
chairperson	24:16,22 25:24	106:14 115:13	closed 81:19
105:11	choice 33:19	119:17 124:24	131:2
chairs 105:4	84:18	city's 44:2,13	closeness 28:16
challenging	choices 86:3	45:6 47:14	closer 51:21
34:13	choose 112:10	50:2	closes 73:16,20
chance 11:22	chris 14:2	claimed 116:17	code 42:5 43:12
120:13	christopher 2:9	clarification	43:23 44:18,18
change 8:25	cigarette 24:5,9	86:19	44:21 46:24,25
63:3,8 68:1	24:12		47:16,25 48:1

www.veritext.com

49:5,12,14,20	121:18 124:5	88:25 89:8	94:15 97:4
50:1,3,17 82:1	comes 58:12	96:16 97:22	117:13,18
82:25 83:4,4,6	71:22 77:4	116:18 118:5	118:2,8,12,19
91:12 102:14	80:15 82:21	118:13,18	119:3,7 120:17
cokes 30:1	112:24 114:3	119:2,16	122:6
collect 23:22	comfortable	commercial	commission's
collingville	108:14	20:4 22:16,18	49:18 50:24
21:9 32:15	coming 29:2	22:20 36:5,7,9	commissions
33:8 37:17,18	46:20 71:18	36:10 37:3	101:21 103:3
collingwood	commence	39:5 42:20,22	committee
21:12 22:2	39:23 105:21	43:20 45:11,14	67:10,21
23:13 25:10,14	106:10	46:23 49:2	common 35:13
28:12 29:14,15	comment 8:9	55:7,8 56:17	41:19 46:13
35:3 37:18	8:12,13,18,20	56:19 73:13,14	73:10,22 79:13
40:2	9:11,14,16	74:17,18,20,20	commotion
color 67:23	11:5,10 12:16	95:4	24:16
94:14	12:17 16:14	commission 1:7	communities
colorings 67:18	17:20,21 39:13	3:2,15,17,18	30:18
colors 69:6	40:4 89:5 93:6	9:17,22 10:3,6	community
columbus 2:12	93:17 95:6,9	10:10,22 14:14	23:16,17 24:17
21:9 24:9	106:20 107:16	18:14,17,18	24:21 29:15
25:10 30:6	111:12 112:25	19:25 23:2	31:1,24 34:23
31:24 37:17	113:7,24 114:4	26:22 43:13	45:11,15 49:10
40:3,13 55:6	114:8,10,13,22	52:10 53:7	91:10 121:8
93:8 117:17,17	115:8 116:12	57:1 58:15,18	company 68:16
117:21,25	117:8,12 118:3	62:14 64:14,25	68:18,22
combing 129:2	118:25 119:10	65:23 70:8,21	comparing
come 12:12	120:10 124:20	70:22,25 71:7	66:12
19:12 20:13	124:23 125:9	71:18,22,24	compatible
21:1 27:18	127:16	72:2,10,12,15	75:21
37:8,9 38:4	commentary	72:18,22 74:4	competence
79:1 81:11	14:25	75:15,16 76:9	50:18
83:11 91:15	comments 9:18	80:3,6 82:24	competent
99:21 112:7,10	12:6 13:5	83:25 84:13	48:15,16 82:4
114:12 120:13	37:20 77:10	85:7 92:2	82:12
	1	1	

compiles 83:3	conclude 28:5	conflict 9:3	considers 67:4
complaining	83:2	conform	consistent
117:19	concluded 45:8	101:22	46:20 49:3,4
complement	47:15 131:8	confront	75:13 96:25
36:11	concludes	113:12,15	constitute 74:3
complete 19:12	44:14	congested	constitutional
completely	conclusion	60:13	50:12
80:2 94:8	36:18 76:10,14	congestion 59:4	constrain 80:4
complex 33:3,5	condition 45:3	connect 57:9	construction
33:8,14	45:4,21 48:19	connector 96:8	57:23 62:1
complicated	48:19 58:4	connects 55:15	construed
8:21	73:2,4 80:23	consensus	49:15
complies 45:5	80:24 82:17	123:17	consultants
complying	conditional 5:6	consider 9:13	62:9
78:12	18:22 42:6	9:18 10:18,24	cont'd 3:1
comprised	43:5,14,20,24	11:22 19:25	contact 126:2,8
42:11	50:8,25 58:20	32:2 75:6	126:16
concern 13:10	63:16 72:3,7	76:12 82:10	contained
57:1 81:21	72:15,19 83:9	90:11,12 107:7	107:7 108:1
concerned	91:13,20 93:2	108:1 117:21	containers 24:6
21:10 32:17	conditionally	consideration	contains 31:9
49:17 75:24	81:7	34:24 39:24	contest 78:14
95:24 96:2	conditions	44:4 64:23	contesting
concerning	21:24 43:9,11	78:1 79:17	72:20 74:23
8:15 42:6	43:14,16,17	84:15,18 90:12	contiguous
80:25 82:5,13	47:5 49:11,22	98:11 118:24	25:15
concerns 22:11	50:3,5 72:19	considerations	continue 20:23
33:21 34:11	72:22,24 77:16	30:21 64:3	41:24 52:20
46:2,13 47:3,3	condo 33:13	considered	continuing
47:12 51:2	condominium	8:20 23:3 31:4	11:22 35:4
56:5,13 63:23	25:14	69:8 72:16	56:17
81:21 82:9	condos 34:6	74:10 76:9,13	contract 57:23
93:14 96:8	confirm 76:18	83:13 108:22	60:25
concessions	conflating 81:5	considering	contrary 82:20
69:9		10:25,25 74:5	83:5

contrast 27:5	council 3:19	36:22 48:5,10	damages 50:14
control 56:9	14:18 101:18	81:23	dan 96:23
92:17	102:20 125:10	crime's 113:17	dangers 81:14
convenience	129:14	crimes 27:13	data 53:11
23:18 35:10	counsel 12:21	27:25 35:11	date 1:12 99:3
53:18 91:18	41:24 46:6	criminal 92:16	102:5
convenient	56:24 132:10	criteria 21:19	dated 17:12
27:24	132:13 133:7	45:1 49:21	130:9
conversation	133:10	50:1 72:2	dates 106:18
106:23	count 29:6	74:11 75:17	daughter 29:19
corner 35:18	35:23 113:22	76:10,24 78:11	daughters
61:11 95:14,19	counter 78:17	78:12 93:2	32:19
corner's 94:2	counting	cross 14:9,10	david 4:8,14
correct 12:5	113:24	112:25 113:6,8	32:13,15 62:6
19:2,9 20:12	county 41:19	114:11 116:4	day 13:12,12
52:7 53:5,9	couple 59:2	116:14,19	26:3 28:4,25
56:1,2 57:9	77:24 86:17	119:12	81:13 90:20
66:1,3 70:5	96:19	crosswalks	95:5 114:14
71:8 76:10	couples 25:24	26:18	129:22
79:10,16,20	course 68:23	crying 119:22	days 8:14
84:22 90:17,17	court 41:19	cu 5:6 20:1	111:21 120:1
106:8,14	43:5 46:21	curious 64:7	de 9:20 12:18
118:14 128:21	47:11 48:12	current 20:3	41:7,10,12
corrections 6:3	79:10,12	37:3 75:9 83:4	80:2
6:5	116:20 117:3,3	100:3 102:11	deal 72:25
correctly 21:7	courtesy 46:10	customers	dealing 73:2
44:14 57:6	cover 102:4	24:10	dealt 63:20
80:8 88:13	crazy 34:17	cut 96:5	85:8,9
104:7 108:21	create 63:19	cutting 96:5	debatable
corresponden	credible 48:15	cx 4:16	89:17
118:10	48:17 78:6	d	debate 99:15
corridor 54:6	credit 101:2	d 6:1 105:8,9	debbie 3:14
61:12	crime 27:13,23	105:19	decide 9:10,25
corrugated	28:3 30:9	daily 41:23	10:12 12:1
94:5	33:12,14 35:10	11.23	70:13 79:7

83:23 89:22,24	deny 23:2 32:3	destroy 23:17	56:14,19 58:22
99:13 102:5	50:8 83:8 95:7	details 63:10	58:22,25 65:24
126:18	department	deter 27:12	66:7 70:12
decided 10:11	44:2,14 69:20	determination	73:5 74:6
10:17 11:19	77:8	9:8 10:4 12:23	75:21 83:12
18:14 80:6	depending	16:15 74:7	92:6 125:3,5
decision 16:6	23:24 97:15	determinations	developments
41:2 48:16	114:8 126:9	65:23	30:21 36:10
50:24 58:19	depends 125:23	determine 8:17	52:16 55:21
63:15 72:10	depletory	9:21,24 10:19	94:22
80:2,5 115:7	30:12	11:3,14	difference
123:24 124:9	deposition	determined	52:25 94:9
124:11,13	132:1	89:18 103:16	different 27:22
127:1 129:14	describe 51:16	108:21	52:17 67:18
decrease 26:8	104:14 105:20	determining	71:19 76:1,6
deem 11:11	106:10	12:14 74:2	99:14 101:24
21:3	description 5:2	deterrent 31:8	107:21 114:7
deep 89:22	5:11	detrimental	119:6
defer 41:22	design 18:23	95:20	difficult 33:2,5
65:19	44:11 45:1	develop 33:1	34:18 85:3
deference	49:25 65:24	developed 26:5	105:10 114:18
64:11 95:9	66:10,18 67:9	45:14 55:8	digital 132:8
definitely 16:1	67:20,24 69:3	development	133:3
26:10 122:25	71:3 82:19,21	21:10,20 22:5	diligence 63:22
definition 37:2	82:25 83:4	22:16,21 25:20	65:3 67:8
degree 61:24	93:16,23 96:22	26:6 27:5	diligent 44:10
delay 50:14	97:1	29:16 35:16	direct 45:21
deleted 8:24	designed 44:15	36:12 37:23	50:18 51:14
delivered 12:7	47:16 83:3	42:17 44:1,5	81:12 82:6
12:7	designee	44:15,19 45:2	125:9,22 126:1
delivers 112:24	106:14	45:12 47:6	directed 115:10
denial 19:25	desires 31:1,23	48:9,22 49:3,6	119:17 124:23
50:9	despite 50:5	49:8,25 52:19	direction 13:23
denied 23:4	destination	53:14,25 54:11	35:21
29:22 50:6	52:23	54:21 55:4,22	

directions	disdain 90:14	door 35:8	early 44:8
85:21	disgruntled	doubtful 99:6	121:13
directly 37:24	28:21	drew 4:17 51:4	easier 111:6
73:12	dispatch 35:12	51:10	easiest 100:15
director 3:16	disposition	drink 24:6	easily 42:9
71:23 74:9	129:11	drive 22:17	49:22
125:1,2,22	dispositions	36:15 43:22	east 2:11 14:2
disagree 92:1	84:7	54:10 55:17	26:9,21 36:1
93:21 94:9	dispute 43:25	drivers 26:10	eastbound
disagreement	disruption	drives 54:5	28:25
87:4	24:21	driveway 22:2	eastern 54:20
discharging	distillery 27:1	driving 28:2	eat 53:21
42:4	42:24	53:15,18	eating 43:21
discouraged	distinction	dublin 36:1	echo 34:10
36:17	73:25	due 42:14	edge 54:20
discretion	distinguish	50:11 65:3	edition 102:11
43:15	30:17	67:8	edye 4:5 25:1,2
discrimination	district 22:16	duly 132:5	25:9
48:6	36:7 42:20	dumpster 72:6	effect 26:7
discriminatory	43:21	duties 101:19	45:22 81:2
48:6	districts 22:19	102:18 103:1	84:21
discuss 16:8	42:22	duty 42:4 50:16	effects 21:11,16
111:11 124:21	document	77:19	22:8,10 73:3,8
discussed 36:18	27:22 36:6	dx 4:16	74:3,6,8,13
58:14 127:9	106:4 111:4,9	e	75:23 80:25
128:6	111:9	e 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1	82:13 83:19,22
discussing	dogs 26:1	5:1 6:1,1	83:25 89:15
106:4 120:15	doing 20:23	earlier 39:16	92:4,11
discussion	34:14 67:14,16	42:2 51:7 79:9	effort 15:6
16:22 70:14	77:20 81:21	80:14 89:21	egress 56:7
71:9 85:23	97:5 103:23	93:7 94:13	75:25
86:5 88:22	120:21,24	95:6,13 106:22	eight 40:10
89:7 127:13,15	donald 3:13	106:24 116:14	42:17 71:19
127:24 128:1,2	donatos 42:24	128:4	eisen 1:14 7:10
130:13	73:19 94:25	120.4	17:3 87:24
TT 1 10 1 2			

00.0 120.21	4 ² 11	4I 50-21	a4 20.22 (7.11
98:8 130:21	emotionally	entirely 50:21	et 28:22 67:11
either 10:5 11:5	120:12	81:24	evans 66:11
11:9,21 12:21	emphasize 35:6	entities 71:20	evd 5:2,11
35:21 81:22	employed	entitled 90:24	evening 7:14,16
85:2 91:5	132:11,14	entrance 29:1,2	18:10 19:15,24
94:14,20 113:2	133:8,11	entrances	23:6 29:12
121:22 125:18	employee 84:14	94:21	37:16 40:6
126:2,8 127:4	132:13 133:10	environment	42:1 46:5 51:7
elected 127:5	employees	30:12	62:5 70:13
elections 6:13	36:14	equal 18:2	130:7 131:1
element 81:23	emporium	50:11	evening's 88:14
elevate 47:2	42:25	errin 4:13	events 32:22
elevation 68:8	encourages	60:21	eventually
69:19,21,21,22	22:19	es 4:16 132:4	64:25
elevations 70:1	endeavor 26:19	escalate 47:2	everybody
eleven 94:24	ends 110:12	escape 33:14	11:17 27:17
elmira 95:17	engineer 62:20	especially	56:16 71:21
email 29:17	78:9,14	12:18 29:8	77:15 99:3
116:2 125:21	engineered	33:3 35:11	123:24
emails 7:25	63:14	120:16	everybody's
8:15,17,18	engineering	esquire 2:3,9	90:24
9:10 11:4,7	52:5 58:23,23	2:16 3:3	everyday 36:13
12:2,12 13:8	61:24 62:9,13	essential 35:16	everyone's
13:15 14:1,4,5	63:6,10 77:6	36:2	97:21
14:8,17 15:3	engineers	essentially 53:3	evidence 8:22
15:15,21 16:11	44:12 63:18	81:20	9:1,4,24 10:25
16:13 101:14	enhance 22:23	established	14:11 16:13
111:14,16,18	enter 110:5	91:24	40:18 42:5
111:24 112:3	entered 107:9	establishing	45:22 48:8,15
112:15 115:9	107:12,19	106:17	48:17 50:18
115:10 119:16	109:18,21	establishment	78:6,8,22,23
125:17	110:7	92:22	80:10 81:1,12
emotion 119:21	entering 7:20	establishments	82:4,7,12
emotional	entire 22:8 25:4	30:16	86:25 87:3,12
114:23			88:6 97:6

[evidence - feel] Page 15

107:7 108:1	excuse 31:11	expressly 43:8	112:13 123:1
113:22,25	46:4 60:1	43:22	fairly 45:8
119:10,11	exhibit 5:4,5,9	extended 54:7	fairness 13:6
120:1	40:19,19 88:5	54:24	113:21 114:11
evidenced	88:5 107:12,22	extension 54:3	fall 95:2
65:16	109:21 110:6	54:14,16 55:3	falling 57:23
evidentiary	127:23	55:9	95:4
41:9	exhibits 40:15	extent 49:13	falls 74:3
exact 47:10	107:8,19	69:2,2,8	familiar 25:17
48:13	109:18	exterior 67:7	76:4 78:4
exactly 25:18	exist 8:10	67:23	family 29:20
35:8 41:11	existence 92:9	extra 33:6	30:4 31:23,23
57:14 61:23	existing 36:20	extracurricular	faneuil 38:18
85:3 126:22	47:5 48:21	32:23	far 66:10 72:5
examination	52:21 53:3	eyesore 33:17	90:25 93:10
51:14 112:25	54:4 57:10,20	49:9	94:17 100:22
113:6 116:15	73:4,14,25	f	faster 109:25
119:12	74:16,25 75:7	facade 67:23	favor 49:15
examine 14:9	75:21 82:18	facilities 43:22	76:22 84:9,10
14:11 116:19	89:16	facility 93:20	84:24,25 85:1
examined	exit 52:20	95:19	88:17 89:25
113:8 114:11	expense 58:2	fact 8:21 10:24	93:3 118:10
116:4	experience	27:13 35:24	129:22
example 44:18	26:16 56:3,11	45:17 49:23	fbi 27:23 114:4
65:10,12	63:12 65:14	60:12 69:9	fear 26:13
exceed 44:15	117:5	81:4	92:14
47:23	expert 82:6,7	factors 72:16	fears 48:7,13
exceeds 44:17	explain 17:16	74:3 76:13	81:24
49:25	52:14 66:9	facts 10:8,20,20	features 27:3
excellent 15:17	explained 6:17	10:24 11:12	federal 78:11
64:11 71:11	explaining 41:7	41:10 90:12	feel 23:21 27:4
except 40:4	explanation	fair 11:15,17	60:23 75:20
102:8 106:22	90:24	11:22 13:17	85:8 92:25
127:12,14	expose 50:12	27:17 53:2,7	94:7 115:20
128:1		53:17 55:23	123:17,25,25
		33.11 33.23	

[feel - front] Page 16

124:16,16,17	84:24,25 85:1	focus 31:8	formatting
124:17,17,19	85:2 105:10	fodor 66:8	101:15
124:21,23	finding 41:17	folks 14:10	forming 41:13
127:3	88:17 122:10	52:18 53:15	forth 8:23 9:2
feelings 90:7	fine 104:24,25	56:8	49:12 50:1
feels 120:12	124:8	follow 96:19	71:25 72:14
fees 50:14	finish 27:21	123:20	74:9 77:14
feet 30:4	28:7	followed 18:1	forward 6:7
fellow 84:17	finished 35:5	following 30:8	11:20 15:19,21
felt 121:15	finishes 67:6	follows 7:22	16:2 17:16
127:20 128:2	fire 77:7	82:2	40:17 80:18
fence 28:17	first 8:4,16	food 24:6 35:16	88:9 101:18
ferris 4:3 21:4	11:3,6,8 12:1	35:22 45:23	found 72:22
21:8,8	17:20 20:20	46:24 81:7,16	94:20
figliola 4:4 23:7	24:25 35:6	82:15 95:12	four 18:21
23:7,8,10,12,12	40:14 43:19	foods 91:18	43:14,16 49:11
figure 36:3	45:3 54:14	foot 27:10	49:22 50:3,5
112:22 123:20	60:25 72:25	73:24 75:3	72:19,21,24
file 32:7 59:16	82:21 85:19	footcandle	76:25 91:22,25
68:20	89:13 91:24	47:24	93:14 96:13
filed 44:7	102:4 107:3	forced 90:21	103:14
fill 20:10,14	116:6 117:7,11	foregoing	fourth 48:18,19
37:10	124:2,20,21	132:3,4 133:4	73:3 74:15
filled 20:7	127:17	forever 90:6	75:17 80:23
24:17 37:7	fit 23:2 31:15	forget 104:20	82:17
final 18:23	34:23 93:24	fork 43:1	frame 85:20
48:19 62:20	fits 78:16	form 20:7,10	franklin 41:19
63:9,13 65:24	fitting 76:11	20:14 37:7,10	frankly 46:2
83:12 89:1	five 18:3,4 30:2	formal 7:13	47:13 48:5
finally 36:3	31:13 39:19	129:25 130:2	49:18
44:25 82:16	80:16,17 98:19	formally 15:10	freedom 122:5
financially	124:13,19	15:15 39:13	friends 28:7
132:15 133:11	fix 16:17	format 35:12	front 9:17
find 10:11	floorplan 67:5	120:14	10:25 18:17
12:20 84:9,10			55:15,17 65:8

[front - going] Page 17

70:22,24	66:6 72:9	gateways 30:18	giving 27:3
frontage 55:14	83:20,21 93:9	30:22	glad 23:1
frozen 30:1	95:16 96:20,23	gay 2:11 14:2	121:12
frustration	117:24	geared 83:11	glasses 23:9
115:6	gahanna's	general 35:11	glen 25:25 96:7
fuel 35:16	30:22 37:1	47:3 63:6	go 11:20,25
81:16 95:12	43:10 52:5	101:5	13:22 15:19
full 62:10 91:17	gahanna.gov	generally 23:23	16:2 32:6,8
functions 67:6	2:21 3:8	52:18 117:24	46:11 58:5,23
further 11:25	garbage 23:23	generate 52:16	59:21 63:18
16:8,16,22	garden 43:2	53:8	64:22 67:9
39:12 54:7,24	gas 21:15 22:7	gentleman	68:18 70:10,14
56:22 70:10	22:12,17,24	48:23	71:7 79:5,12
84:14 89:23	23:18 24:3,8	gentlemen	84:4 87:10
91:8 132:12	24:13,15,20	50:16	89:1,22 90:25
133:9	27:10 29:7	geographical	91:6 92:3
future 15:21	32:25 33:4,12	25:22	95:15,15,16
22:6 48:5	33:15 34:15,19	getting 12:25	96:4 98:20
81:23	35:7,10,20	13:1 20:23	99:12 101:7,13
g	36:16 37:24	37:8 64:4	102:3,8,10
5 4 400 07	39:4 45:16,17	69:14 71:2	107:25 117:3
g 6:1 102:25 106:17,17	46:24 50:7	95:20 109:25	117:25 118:2
gahanna 1:2,4	53:19,20 71:16	115:14 122:18	121:8 123:3,19
1:8,15,17 2:2	74:23 75:3,10	gist 69:14	124:18 126:3
2:15,17,20 3:4	75:20 81:6,18	give 8:3 11:11	131:5
3:7 5:6 18:23	83:24 91:18	11:22 17:21	goddard 22:3
20:4 21:18	95:8,13,17,18	37:10 46:10	24:14 30:10
22:14 23:1	96:4	101:1 113:25	43:1 55:16,21
29:22 30:6,14	gasoline 43:21	114:5,7 120:13	55:22 73:16
30:17 31:23	45:23 48:4	given 51:2	93:20,24 94:7
32:20 35:4	51:25 53:7,12	56:15 60:11	96:3
36:3 38:20	82:14	61:6 65:8	goes 23:14 69:4
39:7,8 40:3	gate 29:4	84:13 90:10	77:10 114:20
43:1 55:6	gateway 22:22	114:2 120:5	going 7:13,14
60:22 62:7	30:20 31:8		7:20 9:9,9

			,
10:19 11:3,16	41:7 42:1 58:8	105:16 113:22	117:4
12:2 15:14,21	61:16 62:5	116:6 117:9	happy 61:19
15:24 17:15	79:22 86:1	122:3 125:19	hard 54:17
20:5 23:20	98:16 106:15	guys 15:14	harmonious
24:1 31:5 32:8	111:10,17,25	102:5 112:3	66:21 94:8
34:20 35:7	123:17 127:1,1	120:23 124:21	96:24
37:8,12,13	goods 92:23	129:22	hate 13:4 88:15
46:9 50:6	gotten 97:9	h	hazardous
59:13,13,17,21	118:18	h 5:1 102:25	26:19
60:2 62:2 63:8	governed 16:17	106:19	head 31:19
64:10,21,22	government	half 25:4 30:2	103:6
66:9 68:1,4	2:17 3:4	32:18 120:19	heading 54:18
70:7 72:24	grading 62:15	hall 38:18	hear 14:21
74:14 78:22	graduated	hamilton 1:16	18:18 37:12
81:4 85:18	38:22	2:19 3:6 26:16	72:14 78:6,19
88:16 93:11,11	grandchildren	30:19 35:18,20	81:3
94:18 95:20	32:19,22,24	35:25 95:14	heard 19:1
96:1,6,17 97:2	grant 65:22	hand 19:16	21:14 42:2,19
97:14 98:20,25	granted 86:25	38:11 100:3	46:1 47:4,12
99:5 102:10,16	granville 36:1	handle 13:7	48:3 69:8
103:10,22	grew 90:5	handled 94:19	72:23 73:8
104:9,9,14,20	grilled 29:25	hands 20:9	80:22 81:1,22
106:3 109:10	grounds 26:6	happen 11:4	82:5 87:1
113:17,21,22	group 16:4	29:20 48:14	90:13 93:12
114:5,10,14,18	85:22	62:22 70:15,16	96:10 112:10
115:24 116:3	grow 56:17	70:24 104:9,14	120:13
116:17,18	growing 43:2	117:4 125:14	hearing 1:11
117:4 118:1	growth 56:15	happened 8:4	6:13 7:13,22
119:1,23	grumbling	10:22 81:18	8:8,9 9:9,16,19
120:21,24	121:13	117:15	9:19 11:6,19
121:25 123:4	guess 7:23 9:11	happening	12:7,18 13:15
126:14 131:5	39:7 46:9	26:16	16:2,16 39:14
gold 20:4	60:10 64:19	happens 8:17	39:20 41:7,9
good 16:1	67:3 93:6	81:17 82:14	41:10,12 58:15
37:16 40:6	97:11 104:19	84:8 114:14	69:2 82:8

88:14 93:17	higher 61:9	i	56:18 57:19,25
105:20 106:10	79:9,10,15	idea 94:23	58:18
111:21 120:2	highly 59:7	101:5 112:24	inappropriate
122:19 123:11	60:15 61:8	121:3	76:13
123:14,15	63:13	ideas 58:8	include 9:6,10
129:17	history 8:4	identification	16:5 45:18
hearings 7:21	hmm 38:3	40:20	112:2
16:16 104:3,15	hodge 2:4	identifies 12:8	included 26:23
hears 72:13	hold 31:7 59:18	36:4	26:25 67:10
heavy 39:1	70:19 92:15	identify 13:3	includes 42:24
height 94:13	home 31:20,20	21:1	including 27:17
heights 69:6	37:24 95:21	identity 12:14	27:22 71:3
held 48:13	hometown 27:4	ids 20:2	incoming 15:3
hello 29:13	homework	ignores 35:17	incongruous
32:14	61:18	illegal 26:13	10:4
help 18:9 34:20	honed 75:16	illumination	inconsistency
88:10 96:1	honest 13:17	47:22	97:3
97:15	61:10	image 31:8	inconvenient
helped 79:23	hope 27:12	54:18	12:20
helpful 58:7	33:19 95:18	immediate	increase 26:10
hensley 4:13	125:12	90:20 91:9	33:17 113:17
60:8,21,21	hoping 125:14	impact 36:19	increased 22:4
61:3,5,10,21	horrible 24:2	51:20,24 65:10	30:8,9 36:22
67:8,25 68:4	117:5	impacted 30:7	independent
69:25 70:5	horse 64:5	_	80:3
hereto 132:14	hour 28:4	impacting 27:9 impacts 22:24	indicated 76:3
133:11	34:14,17 73:15	impaired 28:2	indicates 37:1
hi 35:2	73:20 75:10	impeding 55:1	individuals
hide 33:14	76:5,7 78:22		77:1
high 26:25	91:16	important 24:13 41:8	indulge 25:5
27:10 35:10	hours 27:8 95:5		informal
38:23 42:24	huge 33:16	improve 49:7 improvement	129:25
73:24 75:3	hungry 53:20	54:9	information
94:23	husband 29:19	improvements	13:1 36:25
	37:22	53:24 54:1	79:22 120:16

123:18 124:1	intends 14:11	irrelevant	77:17,22 79:4
125:20	intense 45:18	46:15 64:3	79:5,6,14,19,22
informed 32:25	intensity 22:19	isolates 26:20	88:1,2,19,21
33:7,12	36:8	issue 15:11	89:9,10 96:19
ingram 2:9	intentioned	16:10 32:2	98:2,3 99:21
4:18 14:2,2,7	120:8	37:5 48:2 74:5	100:4,10,13,18
39:22 42:1	interest 9:3	74:12,15 80:23	100:21 114:21
46:12 51:15	60:19	85:10 114:19	115:17,21,23
56:21 57:8,14	interested	116:9,24	116:11,13,21
58:14 60:4	132:15 133:12	117:11,18	117:6,9,14
63:11 66:2	international	issues 18:13	119:4,14 120:3
80:20,21 86:9	34:12	36:19 64:21	120:6,9,18
86:14 87:6	interpreting	72:4,6 85:10	121:1,5 123:21
98:12	57:5	it'll 100:14	124:2,6,22
ingress 54:25	interrupt 46:11	item 99:10,11	125:2,5 126:3
75:24	interrupting	99:13,14,15	126:6,11,20,22
inherent 48:10	46:7	105:8 106:17	130:8,15,16
81:14	intersection	106:17,19	jeremy 3:19
initial 63:14	54:11 56:6,9	items 70:7	100:23 101:8
64:3	introduce	76:25 93:14	123:12 125:17
initially 74:3	41:24 59:17	96:13 129:10	jeri 4:4 23:6,12
innocent 29:9	60:6	ix 127:18	joan 32:16
input 124:12	introduced	j	job 1:19 41:7
inserted 127:14	11:10 40:18	j 2:16 3:17	74:2 77:19
inside 67:5	introduction	january 1:12	80:7,8 97:6
installing 56:5	40:9	6:10,20,22	jobs 13:12
institutional	investment	17:12 44:7	77:21
104:21	61:17	102:15 130:10	joggers 26:19
instructed	invitation 10:2	131:3	john 4:6 28:10
58:17	involved 13:6	jensen 3:13	28:11
instructions	117:17	6:21 7:2,3 15:1	johnstown 20:1
84:11 85:8	involves 68:15	15:19 16:20	26:14 30:7
intended 22:13	irregularity	17:1,2 59:2	38:24 39:2,3
36:7	12:25	60:2,9 61:2,4,6	42:13,15 53:16
		61:20 76:18,23	54:3,19 55:1
		01.20 70.10,23	

Veritext Legal Solutions

95:16	60:9,12 64:4	97:15 103:3	49:7,16 73:4
joseph 1:18	86:19 89:11,16	104:16 108:3	74:16,25 75:7
132:2,18	90:17 91:15	109:24 113:3	75:9 81:5
judges 119:5	95:3 101:6	114:3,25 115:3	82:18 89:16
judgment 92:7	103:23 115:1	115:5,12 116:1	91:12,14,21
judicial 41:15	119:6 122:9	117:2,3 119:16	92:21
41:17	kinds 46:14	120:14,22	land's 31:15
judith 4:11	64:2 122:9	121:17 122:5	landowner
37:15	king 66:11,17	122:22 123:8,9	92:15
judy 37:17	69:5	123:10 125:13	landowner's
jump 101:8	knew 118:1	126:9 127:15	50:10
jumped 128:24	knock 96:23	knowing 41:20	landscaping
june 44:8 77:12	97:1	knowledge	44:17 62:16
jurisdiction	know 8:19 9:5	35:13 73:10,22	67:11 70:11
65:6	9:15 10:3,9	104:21 132:9	lane 54:3,4,14
jurisdictions	13:10,11,17,20	133:6	54:22 55:5
122:8	14:22 15:7	known 69:12	language 99:10
k	16:7 21:20	knows 56:16	101:21 102:9
keep 9:6 27:17	25:18 29:19	89:18 114:14	103:2 104:1
95:10 103:5,10	39:1 42:1 46:6	123:4	105:6,10
109:22 113:10	53:13,23 55:20	kroger 95:17	106:19 109:15
117:11	56:16 58:7,21	l	129:12
keeping 22:24	59:4,7 61:12	1 2:9 57:12,13	large 22:5
48:21,24 92:5	61:16 62:2,10	ladder 89:24	30:16 45:14
92:21	63:12,21 64:1	ladies 48:23	94:19
keeps 73:4	64:2 66:16,25	50:16	largely 73:11
74:15 75:7,11	69:11 70:11	lady 23:23	larger 45:15
kelley 4:9 33:23	75:1,6 77:8	112:11	late 81:18
34:5	78:5,10,20	laid 93:2	laurent 4:17
kept 121:21	79:19,25 80:22	land 22:13,14	51:4,8,10,10,16
kidnappers	81:3 82:18	24:12 30:5,14	56:3 58:6 82:6
28:22	85:23 86:16	30:23,25 31:3	95:23
kind 12:18 39:8	89:11 90:4,12	31:12,17 36:4	law 40:10 46:3
41:13 48:13	90:16 91:6	37:1 45:5,9,13	46:15 49:14
54:17 57:12	92:3,19 93:6	45:18 48:21,25	50:8,11 78:5
51,17 57,12		15.10 10.21,25	

[law - lot] Page 22

95:24	79:15 125:14	litigate 41:23	42:15 45:10	
lawn 34:16	liability 50:13	litigation 41:21	46:20 48:9	
lawyer 63:12	life 26:8	littering 92:17	52:24 56:18,20	
lay 39:25 78:1	lifetime 90:4	little 8:4 10:4	58:9,13 59:3	
82:9,10	light 30:9 56:5	13:16 25:5	60:10,12,20	
laying 78:21	95:25 97:14,16	30:17 31:3	61:4 83:24	
layout 63:7	lighting 27:12	54:7 66:20	129:3	
lc 25:25	44:21 47:13,14	67:20 68:6,7	locations 46:24	
lead 41:18 48:4	47:16,20 48:1	68:10 85:3	59:10 61:7	
leads 48:18	62:16 76:1	91:8 95:9	66:15 67:1	
leann 133:2,15	81:22,25 82:2	98:17 101:14	72:6	
learn 97:11	90:16 94:17	106:19	long 91:22	
leave 91:25	lights 27:11	littlest 32:23	122:4	
98:15 104:12	47:23 56:10	live 14:22	look 34:16	
104:17 109:6	73:24 75:4	23:13,16 25:10	43:17 57:3	
110:10 120:24	likely 15:7	28:12 29:14,18	66:7 72:1	
leaving 29:3	89:25	30:4 32:20	74:25 75:2,5	
33:5	likewise 22:12	34:6 37:17	88:16 92:3	
leery 13:16	22:21 43:25	38:18 78:6	99:7 100:9	
left 33:2 54:2,4	44:21	83:20,20 90:25	looked 15:3	
54:14,22,25	limit 36:20	91:2,8 93:10	20:8 38:25	
55:5 56:8 89:2	111:20	97:11	66:15,24 119:4	
100:2 107:25	limited 8:13	lived 38:20	looking 65:21	
lefthand 26:13	9:12 30:18	91:1 97:3,9	92:8,9 111:7	
55:25	39:5	lives 76:4	124:11 127:23	
leg 55:17	line 28:17	living 34:12	looks 38:1	
legislation	47:24 99:10,11	93:20	54:20 106:15	
41:21	lines 59:15 69:5	llp 2:10	128:14	
legitimate 50:7	list 102:3	loading 65:8	lorne 1:14	
50:21 83:8	listed 25:15	locate 60:11	lost 29:6 31:2	
letter 28:14	listened 15:8	located 20:1	51:22 78:25	
letters 28:14	80:25 127:3	22:22 27:2	lot 13:19 20:8	
letting 115:5	listening 24:22	55:13	60:14 90:13	
level 12:24	33:20 116:24	location 1:15	91:25 92:6,10	
59:11 64:7		25:11 35:19,25	92:13,14 93:7	

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

www.veritext.com

[lot - members] Page 23

93:11 101:13	84:16,17,23,25	marty 51:11,19	measured
111:17	85:1,5 86:16	95:23	47:24
lots 30:16	87:9 88:12	mary 4:7 29:12	measurements
love 29:25,25	101:22 102:5	29:13	78:15
61:11 113:5,6	105:3 108:21	match 68:11	medium 45:14
low 22:19 36:8	109:5 115:7	107:1	meet 63:18
lower 24:10	118:3 123:12	materials 69:6	77:10 80:11
luckily 29:17	123:21 124:7	matt 41:6 126:8	89:13 91:22
m	124:11,13	matter 35:24	102:16 123:4
mad 127:4	129:23 130:6	40:15 46:2,15	meeting 6:8,8,9
made 9:8 26:23	makes 12:17	49:14 53:17	6:14,17,20,22
32:2 33:19	45:11 58:25	70:12 79:14	7:21 15:20
46:6 51:3 69:9	68:24 86:5	82:1 83:17	16:6,8 26:23
84:8,22 85:24	89:20 109:3	89:22 95:23	64:15 65:1
85:25 86:2,11	114:18 120:15	107:11 108:13	70:9 75:15
87:14 93:6,17	123:18	109:19 110:3	88:24 100:3
95:6 105:12	making 12:22	matters 25:3	102:17 103:17
108:17 118:19	49:9 67:13	102:13	106:17 122:9
128:4 130:6	80:5 88:12,13	matthew 3:3	123:5 125:6
magnitude	120:22 124:9	14:15	131:2,3
41:22	mako 67:9,20	mean 13:10	meetings 71:24
main 32:21	manage 27:8	31:14 59:4	meets 44:21
55:16	manner 46:19	61:8 96:20	47:16,24 49:25
major 45:10	map 57:5	97:8 108:12	83:1 93:1,2
majority 53:11	maps 30:20	109:7 111:17	106:18
53:12,13	marchal 4:9	111:23 112:5	member 3:11
107:13 109:21	33:24,25 34:2	112:13,14	3:12,13,14,17
110:7	34:5,6	114:23 115:3,4	3:18 6:15,16
make 7:24 9:18	marked 5:13	116:2,7,23	9:2 17:21
15:5,13 16:4	40:19	121:7 122:17	31:18 64:15
25:21 26:11	market 59:12	means 21:3	85:25 86:1,5,6
33:4 37:8 41:1	61:13 94:2	46:22 74:21	94:15 107:10
67:19 68:6,10	marketed	meant 9:5,7	108:24 109:19
71:5,21 74:14	31:13 42:16	29:23 74:21	members 10:3
77:10,11 84:5			10:10 14:25

	T	I	T
20:6,11 42:3	million 61:17	modified	motions 86:24
46:10 52:14	mind 8:1	102:19	127:25
58:18 84:3,17	113:10 117:12	modifying	motoring 45:25
86:19 88:25	minds 92:1	104:1	motorists 53:3
91:10 92:2	minimum	money 24:19	move 6:7,21
96:17 103:18	47:25	months 49:24	7:13 16:12
106:20	minute 46:4	49:24 63:21,24	17:16,24 18:5
mention 17:18	60:1 121:10	morning 33:11	18:6,8 37:13
61:16	minutes 6:8,9	morrison 60:22	39:15 40:15
mentioned 75:1	6:20,22 8:14	62:6	71:13 80:18
95:11	9:13 10:21	morse 20:1	86:7 87:2,11
merely 63:16	17:20,25,25	22:5 23:19,22	88:9,17 96:17
met 21:24	18:2,3,4 25:6	26:2,12,14	97:12,19 99:1
43:14,16 44:1	39:17,18,19	30:19 33:1,4,8	130:8
45:1 49:12,22	58:16 75:14	34:12 35:18	moved 31:4
50:3,4 72:3,19	80:16,17 98:19	39:1 42:12,15	32:18,21 79:15
72:22 73:1	103:23 104:10	53:15 54:10	127:17 129:5
74:11 76:23	104:10 111:13	55:25 56:6,8	129:10
77:16 96:13	118:6,13	56:10 90:15	movements
metal 94:5,5	122:23 129:16	91:3,4 93:8	101:24
mic 109:25	misheard	95:14 96:5	moves 86:11
michael 2:3	118:16	97:9	moving 95:10
3:15,16,18	mispronounce	motion 6:19	mularksi 12:5
74:8 124:24	21:5 24:25	15:14 16:4,5	19:3
middle 54:22	mispronuncia	16:10,21 17:9	mularski 2:16
127:19	31:12	84:5,7,17,22,24	7:19 8:3 12:10
midnight 94:24	mistake 25:21	84:25 85:1,18	15:9,12,18,23
95:1	mitigate 22:23	85:20,24,25	18:9,11,25
mike 2:7 40:7	mixed 90:7	86:2,6,7,7,16	19:6,8,10,22
126:8	mm 38:3	87:10 88:9,12	20:8,13,18
mile 35:19,21	moderated	88:14 90:23	38:7,10,16
35:23	97:16	108:17 123:22	40:23 41:1
miles 23:19	modifications	124:7 127:14	70:16 71:8,10
30:2,2 34:14	84:11 85:2,4	127:14 128:1,4	79:7,11,16,20
35:24 78:22		128:6 130:3,6	80:1 84:4,23

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

[mularski - nine] Page 25

85:16 86:4,17	murder 28:1	26:10 27:18	neighbors 26:1
86:22 88:11	murdering	28:6 33:13	27:21 31:24
97:19,21 99:12	92:18	37:4 53:19	32:16 34:10
99:18,23 100:1	n	54:6,24 58:21	38:19 75:1
100:7,11,16,19	n 2:1 3:1 4:1,1	65:22 66:8	neither 132:10
100:22 101:1	6:1	86:19,22 91:10	133:7
101:10 102:1	name 17:22	97:19 98:17,19	neon 76:5
104:4,6 105:2	19:13 20:25	101:7 104:20	94:19
105:6,9,15,19	21:2,5,7,8	114:10 126:2	never 72:6
105:24 106:1	24:24,25 25:8	needed 56:19	new 2:6 6:16
106:13,25	32:14 34:5	88:10 106:18	12:22 26:17
107:2 108:7,23	37:16 38:17	128:2	32:7 33:7 42:2
109:4,10,14,16	40:7 51:6 62:6	needing 99:10	44:20 53:8
109:17,24	94:4	needs 8:16 9:8	55:23 59:16,17
110:2,6,9,18,25	name's 35:2	30:21 31:1,22	61:7 66:6,6,7
111:3,8 112:2	names 33.2	36:13 95:12	66:19 68:15,15
112:8,20 113:9	nancy 4:10	negative 30:11	68:17,22 69:4
114:17 115:15	26:1 35:1,2	97:1	95:15 99:1
115:19,22	narrow 42:9	negatively 30:7	102:9 103:12
116:6,9,12	near 22:6 24:14	negotiate 26:10	103:21 106:24
117:7,10,15	24:15 36:1	neighbor 78:20	109:11 110:11
118:11,15,17	nearby 36:12	neighborhood	110:19,23
118:21,23	36:13 73:17	20:3 22:16,18	127:12 129:12
119:1,8,24	76:4 78:6	25:18,22 27:9	129:23
120:4,11,25	81:19	33:16 34:7	newest 26:25
121:12,17,20	necessarily	36:5,7,10,22	news 33:12
122:12,25	66:12 67:5	37:3 42:20,22	35:13
123:2,8 124:14	91:16 124:15	43:20 46:23	nic 1:18 132:2
125:16,23	necessary	49:2 74:20,21	132:18
126:1,4,7,12,16	11:11 55:3	74:22 94:20	nice 46:7
126:21,23	65:8 74:18	neighborhoods	night 18:21
127:7,17 129:1	108:8 114:1	91:7	27:14 81:15,18
129:21 130:2,4	need 15:10,10	neighboring	nine 23:18
multiple 19:21	18:18 23:17	48:25	24:20 51:12
55:24	24:2,13,15,16		
	22,13,13,13		

[ninth - once] Page 26

	I	I	I
ninth 37:14	72:11 75:14	occasional	20:17 25:2
nobody's 72:20	77:13,14 93:17	56:10	27:20 29:21
noise 24:16,19	novo 9:20	occasions 65:5	34:4 38:2
non 52:17,22	12:18 41:7,10	occur 13:16	40:21 43:10
nonpublic	41:12 80:2	33:6 76:8	45:3 51:23
85:23	number 15:2	odot 55:5	52:13 53:2,10
nontypical	29:7 40:13	offer 14:11	53:23 54:12
67:15,16	103:12 127:23	offered 87:13	55:3,7,11
normal 68:25	numbering	office 2:17 3:4	59:20 60:8
north 22:22	8:25 20:22	52:5 101:18,18	65:18 67:22
26:16,21 31:8	110:23	102:20,21	69:11 70:6
35:25 42:13	numerous	115:24	71:4 79:2 84:4
55:17 69:21	44:11	officer 132:1,2	85:16 86:8
93:7	0	officers 50:13	88:7 91:14,21
northbound	o 4:1 6:1	official 40:12	97:23 99:17,20
54:23	o'clock 33:3	50:13 84:13,14	100:4,10,13
northeast	94:24,24	124:25 127:5	101:10,13
54:18	oath 7:15,19	129:15,17	102:24 103:4,7
notary 1:18	12:9,13 13:1,2	officially 40:18	103:19 105:6
132:19	104:2,7,11,13	officials 58:17	105:13,24
note 39:23 40:1	104:2,7,11,13	offroad 96:7	106:6,16 109:1
43:3 47:8	104:10 103:21	oh 1:17 2:6,12	109:9,10 110:2
73:13 102:20	object 14:7	2:20 3:7 47:4	110:8,14,25
106:22	objection 26:15	89:4 127:22,23	111:3,5,10
noted 14:20	40:24,25 41:2	128:12	112:20 113:10
30:23 64:1	41:4 77:25	ohio 1:2 5:7	113:25 114:6
86:13 87:1	78:3 107:20	35:4 43:5 46:3	118:7,21,22
101:13	objects 39:24	46:21 60:22	122:3,12
notice 43:4	107:10 108:24	62:7 132:20	124:18 125:16
noticed 120:19	107:10 108:24	ohlawfirm.com	125:19 127:8
notify 103:17	observation	2:7	127:23 128:8
noting 102:15	24:2	okay 6:5 8:3	129:20 130:5
november	obviously 49:4	10:12,14,18	old 109:12
26:23 64:15	68:15 115:7	12:11 15:9	once 58:21
65:1 70:8	00.13 113.7	17:9 19:8	71:21

[one's - parts] Page 27

one's 65:11,12	opportunity	own 21:3 31:17	parcel 20:2
99:24 100:1,1	14:9 20:6	41:14 44:24,24	54:20 75:8
ones 69:23	28:20 37:4	83:6 90:7	parcels 38:25
114:24 117:19	opposed 114:9	102:2	73:12
119:3 128:16	119:21	owned 68:17	parent 28:21
online 21:22	opposite 52:22	69:12	park 23:13
open 58:25	opposition 26:5	owner 43:7	34:6
59:7 60:15	37:23 90:14	46:18	parked 28:17
61:8	optimize 30:25	owner's 47:7	parking 30:16
opened 81:12	31:22	owners 44:23	parks 77:7
operate 7:22	options 35:23	57:11	parkway 2:5
58:25 73:15	order 7:24	owns 68:23	parliamentary
operating	16:18 20:21	p	102:12
35:12 36:23	27:16 102:10	p 2:1,1 3:1,1	part 35:4 38:24
operation 76:7	103:22 107:8	6:1	40:16 47:4,20
81:15	107:12 109:18	p.m. 1:13 32:8	49:21 60:9,16
operational	109:20 110:6	32:11 33:3	73:11 107:15
81:11,20	ordered 107:19	59:22,25 73:17	107:25 108:4
operations 81:4	organizational	73:18,19,20	117:22 118:4
81:9,9	6:9,14 102:16	94:25 95:1	119:17 125:7
opinion 10:7,12	orientation	98:21,24 131:7	128:7
10:13,23 11:12	42:14	packet 99:4	participate
13:24 21:21	outcome	page 4:2 30:15	15:6
29:21 35:14	132:15 133:12	36:4,6 128:15	particular
41:11,14 55:10	outcry 121:7	paid 57:22	30:13 48:9
78:1 90:11	outline 105:17	pam 101:2	53:25
93:1 94:10,10	overall 82:17	panel 77:5	particularly
95:22 114:2,9	82:19	78:19 83:23	28:3
opinionated	overlooking	94:5	parties 11:18
88:11 89:17	108:20	paragraph	13:17 113:2
opinions 39:25	overnight	107:3,4	129:15 132:11
41:11,11 46:5	36:24	parapet 68:6	132:14 133:8
82:10,11 90:20	overrule 10:5	paraper 00.0	133:11
96:11	overwhelming	64:17	parts 24:5
	65:17	UT.1 /	78:21

[party - planning]

party 127:4	117:17 119:19	photographs	74:10 77:4,12
pass 25:23	120:13,19	26:24	82:2 83:12
52:14,17,18,18	123:14,25	physical 48:22	91:12,14,21
52:22 53:2,12	124:13 125:20	49:8 73:5 92:5	planner 51:11
passes 17:10	127:3	physically	51:19 56:4,12
24:18	percent 27:24	112:6	58:16
past 15:3 29:1	101:2	pick 23:23 24:5	planning 1:7
path 23:22	perfect 90:9	91:6	3:2,15,16,17,18
paths 26:21	perfectly 15:13	picked 79:8	9:17,22 10:3,5
patterns 36:12	64:22	pictures 66:9	10:10,22 14:14
90:15	perform 51:24	66:24 69:22	18:13 19:25
paul 3:12 16:12	performed	pitchforks	23:1 26:22
116:13	51:20,23 53:24	121:18	30:24 34:9
paved 23:22	period 105:21	pizza 42:24	40:16 43:13
26:21	permissible	73:19	44:2,14 49:18
pay 59:13	81:8	place 25:10	50:24 52:10
paying 57:24	permission	28:12 29:7,14	53:7 57:1
peaceful 23:17	43:6	31:6 35:4	58:15,17 62:13
pease 2:10 14:3	permit 43:8	38:18 91:1,19	64:14,25 65:23
31:12	permits 46:24	101:25 127:18	70:8,20,22,25
penchant 66:20	permitted	placed 12:8	71:7,18,22,24
pending 18:17	43:23 47:1	55:19 129:3	71:24 72:1,9
19:1	63:16 81:7,8	places 43:21	72:12,15,18,22
people 9:17	person 12:8,14	plainly 49:12	74:4,9 75:15
13:2,3,19,21	13:22 14:22	plan 18:10	75:16 76:9
14:21 15:5,7	39:8 121:24	22:14,15,17,19	80:3,5 82:24
19:11 20:7,10	personal 34:21	22:21 29:18	83:25 84:12
25:25 26:2	114:22	30:14,23 31:17	85:7 92:2
33:13 34:13,18	personally	36:4 37:1	94:15 97:4
34:22 39:6	13:11 122:22	44:17 45:5,9	117:12,18
46:5 77:18	persons 19:14	45:18 47:14	118:2,8,12,19
78:6,21 92:14	perspective	57:3,6 58:22	119:3,6 120:17
92:23 93:7	93:16	61:24 62:15	122:6 125:1,2
113:13 115:6	phase 39:20	63:7 65:24	125:4,5,22
116:25 117:17		67:11 70:12	
	I	I	

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

nlaminas	mointo 22.2	52.2 01.10	mmorroil 05.12
plannings	pointe 22:2	52:2 91:18	prevail 85:13
69:20	23:14 25:10,14	92:15 101:2	prevalent
plans 18:23	28:12 29:14,15	133:3	27:14 28:4
24:8 45:2	35:3 37:19	preponderance	previous 12:19
47:20 62:15	40:2	80:10	91:1 107:6
63:14,19 77:11	pointed 44:3	preschool	109:6 129:9
82:19,23 89:14	106:25	28:16	previously 8:10
playground	points 90:10	prescribed	40:11 52:9
28:19	poll 106:20	49:5 121:6	107:4,13,17
pleas 41:19	pollution 30:9	prescribes	prices 24:10
79:13	ponder 31:18	45:13	primary 30:22
please 6:3 8:2	32:1	prescription	printed 29:18
12:4 16:23	portion 7:13,21	45:19	prior 71:18
19:15 21:1	8:8,9 39:13	present 3:10	85:24 88:25
32:1,7 38:11	71:6 99:5	11:23 19:15	104:13 111:21
38:11 51:5,16	position 14:22	46:17 103:16	120:1 123:10
87:19 88:13	71:25 78:2	113:21 120:1	132:5
plenty 35:9	83:5	129:18	private 86:4
95:17	possible 84:7	presentation	probably 25:3
plus 31:13	postpone	5:8 18:1 21:22	34:2 51:21
34:14	103:17	26:22 35:5	63:8 69:1
po 2:11	potential 36:22	37:14 39:18	85:10 92:18
pockets 89:23	48:4,5,22 49:8	72:23 86:11,25	98:16 108:8
podium 19:13	73:5 92:6	87:14	112:22 113:20
21:1	power 108:14	presented 8:23	114:5
poeppelman	108:15	9:1,25 10:8,20	problem 28:18
4:8 32:13,14	practice 103:24	10:21 11:17	46:16 111:14
32:15 33:23	practicing	85:12 92:9	114:13,21
point 7:24 29:6	40:10	118:17 125:6	problems 24:3
33:9 44:6 54:3	prefer 50:19	presently 82:24	64:21 96:7
54:8,15,19	preliminary	presents 28:18	procedural
55:12 56:24	62:18,19	preserve 25:14	18:12 40:14
80:15 88:23	prepared 11:20	pretty 25:4	86:21 124:15
89:7 117:23	28:14 34:8	61:15 75:10	procedurally
122:22 127:22	37:20 38:19	91:24 117:5	100:23

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

[procedure - put]

		1	
procedure 8:5	64:17,24 65:4	56:13 57:19	20:6,11 39:13
15:24 16:9,17	67:9 71:6	90:8 99:2	39:14 40:4
17:11 84:7,9	125:13	100:20 106:23	45:25 104:2,15
98:18 99:2	projects 22:20	proposing	105:20 106:10
107:24 130:9,9	65:9	23:16	107:16 111:12
proceed 9:9	pronounce	protection	112:24 113:7
86:10	21:7	108:25	113:24 114:4,8
proceeding	proof 80:9	protocols 103:3	114:10,13,22
14:12 15:18	83:17	prototype	115:8 116:12
41:17 87:3	properties 22:4	67:17	116:15,18
113:3 131:8	36:5 47:18	prove 21:17	117:8,12 118:3
133:4	48:25 54:6	27:13 35:9	118:5,12,18,25
proceedings	55:24 59:5	83:18	119:2,9,15,16
46:14 102:23	60:13 74:17	proved 89:18	119:22 120:10
132:3,4,6,8	75:3 95:4	provide 19:18	124:20,23
133:6	property 20:1	38:13 52:4	125:9 132:19
process 17:15	22:22 25:16,18	55:24 56:7,9	publicly 37:22
40:21 50:11	26:4 31:4	82:4 102:21,23	pull 29:23
68:19 69:13	42:11,16,19	provided 36:21	purchased
83:12	43:7,7 44:20	43:22 54:25	68:24
product 36:9	44:23 45:13,19	82:6 129:18	purpose 22:13
44:9	46:18,19,23	provides 92:23	purposes 16:16
professional	47:1,7,7,24	providing	42:23 54:12
44:2,13 45:7	50:21 57:11	35:16 40:4	86:10
47:15 50:2	58:4 68:23	provision 79:12	pursuant 47:25
56:4,12 69:3	proposal 99:16	proximity 22:1	106:22
77:18 78:14,23	proposed 21:10	36:8	pursue 89:23
93:23	21:20 22:11,15	proxy 112:10	pushing 25:24
professionals	25:11 27:5,9	public 1:18	84:20 122:21
44:12	27:15 29:16	7:21,22,23 8:8	put 11:4 15:11
proffered	30:5,11 31:14	8:9,9,12,13,18	20:22 24:8
87:12	35:19,25 36:23	8:20 9:11,14	28:13 43:5,7
project 21:2	37:2,23 43:19	9:15 11:5,10	47:1,6 72:14
22:11 25:8	45:4 48:20,24	12:6,15,17	107:11,23
37:2 51:6	51:24 55:15	16:13 17:19,21	109:19 110:4

[put - record] Page 31

	1		
111:20 118:5	76:16,24 77:24	read 9:11 13:14	115:4
118:12 123:13	79:3 80:15	17:18,19 22:14	rebuttal 18:4,4
127:20 128:7	81:11 83:11	34:3 35:12	39:19 80:16
putting 102:5	84:3 86:17	58:15 64:13	recasting 81:21
103:24	89:3 94:12	110:20 112:10	receive 14:5,17
q	103:4	112:11,12	received 8:15
quaint 27:4	quite 34:13	reader 23:9	14:20,20 88:5
qualifications	42:9 47:13	reading 111:3	111:21 118:9
51:17	48:5 49:18	ready 19:11	recognizes 45:9
qualified 132:7	quorum 103:16	37:8 88:8	recommend
qualify 11:5	quote 30:14,24	real 104:19	76:19 123:3
quality 26:8	68:7	reality 46:14	recommendat
quanty 20.8 quasi 41:15	quoting 47:9	50:20	20:18
question 18:12	r	realize 94:6	recommendat
31:18 32:1	r 2:1 3:1 6:1	105:12 117:6	52:10 69:20
57:15,17 60:3	radius 35:23	really 12:13	78:11
60:6,10,23	raise 19:15,16	15:3 28:15	recommended
61:1,22 64:4	38:11 68:6	31:2 34:8,18	53:24 54:2
64:11,14,18,19	raised 20:9	38:19 39:6	reconfigurati
65:19 66:5	47:10 57:2	63:9 65:7	62:3
67:3 68:3 70:9	77:25 78:1	81:11 83:10	record 5:4
72:21 78:18,25	ran 96:2	92:5 107:1,18	15:16 19:24
79:4,24 81:5	111:13 119:13	108:12 115:3,5	25:7 32:7,8,9
81:13 82:1	rape 28:1	117:1,15	32:11 39:23
85:14 86:20,21	rare 91:13,13	121:12 123:10	40:1 42:6,23
89:15,16,20,21	rates 35:10	reason 19:6	47:21 49:21
104:19 113:4	rather 67:23	32:21 68:14	51:17 54:12
questioned	87:13 102:2	92:11 104:22	59:22,23,25
116:4	ray 26:1 41:6,6	108:17,20	69:19 70:2,4
questioning	127:15	111:25 119:9	72:1 86:10,12
90:16	ray.mularski	reasonable	86:24 87:7,13
questions 18:5	2:21 3:8	27:7 91:25	87:15 92:8
56:22,23 59:3	raymond 2:16	reasons 16:14	98:21,22,24
63:20 65:15	reach 122:8	50:9 83:7	107:8,9,20
69:17 71:12	125:21	92:25 111:17	109:18 118:4
09.11 /1.12	143.41		

120:17 129:15	regard 41:23	remember	request 10:9
129:17 131:6	regarding	39:17 67:16	65:25 106:7
132:9 133:5	55:11 102:9	remembering	require 55:9
recorded 132:6	125:21 130:6	12:6	required 44:18
recording	region 56:15	reminded	65:11,13 66:19
132:8 133:4	regional 45:15	79:24	requirements
records 108:2	regularly 34:13	removed	44:16,22 47:17
recreation 77:7	90:25	107:13	47:25 50:3
red 56:10 100:1	regulations	rendered	82:2 83:1
111:7	43:8,9	129:14	requires 44:18
redline 99:2	reiterate 83:16	renumbered	58:24
100:17	rejected 47:10	129:9	research
redlined 99:23	97:5 107:12	renumbering	116:24
100:8,15	109:21 110:7	101:15 110:13	reservation
redlines 100:12	related 36:16	127:10,10,11	73:18
101:12	47:5 132:11	129:5	reserve 14:10
reduced 132:6	133:7	repeat 60:2	resident 37:18
reduction 62:4	relationship	report 44:3,14	40:3 90:4 93:9
refer 31:16	17:23 21:2	49:20 52:2,4,7	96:20
109:7	25:8 51:6	52:11,13 57:18	residential 22:4
reference 21:23	relative 132:13	76:21 114:4	31:9,21 39:4
69:18 106:20	133:10	reported 1:18	73:11 74:19,20
106:24 110:10	relevant 72:16	27:25	75:19 76:8
110:17	107:7 108:1	reporter 32:6	94:22
referred 9:6	relocating	32:10 59:16,21	residents 21:12
107:4	33:20	59:24 98:20,23	30:6 36:8,13
referring 54:15	remains 42:18	121:23 122:1	36:19 74:24
54:16 69:23	remand 18:18	131:5	93:9 117:21,24
reflect 31:22	70:23 84:11	represent	117:25
101:20	85:7	23:15 25:4	resolved 72:7
reflects 30:25	remanded 19:4	72:9	85:9
reformatting	remanding	representing	resource 49:10
102:7,17	85:10	46:6	resources 77:6
103:12,21	remarkably	represents	respect 47:11
106:21	68:14	126:12	80:24 81:25

[respect - rules] Page 33

82:16	reviewing 53:6	130:25	robert's 102:10
respective 16:6	reviews 77:5	righthand	roberta 33:23
responsibility	revisions 130:6	26:11	rocky 43:1
57:21,24	130:9	rights 46:18	roger's 94:2
responsible	riding 25:25	50:10 113:11	role 6:25
42:4	right 6:18,25	righty 131:2	roll 16:22
rest 15:11 28:7	7:12 9:22 10:6	rigorous 68:19	87:19 89:1
79:1 108:8,8	10:17 14:6,10	road 1:16 2:19	96:18 97:20,24
123:19	14:13 19:10,11	3:6 22:6 23:19	122:17 130:1
restaurants	19:16 23:10	23:22 26:2,11	130:14
73:17 81:19	28:17 32:8	26:12,14 30:7	roofs 94:5
94:21	33:2,19 34:12	30:19,19 31:25	room 6:4 25:5
restrictions	34:19,23 37:10	33:1,4,8 34:14	91:25
95:3,3	38:11 43:11	35:20,25 36:1	ross 3:11
result 53:25	46:25,25 47:7	38:24 39:1,2,3	roth 3:3 14:15
75:22	52:9 61:21	42:13,13,15,16	14:15 40:23,25
retail 30:16	68:22 71:4,12	53:4 54:3,10	71:14,15 76:17
31:10 66:14	71:12 73:19	54:19 55:2,15	76:21 77:4,20
92:22	77:22 83:15	55:25,25 56:6	78:4 80:7
returning	86:15 87:18	56:6,8,10 57:2	83:15,16 87:5
52:24	89:2,9 96:17	57:4,7,10	87:8 89:13
reverse 50:23	105:19 106:1	60:22 62:6	98:13 126:8
review 16:9	106:12 108:10	63:4 66:7,8	round 76:5
18:24 42:9	109:4,14	90:15 91:4	77:19
44:24 45:1	110:15 112:8	93:8 96:5,8	roundabout
49:20 61:24	113:2,12,15	97:9	22:2 29:3
64:7 65:24	115:25 116:10	roads 20:2	roundabouts
67:10,11,21	116:16,23	53:16	34:19
68:19 71:3,20	117:1,16,25	roadway 52:19	route 53:18
75:15 77:21	118:1,4 120:11	52:21	rule 26:15
82:21,25 83:4	120:13 122:22	roadways	106:24
83:13	122:24 123:7	45:11 57:20	rules 8:5,6,7
reviewed 45:7	123:15 125:25	robbery 28:1	11:7 15:24
47:15 62:1,18	126:11,23	robert 4:9	16:9,17 17:10
77:16 82:25	127:6,7 128:18	33:25 34:1,1,5	17:11,14 84:6

[rules - serve] Page 34

84:8 98:17	saturdays	scratch 41:13	122:4 124:21
99:1,2 102:10	94:25	screen 54:13	seeing 69:3
102:13 103:25	saul 1:18	68:6 95:24	91:5 111:4
123:10,13,14	saw 21:22 23:2	101:12 106:5	seek 30:24
129:24	38:25 57:18	screenshot	seem 58:6
ruling 93:3	64:13,14 66:25	43:12	92:10
run 64:24 99:8	95:23 96:6	second 6:23,24	seemed 65:20
running 33:13	saying 10:6,7	16:19,20,22	122:18
115:24	21:15 28:5	45:4 60:16,22	seems 10:4 13:7
rustic 27:3 75:2	66:14,25 74:13	87:16,17 88:8	59:11 60:14
S	76:23,25 78:14	88:20,21 107:4	89:22 121:11
s 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	79:15 84:19	113:16 124:3	seen 21:13 59:6
6:1	90:4 113:14,20	130:11,12	60:14 61:7
safer 55:25	114:4 116:8,11	secondary	68:8 69:24
56:7	116:13,14	30:20 46:18	78:20,21,21
safety 28:18	117:11 118:11	secondly 82:23	88:23
30:8 56:5,13	119:14,21	secretary 25:13	sell 24:9
81:23 90:17	122:8	section 8:23,24	send 14:4 116:2
sake 126:4	says 9:1 22:15	9:6 105:17	129:14
sales 59:12	22:17,21 28:3	109:6,8 128:10	sending 112:15
60:17,24	78:20 91:12	128:13 129:6	senior 93:20
salons 42:25	104:8 107:25	129:10	seniors 24:17
sanders 4:6	114:5 127:24	sections 102:1	sense 12:17
28:10,11,11	scale 36:11	129:2	59:1 68:24
29:11	45:14	see 32:21 42:8	109:3 120:15
sarah 20:4	schedule 23:25	42:18 46:13	123:19
sater 2:10 14:3	scheme 110:23	47:22 53:19	sent 14:1 29:17
31:11	school 22:3	55:14 57:13	separate 58:19
satisfaction	24:14 29:1	59:9 66:25	102:2
96:14	30:10,13 38:23	67:1 78:7	september
satisfied 21:19	43:1 55:16,21	93:23 96:7	30:15
42:10 44:5	73:16 93:20,24	97:4,8,20	serve 36:7,12
71:22 97:22	94:7,22 96:3	100:5,17 106:7	45:15 74:22,24
satisfy 94:14	scope 42:8	109:5 111:15	82:14 102:12
Sausiy 74.14		113:12 119:15	129:16

[serves - size] Page 35

serves 45:23	shape 57:13	shot 29:9	93:19 94:6
81:7	shape 57.13 shared 55:20	show 15:6	118:8
service 35:18	57:24	49:21 101:11	similarly 93:13
43:21 45:23		116:3 117:4	
48:4	sharp 27:5 sheetz 1:4 2:2		simply 11:18 25:22 26:2
		showing 70:2	35:13 47:19
services 35:17	20:4 21:11,15	shown 36:4	
54:5 91:17	21:19,25 22:7	shows 53:11	48:20 50:6
92:23	22:9,12,24	shut 121:13	single 40:3
servicing 57:10	23:15 24:8	side 11:21	48:10
session 119:18	26:14,16 29:9	12:21 22:5	sir 53:24 56:11
set 8:23 9:1	29:22,23,25	88:11 93:8	58:2
18:13 49:11	30:1,11 31:7	94:1 115:2	sit 34:16 86:24
50:1 97:16	31:14,21 32:3	122:5 125:24	site 31:22 32:3
setback 44:16	32:25 33:4,15	126:13	47:23 49:2
sets 71:25 74:9	34:22 35:7,7	sided 114:23	53:25 54:13
settled 49:14	35:25 37:23	115:1	55:9,15 60:23
seven 111:21	38:23 39:3	sides 70:2	60:25 61:3,13
120:1 127:22	44:19 50:7	126:6	61:15,17 62:15
several 10:3	53:14 54:7,11	sidewalk 23:21	63:7 65:11
28:24 49:23,24	54:21 55:1,22	signage 70:11	67:11 81:8
51:1,2 77:1	57:23 58:4,12	94:17	83:3,13
seymour 2:10	58:24 59:4,6	signal 55:11,19	site's 45:10
14:3 31:12	60:11,14 61:18	55:23	55:7 56:18
shaking 103:5	64:12 65:9	signalization	sitting 9:21
shame 24:18	66:12,23 67:1	54:10	61:11 82:24
shannon 2:3	67:4 71:16	signature	105:4 116:5
40:6,7 41:5	92:19 95:7	132:17 133:14	situation 8:15
58:1 59:20	sheetz's 23:2	significant	78:10 89:13
65:2 68:13	59:11	44:10 62:3,8	91:11
69:11 71:3	shielded 44:22	62:12 75:22	situations
79:9 80:19	47:17	81:17	62:11
98:10 126:9	shootings 29:7	signs 72:5 76:5	six 32:19
shapaka 64:15	shop 73:19	94:19	106:23
75:18	short 98:15	similar 55:9	size 42:14
		68:14 69:13	

[skilled - station] Page 36

	I	T	
skilled 41:22	sources 36:21	specify 113:5,7	starkloff 4:10
skills 132:10	south 1:16 2:19	speculation	35:1,2,3
133:6	3:6 22:5 42:13	35:14 48:3,13	start 7:18
slight 63:9	54:7,24	48:15 73:9,10	20:21 21:4
slightly 102:19	southbound	73:23 81:22	32:7 59:16
slip 19:12	54:23	speculations	89:2 90:3
sloped 94:5	speak 7:16	48:7	93:13 105:11
small 36:11	13:22 19:12	speech 122:5	105:19
39:5	20:6,11,12,20	speed 56:9	started 109:24
solely 74:8	38:20 46:8	speeding 78:7	120:19 121:13
solemnly 19:17	71:14 85:19	speedway	starting 29:3
38:12	103:9	34:13 47:9,11	41:13
solutions 43:2	speaker 19:12	spend 98:17	state 6:3 19:13
111:15	20:7,14 23:6	spirits 42:25	19:20 28:3
somebody	24:24 28:10	sporting 32:22	29:21,21 35:15
64:20 85:19	29:12 32:13	staff 21:18	37:22 38:14
92:16 95:12	33:23 35:1	26:22 44:2,3	49:15 51:5
102:22 104:20	37:7,13,14	44:11,14 45:7	78:11 117:21
112:6,11	38:1 111:12	47:15 49:20	132:20
115:10,13,17	speakers 19:21	50:2 62:1,17	stated 8:22
someone's	25:6 37:9	63:22 64:12	16:14 31:11
113:21 114:9	39:12 113:7	65:17 71:17	89:12 124:22
sorry 7:20 23:8	speaking 13:20	72:5 74:10	statement 28:7
24:11 27:19	18:10 20:15	76:21,25 77:15	30:24 120:23
34:1 46:11	34:9 39:7,9	staff's 44:24	statements
59:17 104:5	specialty 67:14	stage 77:9	31:17 51:2
109:22 110:18	species 43:6	stand 26:5	states 17:14
118:16,22	specific 48:9	standard 49:19	36:15 67:1
122:2	81:1,9 104:17	69:5 79:25	stating 17:22
sort 6:13 59:12	specifically 9:7	80:10 89:14	69:9
60:17 119:5	22:17 36:15	103:3,24	station 21:15
sound 105:22	37:1 47:5	standards 44:1	22:7,12,24
112:13	52:24 101:17	44:5 48:1 55:5	23:18 24:3,14
sounds 113:8	specified 43:9	89:14 91:22	27:10 29:9
			33:1,4,15

34:15,19 35:7	sticky 122:19	60:17,23 61:15	suited 22:18
37:24 39:4	122:20	65:11,12	45:11
45:16,17,23	stop 52:19	stuff 90:18	sullivan 133:2
48:4 50:7	53:20	115:14	133:15
51:25 53:8,12	store 23:18	stygler 61:8	summarize
53:19 71:17	73:20 91:18	subject 42:11	27:20 53:2
74:23 75:3,10	stores 27:24	63:3,5 112:25	sundays 94:25
75:21 81:6	35:10 36:16	119:12	superfluous
82:14 83:24	stormwater	submitted	30:12
91:18 95:8,19	62:16	14:17 40:16	support 21:24
96:4	storypoint 29:2	62:10 63:7	34:10 65:17
stations 22:17	93:25 94:7	71:20	90:21
24:8,20 29:8	96:3	substitute	supported 54:2
33:12 35:11,18	straight 43:12	10:13	55:6 127:4
35:20 36:16	straightforward	subway 66:12	supposed 10:16
43:21 46:24	45:8	66:16	22:23
95:13,17	street 2:11 14:3	successful 27:8	supreme 43:5
statistics 27:23	24:12 25:11,19	sufficient	sure 33:24 60:4
92:19	27:3 28:12	105:22	60:21 61:23
statute 104:8	29:16 30:5	suggest 11:18	71:5 84:16
104:24 105:7	78:21 91:1,2	13:18 16:4	85:3,23 88:12
stefanov 3:14	117:16	100:23	88:13 108:5
6:24 7:4,5 17:5	strengths 41:20	suggested	120:18 122:16
17:6 87:17,22	stricken 102:9	58:10 62:4	123:13
87:23 89:2,4,6	107:5 128:15	79:25	suriano 3:18
89:8 98:4,5	strike 125:9,16	suggesting	75:20
125:11 130:23	strollers 25:24	64:20	surprise 117:5
130:24	students 22:3	suggestion	surprised 39:6
stelzer 61:8	studied 78:9	12:11	surrounded
stenographer	studies 21:13	suggestions	42:21
129:17	21:14,23 27:13	13:5	surrounding
step 84:5 86:16	27:22 35:9	suit 22:13	21:12 22:3
steps 26:3 68:1	51:20 59:12	suite 2:5 60:22	26:7,24 27:6
stick 104:23	study 28:2	62:7	45:22,24 49:1
	51:24 53:23		56:16 68:11

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

_			_
92:11	122:4	thank 14:13,24	110:22 111:11
surroundings	talked 101:4	18:11 19:8,22	112:21,23
66:22 91:9	123:12 124:12	19:23 23:4,5	113:9 115:1,9
94:9	talking 17:10	23:11 24:23	116:9 117:7
susan 4:3 21:4	28:1 31:19	25:12 28:7,9	119:6 124:20
21:8	58:7 63:13	29:10,11 32:4	126:24
suspect 21:16	67:22 81:10	32:5,12 33:20	things 34:20
swear 7:15	96:22 97:14	33:22 34:23,25	41:9 58:11
19:11,17 38:12	101:25 122:23	37:4,6,24,25	67:17,19 71:25
swearing 17:17	talks 104:6	38:16 39:10,11	90:13 91:13
18:9 42:2	tall 27:10 75:10	40:5 41:5,25	92:14 96:21
sworn 19:16	taller 68:6	46:12 51:9	113:14 119:20
38:7 51:7	tamarkin 3:15	56:21 57:16	think 7:25 8:16
132:5	76:3	59:19 60:6	10:15 11:2,25
t	tanks 24:15	64:8,9 66:4	12:17,18,21,24
t 2:3 4:1,1 5:1	team 100:24	68:12 69:15,16	13:6,22 14:16
tag 100:24	technically	71:11,13,15	14:21 15:5,13
take 7:17 10:14	66:2	76:15 78:24	24:19 34:22
12:15 29:19	tell 23:20 24:1	79:24 80:12,21	41:6,8 55:18
31:5 45:6	54:17 121:9	83:13 84:1,2	56:18 58:16
73:13,18 83:4	temperature	86:8,14 87:6,8	60:24 65:2,7
90:11 91:7	120:20	91:5 93:5	70:11 71:19
95:9 98:14,18	ten 94:24	98:10,12,13,25	73:13,22 75:1
98:18 101:25	114:24	131:4	78:19 79:8,18
108:5 113:25	terms 11:20	thankfully	80:8 83:10
116:18 119:1	101:15	63:21	85:9 88:23
121:23	terrible 91:4	thanks 24:22	89:10 90:24
taken 18:23	testified 52:9	that'd 15:25	91:10 92:3,4
29:7 61:25	testify 117:3	104:22	95:8 97:5,13
106:16 132:3	testifying 132:5	thereof 67:6	100:3,14 101:7
132:12 133:9	testimony	thing 11:3 12:1	101:14 108:4,8
takes 45:3,20	19:15,18 29:20	28:23 29:5	108:9,11 109:3
125:18	38:12 53:6	62:16 89:11	111:16,19,23
talk 88:15	57:6 125:7	91:9 92:20	112:4 113:1,20
92:14 94:18		99:13 102:2	114:1,10 117:2

_			_
118:7 119:9,11	86:2 91:25	108:10	26:9,18,20
120:7 121:9	93:14 95:13	ton 120:15	27:10 28:13,25
123:18,23,24	96:13 111:13	tonight 13:16	29:2 30:8 33:6
124:12,23	124:19	13:18,21 14:23	33:9 34:17
125:4 126:8,24	throughs 22:18	16:6 17:15,21	36:19 39:1
128:11	36:15	23:9 32:3 34:9	46:2,13,17
thinking 10:11	thursday 1:12	39:14 40:4,17	47:3,3 51:3,20
64:20 86:1	tie 110:15	42:9 44:7	51:24 52:15,17
third 45:20	tight 60:13	71:16 72:7,17	52:18,21,22
73:2 75:16	time 1:13 6:18	75:17 83:11	53:3,8,12,13,14
80:23,24 84:11	6:18 7:20 11:8	86:11 87:3,12	54:23 55:1,4
84:18 85:6	13:11,21 15:25	90:13 93:8,12	55:11 56:5,13
thirty 40:10	16:1 18:24	96:11,15	57:19 59:7,8,9
thomas 3:17	19:14 20:5	108:18 112:23	61:9 62:3,11
4:7 29:12,13	32:6 34:18,24	116:17 117:16	64:2 65:10
29:14 32:5	51:3 56:22	121:7,8 123:3	75:25 76:6
thoroughfares	57:16 70:7	tonight's 100:3	78:9,15 81:22
25:23,23	78:8 84:3	took 78:15	82:3,5,6 90:15
thoroughness	88:16 97:7	108:7	91:4 95:25
63:22	98:10,17,18	total 18:21	96:3,7 97:13
thought 59:18	99:7 104:22	totally 11:24	transcriber
77:2 83:25	107:15 111:20	33:24 69:4	133:1
101:3 102:1	120:5 122:1,4	117:22	transcript
108:18 111:25	123:4	tough 112:6,17	129:18 133:3,5
126:25 127:18	times 23:24	towards 83:11	transcriptionist
129:2	28:24	town 29:8	132:7
thousand 51:21	timing 13:14	93:10	transportation
three 8:14 9:12	tis 62:10	township 77:7	51:11,11,18,19
17:20 18:13	title 124:25	track 51:22	56:4,12
20:7,15,20,21	today 8:1,14	tract 68:17	trash 23:22,23
23:19,24 25:6	9:8 11:17 14:1	tracts 69:12	24:4 33:17
27:22 29:20	14:4 16:2	traditional	91:5
33:3 35:17,23	18:16 23:3	93:18	traveling 52:19
35:24 36:21	33:23 42:18	traffic 22:23	52:23
76:25 85:20	102:6 107:19	24:2,3,15,20	

[treat - use] Page 40

	1	1	1
treat 15:15	two 18:16,19	50:14,22 91:21	unique 42:14
treated 41:16	23:24 25:23	103:1,20	45:10
treatment	28:14 31:16	106:23 107:2	units 39:5
50:11	32:19 35:20	107:16 111:24	universally
trees 44:19,20	45:10 52:16	127:15 129:11	69:12
94:17	54:1,4,21	underhill 2:4	university 28:3
trial 9:20	58:11 60:9	underlining	unnecessary
113:10,11,21	70:1 72:25	22:10	50:13
119:13	73:17 89:13	underlying	unproductive
tried 66:25	91:24 99:21	22:10	49:7,9
true 36:2 73:9	105:4 107:23	underneath	unsubstantiat
74:14 132:9	111:15 115:1	75:4	46:1 82:9
133:5	123:5	understand	unsuccessfully
truly 80:1	type 9:16 27:14	21:18 22:6	31:13 42:16
truth 19:18,19	36:23 59:3	26:4 30:20	unusual 63:13
19:19 38:13,13	61:2 62:16	64:6 68:21,22	upfront 65:4
38:14	75:2 91:17	113:19 115:4	upheld 18:16
try 7:25 65:15	92:9	125:20	uphold 10:1,5
112:22 116:24	types 52:17	understood	70:17,18 128:5
123:20	typewriting	62:24	ups 96:20
trying 9:21	132:7	undesirable	urging 83:5
26:2 67:15	typical 59:3,10	21:11,16 22:8	usable 31:3
101:11 128:10	60:10 61:2,3	22:10 26:7	usc 50:14
turn 13:4 20:15	typically 63:17	33:16 45:22	use 5:6 18:22
33:2 39:21	u	73:3,8 74:3,6,8	22:13,14 25:24
43:18 54:2,4	ultimate 74:12	74:13 75:22	30:5,14,23
54:14,22,25	uncertain	80:25 81:1	31:15,17,22
55:5 56:8	16:18	82:13 83:19,22	36:4 37:1 39:2
80:19 97:24	unclear 15:12	83:25 89:15	42:6 43:5,7,14
99:5	108:18	92:4,11	43:19,20,24
turns 26:11,13	uncomfortable	undeveloped	45:5,5,9,13,18
55:25	112:23	75:8	46:19 47:1,7
tv 113:12	under 11:20	unfounded	48:11,21,25
tweaks 63:9	12:9,13 13:1,2	46:2 47:13	49:16 50:8,19
	43:8 50:8,11	48:7 81:24	50:21,25 55:8

[use - watch] Page 41

58:20 61:14	106:3,7,16	35:11	walked 38:2
63:16,17 72:3	109:9,12,15,22	visible 59:7	walking 120:19
72:8,15,19	110:1,3,8,11,16	60:15 61:9	walton 2:5
73:4 74:16,25	110:20 118:7	visiting 28:21	want 10:15
75:7,9 81:5	118:14,16,20	voice 112:9	11:21 20:11,20
82:18 83:9	118:22 125:19	volume 60:17	24:24 28:6
89:16 91:12,14	125:25 126:10	vorys 2:10 14:3	30:1 35:6 37:3
91:21 92:21	127:8 128:9,17	31:11	43:3 44:6
93:3 100:15,16	128:19,21,23	vorys.com 2:13	60:11 61:12
101:21 103:2	129:4,8 130:15	vote 15:10,15	84:16 86:2
105:11	130:17,19,21	32:2 65:1	87:2 96:4,19
used 66:21	130:23	79:17,18 85:11	98:15 99:14
110:22 127:12	variance 18:24	99:16 107:11	104:17 107:16
users 35:17	65:25	107:13 108:13	108:13,19
uses 36:12,17	variances 72:5	108:24 109:20	116:4 117:8
45:15 48:20,24	83:13	109:21 110:4,7	123:18 124:3,6
49:4 91:13,20	various 15:4	123:22,23	124:7,12,20
using 15:21	67:1	124:3,6,15	126:17 127:16
26:20 53:4,14	vast 49:1 53:13	127:13,24	129:24 130:5
utilized 65:5	vehicles 28:17	129:23	wanted 8:10
v	veneer 93:18	voted 70:14,18	71:5 104:16
v 1:6	vent 115:6	75:18,20 76:14	108:4,21 128:5
vacant 42:18	verify 8:18	107:21,22	wanting 33:1
49:7 55:9	103:13	108:10,18	56:8
validate 57:18	version 100:8,8	127:25	wants 16:4
vanuate 37:16 vanmeter 3:19	100:15	votes 115:24	19:12 33:18
7:2,4,6,8,10	vi 106:2	voting 70:10	37:9 86:6
16:24 17:1,3,5	video 64:14	89:25 96:14	103:9
17:7 87:20,22	violates 37:2	124:8 128:13	warm 27:4
87:24 88:1,3	50:10	\mathbf{w}	warrant 60:19
97:25 98:2,4,6	violations	wait 113:16	60:24
98:8 101:9,11	50:12	walk 23:21	warrants 55:4
102:25 103:7	violence 92:19	26:1	60:24 78:16
103:11,20	violent 27:13	walkability	watch 33:11
104:5 105:8,16	27:25 33:13	22:23	34:17 35:13
101.5 105.0,10			

[water - yeah] Page 42

4 77 6	1 4 6604	10.10	1 1
water 77:6	website 66:24	wise 12:19	workshops
way 10:17	week 23:24	wishing 7:16	64:24 122:6
12:14,15 13:7	weighs 77:8	19:14	worried 92:20
15:16 18:5	weight 11:11	withdraw 9:3	worry 125:17
21:9 28:13	114:1,2,6,7	witness 4:16	worth 126:19
30:3 32:15	wendy's 66:11	82:4 83:21	wrecks 78:20
37:17 39:20	66:17	115:20 132:4	writing 34:3
40:9 47:4,16	wenham 23:13	witnesses	written 42:5
52:20 54:4,22	34:6	116:19,20	50:17 52:2,4
59:5 61:25	went 68:24	wonderful	58:12
68:1 74:17	69:13 72:23	32:16 34:7	wrong 9:22
84:20 90:25	109:25	38:23	10:7,17
91:1,2 99:11	west 33:8 54:11	word 44:23	wrote 28:14
111:6 113:23	55:22 57:11	45:6 66:21	X
115:16 119:25	69:21 93:20	87:1	x 5:1
121:4,6,22	westbound	wording	
122:21,23	29:2 96:5	107:17	y
123:3 124:18	wester 3:17	words 84:13	yeah 54:17
124:19	76:7	work 17:15	58:14 61:15,17
ways 107:23	westerville	44:10,10 64:11	61:18 68:4
we've 11:6,19	32:20	71:20 77:18	79:21 99:25
13:19 42:19	westlaw 116:23	81:18 94:11	100:14,18
46:1 47:4,12	whatnot 70:12	95:20 99:11	101:9 104:25
48:3 49:23	whatsoever	101:8	105:14 106:13
62:12,14,15	49:13	worked 38:22	107:2 108:6,9
63:7,22 81:21	wide 42:21	49:23,24 63:24	108:15 109:24
84:13 97:3	59:7 60:15	64:12	110:14,21
102:9 106:16	61:8	working 51:12	111:6,8,8,8
122:16,23	widens 54:21	51:19	112:1,5,19
123:24 124:12	wife 32:16	works 71:17	113:19 114:16
127:8,14 129:5	wils 4:12 38:3,6	101:9	115:17,21
weaknesses	38:9,15,17,17	workshop	116:7 117:10
41:21	wine 42:25	64:16 65:5	117:14 120:3
weather 23:24	wisdom 12:22	125:13	120:25 121:3,5
			121:17,17

[yeah - zoning] Page 43

122:14 123:6	
123:16 124:6	
124:10 126:7	
126:20,21,25	
127:2,17,22	
129:1,8 130:4	Ļ
year 6:10,10	
32:18 42:3	
44:8 77:19	
96:20 97:9	
years 15:2	
31:13 38:21	
40:10,13 42:1	7
51:12 93:9	
97:3 114:25	
123:5	
yep 19:7	
105:23	
VOLUME 25.24	
young 25:24	
young 25:24 youngsters	
•	
youngsters	
youngsters 28:18	
youngsters 28:18	
youngsters 28:18 z zone 70:21	
youngsters 28:18 z zone 70:21 zoned 36:5	
youngsters 28:18 z zone 70:21 zoned 36:5 42:19 46:23	
youngsters 28:18 z zone 70:21 zoned 36:5 42:19 46:23 49:2 55:7	
youngsters 28:18 zone 70:21 zoned 36:5 42:19 46:23 49:2 55:7 61:14 74:18	
youngsters 28:18 z zone 70:21 zoned 36:5 42:19 46:23 49:2 55:7 61:14 74:18 zoning 1:1,15	
youngsters 28:18 z zone 70:21 zoned 36:5 42:19 46:23 49:2 55:7 61:14 74:18 zoning 1:1,15 2:17 3:4 5:7	
z zone 70:21 zoned 36:5 42:19 46:23 49:2 55:7 61:14 74:18 zoning 1:1,15 2:17 3:4 5:7 17:12 20:3	
youngsters 28:18 z zone 70:21 zoned 36:5 42:19 46:23 49:2 55:7 61:14 74:18 zoning 1:1,15 2:17 3:4 5:7 17:12 20:3 21:18,20 37:3	
youngsters 28:18 z zone 70:21 zoned 36:5 42:19 46:23 49:2 55:7 61:14 74:18 zoning 1:1,15 2:17 3:4 5:7 17:12 20:3 21:18,20 37:3 40:11,12,16	}